

Community Housing Needs Assessment Adult Community Opinion Survey

- Current Housing Situations in Lewis County
- Housing Preferences Among Lewis County Residents
- Current Housing Costs and Affordability in Lewis County
- *Opinions* Regarding Housing Affordability in Lewis County
- Housing Needs, Challenges, and Insecurity in Lewis County
- Community Housing Development – Including Affordable Housing and Supportive Housing in Lewis County

Lewis County (New York)

August 2025

Prepared on behalf of the
Lewis County Department of Planning and Community Development
Lowville, New York



Prepared by
The Center for Community Studies
at Jefferson Community College (SUNY)
Watertown, New York



Table of Contents

Section 1 – Overview of the Study	5
The Goals of this Study	5
Section 2 – Methodology	5
The Characteristics of this Study Sample of Lewis County Adult Participants	8
Table 1 – The Characteristics of this Study Sample of Lewis County Adult Participants.....	8
Generalizability and the Margin of Error – Constructing Confidence Intervals	9
Table 2 – Margin of Error for Varying Sample Sizes.....	11
Table 3 – Sample Sizes and Approximate Average Margins of Error within Key Demographic Study Subgroups	13
Statistical Significance Tests.....	15
Section 3 – Detailed Results of Study Findings	18
3.0 – Topline Summary of Study Findings	19
3.0.1 – Current Housing Situations in Lewis County	19
Figure 1 – Current Housing Situations Among Lewis County Residents.....	19
3.0.2 – Housing Preferences Among Lewis County Residents	19
Figure 2 – Housing Preferences Among Lewis County Residents – Housing Types, Location, and Size.....	19
Figure 3 – Importance of Various Characteristics of Housing to Lewis County Residents – How important is.....	20
Figure 4 – Interest in Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs), small independent homes on the same property as a single-family home?.....	20
3.0.3 – Current Housing Costs and Affordability in Lewis County	21
Figure 5 – Current Home Ownership Distribution Among Lewis County Residents.....	21
Figure 6 – Current Housing Costs for Lewis County Residents.....	21
3.0.4 – Opinions Regarding Housing Affordability in Lewis County	22
Figure 7 – <i>Opinions</i> Regarding Housing Affordability in Lewis County.....	22
3.0.5 – Housing Needs, Challenges, and Insecurity in Lewis County	23
Figure 8 – Housing Needs, Challenges, and Insecurity in Lewis County.....	23
Figure 9 – Which Lewis County housing policies or programs are most/least important to provide?.....	23
3.0.6 – Community Housing Development – Including Affordable Housing and Supportive Housing in Lewis County	24
Figure 10 – Community Housing Development – Importance, Preferred Types, and County Government Role?.....	24
Figure 11 – Community Housing Development – Where in the County is most preferred to develop additional housing options that are accessible and attainable for residents across a range of incomes?.....	24
Figure 12 – Community Housing Development – Supportive Housing – Level of Support Among Residents and Preferred Locations.....	25
3.1 – Current Housing Situations in Lewis County – Detailed Results	26
Table 4 – Which of the following best describes your current housing type?.....	26
3.2 – Housing Preferences Among Lewis County Residents – Detailed Results	27
Table 5 – Which of the following best describes the type of housing that <i>you prefer to live in</i> at this time?.....	27
Table 6 – Do you prefer to live inside a village, or more rural outside a village?.....	28
Table 7 – Ideally, what # of bedrooms would you prefer in a home for you at this time?.....	29
Table 8 – Ideally, what # of bathrooms would you prefer in a home for you at this time?.....	30
How important are each of the following eight characteristics of housing to you?	
Table 9 – SUMMARY – Which housing characteristics are most/least important?.....	31
Table 10 – How important is <i>broadband/highspeed internet access</i> to you?.....	32
Table 11 – How important is <i>yard space</i> to you?.....	33
Table 12 – How important is <i>proximity to emergency services (ambulance/fire dept)</i> to you?.....	34
Table 13 – How important is <i>water/sewer access</i> to you?.....	35
Table 14 – How important is <i>proximity to family</i> to you?.....	36
Table 15 – How important is <i>proximity to healthcare</i> to you?.....	37
Table 16 – How important is <i>proximity to schools</i> to you?.....	38
Table 17 – How important is <i>access to public transportation</i> to you?.....	39
Interest in Accessory Dwelling Units (ADU’s)?	
Table 18 – How interested are you in living in or becoming a tenant at an ADU in Lewis County?.....	40
Table 19 – How interested are you in creating an ADU at your home in Lewis County to rent out and make additional income?.....	41

3.3 – Current Housing Costs and Affordability in Lewis County –	
Detailed Results.....	42
Table 20 – For your current housing costs, do you pay rent to a landlord, or do you pay mortgage and utilities for a home that you own?.....	42
Table 21 – Among RENTERS: Amount paid for monthly rent, monthly heat/electric, monthly Internet access.....	43
Table 22 – Among HOME-OWNERS: Amount paid for monthly mortgage, monthly heat/electric, monthly Internet access, annual homeowners insurance, annual property taxes, annual maintenance/repairs.....	44
3.4 – Opinions Regarding Housing Affordability in Lewis County –	
Detailed Results	47
Table 23 – In your opinion, how affordable is housing in Lewis County now?.....	47
Table 24 – Would you be willing to pay a premium for energy-efficient or sustainable housing?.....	48
Table 25 – Currently in Lewis County there are programs in place to help residents with housing affordability such as programs such as Section 8 and subsidized housing. How familiar are you with these programs?.....	49
3.5 – Housing Needs, Challenges, and Insecurity in Lewis County –	
Detailed Results	50
Table 26 – Are you having, or recently have you had, difficulty finding suitable housing?.....	50
Table 27 – Have you ever feared losing your housing?.....	51
Table 28 – Have you ever been homeless?.....	52
Table 29 – How concerned are you with the issue of homelessness in Lewis County?.....	53
Table 30 – What are the biggest challenges you face in finding or affording housing in Lewis County?	54
Most important Lewis County housing policies or programs to provide and focus on for improvements?	
Table 31 – SUMMARY – Which housing policies or programs are most/least important to provide?.....	56
Table 32 – More support in homeowner improvement funding	57
Table 33 – More support in affordable housing projects	58
Table 34 – More support in redevelopment of vacant properties into housing units	59
Table 35 – More support in ADU development.....	60
3.6 – Community Housing Development – Including Affordable Housing and Supportive Housing in Lewis County – Detailed Results	61
Table 36 – What types of housing are perceived as most important to be developed in Lewis County?	61
Table 37 – How important do you feel that affordable housing is to the overall health and well-being of the community?	65
Table 38 – What role should the County have in promoting affordable housing?.....	66
In the 2022 Lewis County Housing Needs Assessment, it was identified that a wide range of housing is in high need. In your opinion, which following three areas in Lewis County have the greatest need for additional housing options that are accessible and attainable for residents across a range of incomes?	
Table 39 – SUMMARY – Which area in Lewis County has most/least need for additional housing options that are accessible and attainable for residents across a range of incomes?.....	67
Table 40 – Support for additional housing options that are accessible and attainable for residents across a range of incomes in <i>Central Lewis County</i> (Montague, Pinckney, Harrisburg, Martinsburg, Turin, Greig, Watson, and Lowville).....	68
Table 41 – Support for additional housing options that are accessible and attainable for residents across a range of incomes in <i>Southern Lewis County</i> (Towns of Lewis, Leyden, Osceola, West Turin, Lyonsdale).....	69
Table 42 – Support for additional housing options that are accessible and attainable for residents across a range of incomes in <i>Northern Lewis County</i> (Towns of Denmark, Croghan, Diana, and New Bremen).....	70
Table 43 – Human Service agencies in Lewis County have identified the need for Supportive Housing. If this initiative were taken to address the identified need, would you be able to support this effort? (Note: for this question Supportive Housing is defined as a permanent apartment for people who need tailored support services to live independently).....	71
If pursued in the future, in which of the following villages do you believe supportive housing should be located in Lewis County? (Note: for this question supportive housing is defined as temporary housing for those individuals in the County who are in need of housing.)	
Table 44 – SUMMARY – Which villages have the most/least support?	72
Table 45 – Support locating supportive housing in the Village of <i>Lowville</i> ?.....	73
Table 46 – Support locating supportive housing in the Village of <i>Croghan</i> ?.....	74
Table 47 – Support locating supportive housing in the Village of <i>Lyons Falls</i> ?.....	75
Table 48 – Support locating supportive housing in the Village of <i>Port Leyden</i> ?.....	76
Table 49 – Support locating supportive housing in the Village of <i>Copenhagen</i> ?.....	77
Table 50 – Support locating supportive housing in the Village of <i>Castorland</i> ?.....	78
Table 51 – Support locating supportive housing in the Village of <i>Turin</i> ?.....	79
Table 52 – Support locating supportive housing in the Village of <i>Constableville</i> ?.....	80

Appendix I – Cross-Tabulation Tables and Significance Tests81

Appendix II – The Survey Instrument..... 132

Contact Information

Lewis County Department of Planning and Community Development

Casandra Buell, AICP
Director of Planning and Community Development
7660 North State Street
Lowville, New York 13367
(315)-376-5919
casandrabuell@lewiscounty.ny.gov
<https://lewiscountyny.gov/departments/planning-and-community-development/>

The Center for Community Studies

Joel LaLone
Director, *The Center for Community Studies*
SUNY Jefferson Community College
1220 Coffeen Street
Watertown, New York 13601
(315)-786-2264
jalone@sunyjefferson.edu
<https://www.sunyjefferson.edu/community/community-studies/>

Section 1

Overview of the Study

The Goals of this Study

During July and August of 2025 the Lewis County (New York) Department of Planning and Community Development commissioned a community survey study of important issues related to housing in Lewis County, New York. Specifically, this community housing needs assessment study is an attempt to learn adult residents' attitudes, opinions, preferences, and behaviors related to access and affordability of available housing in the county. Affordability and access to housing is not an issue that is unique to Lewis County, New York, but rather, is an important issue to virtually every community. County leadership has been studying the housing issues that residents face for several years, while commissioning multiple studies of available housing stock, market patterns regarding home sales and rentals, and identification and analysis of the financial viability of potential properties that are located in the county that could be repurposed as supportive housing of various types. One key component of this large body of housing-related information and data, that has not yet been studied, however, is public opinion and sentiment.

Therefore, the Lewis County Department of Planning and Community Development contracted with the *Center for Community Studies at SUNY Jefferson Community College* (Watertown, New York) to complete a random and representative survey that included 729 adult residents of the county. The *Center for Community Studies* is a research institute that has over 25 years of survey research experience completing over 200 public opinion research studies on behalf of a wide variety of community-based agencies throughout Northern New York State. Specifically, the survey instrument developed and utilized in this survey study has been designed through a collaborative effort of the professional staffs of each of the Lewis County Department of Planning and Community Development and the *Center for Community Studies*, and resulted with an instrument that included 80 items (not including socio-demographic variables for each participating adult resident) which have been organized into the following six sections of housing-related survey questions:

1. **Current Housing Situations in Lewis County**
2. **Housing Preferences Among Lewis County Residents**
3. **Current Housing Costs and Affordability in Lewis County**
4. **Opinions Regarding Housing Affordability in Lewis County**
5. **Housing Needs, Challenges, and Insecurity in Lewis County**
6. **Community Housing Development – Including Affordable Housing and Supportive Housing in Lewis County**

This report is a presentation of the results of the Lewis County (New York) 2025 Community Housing Needs Assessment Adult Community Opinion Survey.

Section 2

Methodology

A mixed-mode survey sampling methodology utilizing all three of: live-interviewer *intercept surveying*, at the Lewis County Fair in Lowville, New York in late July 2025, random *MMS text messaging to cellular phones* with an invitation and link to complete the survey online in the first week of August 2025, and random *email-invitations to a nonprobability panel* with an invitation and link to complete the survey online in the first week of August 2025, were employed in this study with

a total of 729 Lewis County adult residents completing the survey. By sampling mode of contact the number of participants in this study were: 315 by intercept, 244 by MMS text message push-to-web, 170 by email message push-to-web.

All intercept surveys were completed between the hours of 3:00-7:00 pm during evenings of July 15 and July 17, 2025, in Lowville, New York. Incentives of \$10 were offered to each individual when they were asked to complete a survey in person. The online version of the survey was open for one week during early August 2025. No incentives were offered to online participants. To be eligible to complete the survey participants were required to be at least 18 years of age, and a resident of Lewis County. Using this mixed-mode sampling methodology, the resulting participation rates for this study (approximately 90% of all individuals who were offered an opportunity live in-person at the Lewis County Fair completed the survey, approximately 2% of all valid email invitations distributed resulted with completed surveys, approximately 3.5% of all valid MMS text message invitations distributed resulted with completed surveys) are all considered very good among the current industry standards of survey sampling reported by the American Association of Public Opinion Research (AAPOR).

In accordance with the American Association of Public Opinion Research Transparency Initiative pledge, the following details and disclosure for the **intercept-interviewing and online surveying** employed in this study, including the following characteristics and facts, should be considered by any reader:

1. **(T) Dates of Data Collection:** July 15, 2025 through August 8, 2025.
2. **(R) Recruitment:**
 - Intercept: All face-to-face participants were recruited at the parade and on the fairgrounds, both associated with the annual Lewis County Fair in Lowville, New York on the evenings of July 15th and 17th, 2025.
 - Online (email): Participants were recruited to participate via an email invitation to a nonprobability panel with a link to the survey embedded.
 - Online (MMS): Participants were recruited to participate via an MMS text message invitation with a link to the survey embedded.
3. **(A) Population Under Study:** All adult residents of Lewis County, New York, USA. There are approximately 26,000 residents in the county. Approximately 20,000 of the 26,000 residents are adults, it is these adults who are the population of interest in this study.
4. **(N) List Source:**
 - Intercept: No list utilized
 - Online (email): Bulk Email Superstore, Aristotle, L2, and DataAxle.
 - Online (MMS): L2, Electronic Voice Services, Inc., www.voice-boards.com, and Aristotle.
5. **(S) Sampling Design:**
 - Intercept: Adults who attended the Lewis County Fair the on July 15 or July 17, 2025 and who were encountered by 12 intercept-interviewers employed by the *Center for Community Studies*, were each invited to participate. 315 surveys were completed by intercepted participants.
 - Online (email): The entire email address list described in #4 was randomized, and approximately 8,000 email addresses of adult residents of Lewis County, NY were selected to contact to invite to participate in the survey. 170 surveys were completed by participants who were recruited by email push-to-web.
 - Online (MMS): The entire cellular phone number list described in #4 was randomized, and approximately 8,000 cellular phones of adult residents of Lewis County, NY were selected to contact via MMS to invite to participate in the survey. 244 surveys were completed by participants who were recruited by MMS push-to-web.
6. **(P) Population Sampling Frame:**
 - Intercept: All adult residents of Lewis County, New York, USA.
 - Online (email): As described in #5, the sampling frame includes all available nonprobability panel email addresses of adult residents of Lewis County, New York, USA.
 - Online (MMS): As described in #5, the sampling frame includes all available cellular phone numbers of adult residents of Lewis County, New York, USA.
7. **(A) Administration:**
 - Intercept: Survey administered face-to-face at the Lewis County Fair, Lowville, New York, USA, only in English. Participants were offered a \$10 food gift certificate redeemable immediately at food vendors at the Fair.
 - Online (email): Survey administered online via an email invitation to a nonprobability panel, only in English, using Momentive. No incentives or rewards were offered to participants.
 - Online (MMS): Survey administered online via an MMS invitation, only in English, using Momentive. No incentives or rewards were offered to participants.
8. **(R) Researchers:** The study has been completed by the *Center for Community Studies at Jefferson Community College (SUNY)*, in collaboration with the Lewis County (New York) Department of Planning

and Community Development, with funding provided by the Board of Legislature of Lewis County, New York, USA

9. **(E) Exact Wording of Survey:** The survey instrument is attached as Appendix II.
10. **(N) Sample Sizes:** As is discussed in much greater detail for this study later in this report: $n=729$ overall for the study, with an overall average margin of error of $\pm 3.3\%$, including the design effect due to weighting. Again, by sampling modality employed in this study, the raw sample sizes are: 244 online surveys via MMS push-to-web; 170 online surveys via email invitations to a nonprobability panel; and 315 intercept surveys at the annual Lewis County Fair.
11. **(C) Calculation of Weights:** As is discussed in much greater detail for this study later in this report, community housing needs survey results are weighted by gender, age, educational attainment, home-ownership, household composition (children in home), and geography (the design effect in this study is approximately 1.32). Target weighting parameters for demographic characteristics are obtained from the U.S. Census.
12. **(Y) Contact Information:** Mr. Joel LaLone, Director, *Center for Community Studies*, contact information on page 4.

Table 1, spanning the next two pages, summarizes the socio-demographic, geographic, and housing-related characteristics of the sample of 729 adult participants who were surveyed employing the described methodology. This information facilitates readers' abilities to observe the representativeness of the study sample, as well further learn local-level information regarding the many variables that will subsequently be used as independent/explanatory variables in correlative investigations. These investigations will involve in attempts to identify the variables that are most strongly linked to opinions, attitudes, and behaviors regarding housing in the county.

The Characteristics of this Study Sample

Table 1

The Characteristics of this Study Sample of Lewis County Adult Participants

Socio-demographic Characteristics	Frequency in Sample (Unweighted)	Percentage in Sample (Weighted)
Gender		
Male	234	50%
Female	418	49%
Non-binary	8	1%
Age Groups		
Under Age 40	143	32%
Age 40-49	118	13%
Age 50-59	130	18%
Age 60-69	169	23%
Age 70+	111	14%
Educational Attainment		
High School, GED, or less	205	36%
Some College, no bachelors degree	258	44%
4+ Year Degree	209	20%
Annual Household Income		
Up to \$25,000	56	10%
\$25,001-\$50,000	130	20%
\$50,001-\$75,000	134	23%
\$75,001-\$100,000	125	20%
Over \$100,000	194	27%
Poverty Level in Household (using household income estimates)		
<30% Area Median Income	51	9%
30%-60% Area Median Income	133	21%
>60% Area Median Income	442	69%
Employment Status		
Retired	242	33%
Employed	385	55%
Unemployed	31	5%
Disability		
Yes, disabled	109	17%
No, not disabled	560	83%
Household Size		
One person	84	13%
Two people	279	43%
Three or more people	299	44%
Children in the Home		
Yes	212	30%
No	450	70%
Longevity Residing in Lewis County		
<5 years	26	4%
5-20 years	128	21%
>20 years	471	75%
Political Beliefs		
Conservative	293	47%
Do not identify as either Cons. or Lib.	290	43%
Liberal	78	10%
Total Sample Size	729	100%

Table 1 (cont.) The Characteristics of this Study Sample of Lewis County Adult Participants

Geographic Characteristics	Frequency in Sample (Unweighted)	Percentage in Sample (Weighted)
Region of Residence in the County		
Southern	106	18%
Central	309	43%
Northern	228	39%
Legislative District of Residence <i>(modeled/estimated by participant responses to Town of Residence, therefore, these are approximations that may be inexact, primarily due to the Town of Lowville falling into more than one Legislative District, as an example)</i>		
District 1 (Diana)	44	7%
District 2 (Croghan)	72	12%
District 3 (Denmark)	68	10%
District 4 (New Bremen)	44	10%
District 5 (Lowville)	180	19%
District 6 (Watson)	44	8%
District 7 (Martinsburg, Turin)	44	8%
District 8 (Pinckney, Harrisburg, Montague, West Turin)	29	5%
District 9 (Greig, Lyonsdale)	46	8%
District 10 (Osceola, Lewis, Leyden)	72	13%
Total Sample Size	729	100%

Table 1 (cont.) The Characteristics of this Study Sample of Lewis County Adult Participants

Housing-related Characteristics	Frequency in Sample (Unweighted)	Percentage in Sample (Weighted)
Current Type of Home		
Single-family	625	86%
Apartment	56	8%
Mobile Home	37	6%
Home Ownership		
Rent	117	20%
Own, paying mortgage	316	43%
Own, mortgage paid off	282	37%
Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		
Yes	85	13%
No	570	81%
Ever feared losing your housing?		
Yes, now	36	6%
Yes, in past	101	14%
No, never	525	74%
Ever been homeless?		
Yes, now	9	1%
Yes, in past	40	7%
No, never	650	91%
Level of Concern with Homelessness Issue?		
Concerned	522	72%
Not concerned	139	24%
Total Sample Size	729	100%

Generalizability and the Margin of Error – Constructing Confidence Intervals

If the group of 729 Lewis County adult residents who completed surveys as a part of this study is considered as a sample that represents the population of all adult residents of the county, then the data reported in this study for **the entire group of n=729 participants will have an average margin of error of approximately ±3.3%**, using a 95% confidence level. If investigating the results for subgroups (for example, only the subgroup of residents who are under the age of 40) then the margins of error will be larger due to smaller individual within-subgroup sample sizes.

Note that technically there is not one universal value of a margin of error that can be precisely calculated and used for the results for every question included in this survey, or for that matter, any multiple-question survey. Calculation

methods used for generating a very precise measurement of the margin of error depend upon four factors. (1) The **sample size** is the number of participants who validly answered the survey question. In general, the smaller the sample size the larger the margin of error, and conversely, the larger the sample size the smaller the margin of error. (2) The **sample proportion or percentage** is the calculated percentage of the sample who responded with the answer or category of interest. This percentage can vary from 0%-100%, and, of course, will change from question to question throughout the survey. In general, the further that a sample percentage varies from 50%, in either direction (approaching either 0% or 100%), the smaller the margin of error, and conversely, the closer that the actual sample percentage is to 50% then the larger the resulting margin of error. (3) The **confidence level** used in generalizing the results of the sample to the population that the sample represented. In this study, the standard confidence level used in survey research, 95% confidence level, will be used for all survey questions. (4) The **design effect** (DEFF) is a factor used in the calculation of the margin of error that compensates for the impact upon the size of the margin of error that having a sample whose demographic distributions do *not* well-parallel the distributions of the entire population that the sampling is attempting to represent. In general, the further that the sample demographic distributions deviate from the population distributions then the larger the margin of error, and conversely, the closer that the sample demographic distributions parallel the population distributions then the smaller the margin of error. Essentially the design effect reflects the magnitude of the impact that reliance upon weighting of sample results will have upon the reliability of population estimates. The design effect for this study is approximately 1.32.

In mathematical notation, the margin of error (ME) for each sample result for this study would be represented as:

$$ME = 1.96 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{p(100 - p)}{n}} \cdot \sqrt{DEFF}$$

Where n=sample size = # valid responses to the survey question

N=population size

p=sample percentage for the survey question (between 0%-100%)

1.96 = the standard normal score associated with the 95% confidence level

DEFF = the design effect

and
$$DEFF = \frac{n \cdot \sum w_i^2}{(\sum w_i)^2}$$

with w_i =the poststratification weight associated with i^{th} of the sampled individuals

Since participant subgroups of different sample size will be investigated throughout this report, and the sample percentage varies throughout this study (could conceivably be different for *every* question included in the survey) the following table (Table 2 on the following page) has been provided for the reader to determine the correct margin of error to use whenever constructing a confidence interval using the sample data presented in this study. This table was generated using the ME formula shown above.

Table 2

Margin of Error for Varying Sample Sizes

Varying Sample %s:	Varying Sample Sizes (n=...)									
	30	50	100	150	200	300	400	500	600	729
2%	5.8%	4.5%	3.2%	2.6%	2.2%	1.8%	1.6%	1.4%	1.3%	1.2%
4%	8.1%	6.2%	4.4%	3.6%	3.1%	2.5%	2.2%	2.0%	1.8%	1.6%
6%	9.8%	7.6%	5.3%	4.4%	3.8%	3.1%	2.7%	2.4%	2.2%	2.0%
8%	11.1%	8.6%	6.1%	5.0%	4.3%	3.5%	3.1%	2.7%	2.5%	2.3%
10%	12.3%	9.5%	6.8%	5.5%	4.8%	3.9%	3.4%	3.0%	2.8%	2.5%
12%	13.4%	10.3%	7.3%	6.0%	5.2%	4.2%	3.7%	3.3%	3.0%	2.7%
14%	14.3%	11.0%	7.8%	6.4%	5.5%	4.5%	3.9%	3.5%	3.2%	2.9%
16%	15.1%	11.7%	8.2%	6.7%	5.8%	4.8%	4.1%	3.7%	3.4%	3.1%
18%	15.8%	12.2%	8.6%	7.1%	6.1%	5.0%	4.3%	3.9%	3.5%	3.2%
20%	16.4%	12.7%	9.0%	7.3%	6.4%	5.2%	4.5%	4.0%	3.7%	3.3%
22%	17.0%	13.2%	9.3%	7.6%	6.6%	5.4%	4.7%	4.2%	3.8%	3.5%
24%	17.5%	13.6%	9.6%	7.8%	6.8%	5.5%	4.8%	4.3%	3.9%	3.6%
26%	18.0%	14.0%	9.9%	8.1%	7.0%	5.7%	4.9%	4.4%	4.0%	3.7%
28%	18.4%	14.3%	10.1%	8.2%	7.1%	5.8%	5.1%	4.5%	4.1%	3.7%
30%	18.8%	14.6%	10.3%	8.4%	7.3%	6.0%	5.2%	4.6%	4.2%	3.8%
32%	19.2%	14.8%	10.5%	8.6%	7.4%	6.1%	5.2%	4.7%	4.3%	3.9%
34%	19.5%	15.1%	10.7%	8.7%	7.5%	6.2%	5.3%	4.8%	4.4%	3.9%
36%	19.7%	15.3%	10.8%	8.8%	7.6%	6.2%	5.4%	4.8%	4.4%	4.0%
38%	19.9%	15.4%	10.9%	8.9%	7.7%	6.3%	5.5%	4.9%	4.5%	4.0%
40%	20.1%	15.6%	11.0%	9.0%	7.8%	6.4%	5.5%	4.9%	4.5%	4.1%
42%	20.3%	15.7%	11.1%	9.1%	7.9%	6.4%	5.6%	5.0%	4.5%	4.1%
44%	20.4%	15.8%	11.2%	9.1%	7.9%	6.4%	5.6%	5.0%	4.6%	4.1%
46%	20.5%	15.9%	11.2%	9.2%	7.9%	6.5%	5.6%	5.0%	4.6%	4.2%
48%	20.5%	15.9%	11.2%	9.2%	7.9%	6.5%	5.6%	5.0%	4.6%	4.2%
50%	20.5%	15.9%	11.3%	9.2%	8.0%	6.5%	5.6%	5.0%	4.6%	4.2%
52%	20.5%	15.9%	11.2%	9.2%	7.9%	6.5%	5.6%	5.0%	4.6%	4.2%
54%	20.5%	15.9%	11.2%	9.2%	7.9%	6.5%	5.6%	5.0%	4.6%	4.2%
56%	20.4%	15.8%	11.2%	9.1%	7.9%	6.4%	5.6%	5.0%	4.6%	4.1%
58%	20.3%	15.7%	11.1%	9.1%	7.9%	6.4%	5.6%	5.0%	4.5%	4.1%
60%	20.1%	15.6%	11.0%	9.0%	7.8%	6.4%	5.5%	4.9%	4.5%	4.1%
62%	19.9%	15.4%	10.9%	8.9%	7.7%	6.3%	5.5%	4.9%	4.5%	4.0%
64%	19.7%	15.3%	10.8%	8.8%	7.6%	6.2%	5.4%	4.8%	4.4%	4.0%
66%	19.5%	15.1%	10.7%	8.7%	7.5%	6.2%	5.3%	4.8%	4.4%	3.9%
68%	19.2%	14.8%	10.5%	8.6%	7.4%	6.1%	5.2%	4.7%	4.3%	3.9%
70%	18.8%	14.6%	10.3%	8.4%	7.3%	6.0%	5.2%	4.6%	4.2%	3.8%
72%	18.4%	14.3%	10.1%	8.2%	7.1%	5.8%	5.1%	4.5%	4.1%	3.7%
74%	18.0%	14.0%	9.9%	8.1%	7.0%	5.7%	4.9%	4.4%	4.0%	3.7%
76%	17.5%	13.6%	9.6%	7.8%	6.8%	5.5%	4.8%	4.3%	3.9%	3.6%
78%	17.0%	13.2%	9.3%	7.6%	6.6%	5.4%	4.7%	4.2%	3.8%	3.5%
80%	16.4%	12.7%	9.0%	7.3%	6.4%	5.2%	4.5%	4.0%	3.7%	3.3%
82%	15.8%	12.2%	8.6%	7.1%	6.1%	5.0%	4.3%	3.9%	3.5%	3.2%
84%	15.1%	11.7%	8.2%	6.7%	5.8%	4.8%	4.1%	3.7%	3.4%	3.1%
86%	14.3%	11.0%	7.8%	6.4%	5.5%	4.5%	3.9%	3.5%	3.2%	2.9%
88%	13.4%	10.3%	7.3%	6.0%	5.2%	4.2%	3.7%	3.3%	3.0%	2.7%
90%	12.3%	9.5%	6.8%	5.5%	4.8%	3.9%	3.4%	3.0%	2.8%	2.5%
92%	11.1%	8.6%	6.1%	5.0%	4.3%	3.5%	3.1%	2.7%	2.5%	2.3%
94%	9.8%	7.6%	5.3%	4.4%	3.8%	3.1%	2.7%	2.4%	2.2%	2.0%
96%	8.1%	6.2%	4.4%	3.6%	3.1%	2.5%	2.2%	2.0%	1.8%	1.6%
98%	5.8%	4.5%	3.2%	2.6%	2.2%	1.8%	1.6%	1.4%	1.3%	1.2%
Average Margin of Error	16.4%	12.7%	9.0%	7.3%	6.4%	5.2%	4.5%	4.0%	3.7%	3.3%

As an example of how to use Table 2, how would one determine the appropriate margin of error to estimate the percentage in the entire population of all Lewis County adults who are *not at all* concerned “with the issue of homelessness in Lewis County”? One must simply refer to Table 29 later in this report to observe that 23.7% of the 697 sampled adults who completed this survey question replied with “not at all concerned”. Reference to Table 2 above indicates that the appropriate margin of error would be $\pm 3.6\%$ (used $p=24\%$, the closest to 23.7% that is shown in Table 2; and used $n=729$, the closest to 697 that is included in Table 2). Therefore, we can be 95% confident that if all adults in the county were to indicate their level of concern with homelessness in Lewis County, the resulting percentage who would indicate “not at all concerned” among this population of all adults would be within $\pm 3.6\%$ of the 23.7% found in our sample. The interpretation of this would be that we are 95% confident that among all Lewis County adults the percentage who respond with “not at all concerned with the issue of homelessness” would be somewhere between 20.1% and 27.3%. Note that this margin of error of 3.6 percentage points is larger than the earlier-cited study margin of error of approximately 3.3 percentage points as a result of the sample proportion of 23.7% not being extremely far away from 50%. Also, please note that readers who desire a greater level of accuracy than this estimated margin of error that has been excerpted from Table 2 may directly calculate the exact margin of error using $p=23.7$, $n=697$, and $DEFF=1.32$, in the ME formula shown on the preceding page.

Finally, the margin error is a measurement of random error, error due to simply the random chance of sampling such as when randomly flipping fair coins. However, in survey research, it is not coins that are being flipped; it is humans who are being interviewed. When surveying humans there are other potential sources of error, sources of error in addition to random error (which is the only error encompassed by the margin of error). Response error, nonresponse error, process error, bias in sample selection, bias in question-phrasing, lack of clarity in question-phrasing, social desirability bias,

acquiescence bias, satisficing, interviewer process error, and undercoverage are potential additional sources of other-than-random error. Methods that should be, and have been in this Lewis County study, employed to minimize these other sources of error are: maximum effort to select the sample randomly, piloting and testing of utilized survey questions, training of all data collectors, thorough cleansing of data, calibration of data when necessary, and application and trimming of post-stratification algorithms to the resulting sampled data when necessary. Hence, when using this study data to make estimates to the entire population of Lewis County adults, as is the case in standard survey research practices, the margin of error will be the only error measurement cited and interpreted.

The statistics reported in the correlative tables and correlative graphs throughout the remainder of this report (cross-tabulations by socio-demographic, geographic, and housing-related potential explanatory variables) are typically *percentages* within the sampled subgroups. To determine the raw unweighted sample size for each subgroup – to avoid over-interpretation – the reader should refer to the bottom row of each cross-tabulation table provided in Appendix I of this report. In summary, these unweighted within-subgroup sample sizes are also provided in the following Table 3. Again, all study findings should be considered with sample sizes in mind. Statistical tests of significance take into consideration and reflect these varying sample sizes. The typical sample size within each demographic subgroup in this Lewis County study is shown, along with the appropriate approximate margin of error for each of these subgroup sample sizes, in the following table. Please note that of course the subgroups that are smaller in size (such as only the subgroup of *only those participants who identify their political ideology as "liberal"*) will have a larger margin of error that should be applied when attempting to estimate outcomes for these subgroups – therefore, extreme caution should be used when generalizing small subgroup results.

Table 3

Sample Sizes and Approximate Average Margins of Error within Key Demographic Study Subgroups

<i>Socio-demographic Characteristics</i>	<i>Frequency in Sample (Unweighted)</i>	<i>Average Margin of Error when Investigating Subgroup</i>
Gender		
Male	234	±5.9%
Female	418	±4.4%
Non-binary	8	NA
Age Groups		
Under Age 40	143	±7.5%
Age 40-49	118	±8.3%
Age 50-59	130	±7.9%
Age 60-69	169	±6.9%
Age 70+	111	±8.5%
Educational Attainment		
High School, GED, or less	205	±6.3%
Some College, no bachelors degree	258	±5.6%
4+ Year Degree	209	±6.2%
Annual Household Income		
Up to \$25,000	56	±12.0%
\$25,001-\$50,000	130	±7.9%
\$50,001-\$75,000	134	±7.8%
\$75,001-\$100,000	125	±8.0%
Over \$100,000	194	±6.5%
Poverty Level in Household		
<30% Area Median Income	51	±12.6%
30%-60% Area Median Income	133	±7.8%
>60% Area Median Income	442	±4.3%
Employment Status		
Retired	242	±5.8%
Employed	385	±4.6%
Unemployed	31	±16.1%
Disability		
Yes, disabled	109	±8.6%
No, not disabled	560	±3.8%
Household Size		
One person	84	±9.8%
Two people	279	±5.4%
Three or more people	299	±5.2%
Children in the Home		
Yes	212	±6.2%
No	450	±4.2%
Longevity Residing in Lewis County		
<5 years	26	NA
5-20 years	128	±7.9%
>20 years	471	±4.1%
Political Beliefs		
Conservative	293	±5.3%
Do not identify as either Cons. or Lib.	290	±5.3%
Liberal	78	±10.2%
Total Sample Size	729	±3.3%

Table 3 (cont.) Sample Sizes and Approximate Average Margins of Error within Key Demographic Study Subgroups

<i>Geographic Characteristics</i>	Frequency in Sample (Unweighted)	Average Margin of Error when Investigating Subgroup
Region of Residence in the County		
Southern	106	±8.7%
Central	309	±5.1%
Northern	228	±6.0%
Legislative District of Residence		
District 1 (Diana)	44	±13.6%
District 2 (Croghan)	72	±10.6%
District 3 (Denmark)	68	±10.9%
District 4 (New Bremen)	44	±13.6%
District 5 (Lowville)	180	±6.7%
District 6 (Watson)	44	±13.6%
District 7 (Martinsburg, Turin)	44	±13.6%
District 8 (Pinckney, Harrisburg, Montague, West Turin)	29	±16.7%
District 9 (Greig, Lyonsdale)	46	±13.3%
District 10 (Osceola, Lewis, Leyden)	72	±10.6%
Total Sample Size	729	±3.3%

Table 3 (cont.) Sample Sizes and Approximate Average Margins of Error within Key Demographic Study Subgroups

<i>Housing-related Characteristics</i>	Frequency in Sample (Unweighted)	Average Margin of Error when Investigating Subgroup
Current Type of Home		
Single-family	625	±3.6%
Apartment	56	±12.0%
Mobile Home	37	±14.8%
Home Ownership		
Rent	117	±8.3%
Own, paying mortgage	316	±5.1%
Own, mortgage paid off	282	±5.4%
Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		
Yes	85	±9.8%
No	570	±3.8%
Ever feared losing your housing?		
Yes, now	36	±15.0%
Yes, in past	101	±8.9%
No, never	525	±3.9%
Ever been homeless?		
Yes, now	9	NA
Yes, in past	40	±14.2%
No, never	650	±3.5%
Level of Concern with Homelessness Issue?		
Concerned	522	±3.9%
Not concerned	139	±7.6%
Total Sample Size	729	±3.3%

Statistical Significance Tests

A. Correlated Explanatory Variables – How does one decide if there is a “statistically significant” correlation?

How does one determine if the observed difference in rates (or, percentages) when comparing subgroups is large enough to be statistically significant, or so small that it is not statistically significant? The rule that should be applied to determine statistical significance is:

1. Sample percentages in the same row and subtable (comparing demographic subgroups) not sharing the same subscript are significantly different at $p < .05$.
2. Sample percentages in the same row and subtable (comparing demographic subgroups) sharing the same subscript are not significantly different at $p < .05$.

All tests for variables that possess a categorically-defined response distribution have been completed using the two-proportion z-test. Subsequent cell adjustment for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost sub-table using the Bonferroni Multiple Comparison corrections has been completed when necessary. Tests assume equal variances. All results for all significance tests are reported in the associated cross-tabulation contingency tables using APA-style subscripts.

For example, the Socio-demographic Factors cross-tabulation table for the question in this survey “How concerned are you with the issue of homelessness in Lewis County?” is shown below (and, also later in this report this is from Table 29, and Table 29.XTAB):

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County		Gender		Age Group					Education Level						Annual HH Income			Poverty		
	Participants	Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's degree	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI		
How concerned are you with the issue of homelessness in Lewis County?																					
Very concerned	13.6% ¹	7.3% _a	20.3% _b	10.3% _a	17.1% _a	13.7% _a	15.7% _a	12.8% _a	10.5% _a	15.0% _a	15.0% _a	24.9% _a	19.3% _{a,b}	11.9% _{a,b}	11.8% _{a,b}	9.9% _a	23.3% _a	18.4% _{a,b}	10.9% _a		
Somewhat concerned	25.9% ¹	24.3% _a	28.9% _a	23.6% _{a,b}	14.5% _a	27.4% _{a,b}	33.0% _a	31.7% _{a,b}	29.0% _a	22.5% _a	31.4% _a	26.2% _a	29.0% _a	26.5% _a	28.0% _a	23.9% _a	27.6% _a	30.4% _a	25.2% _a		
A little concerned	32.1% ¹	32.8% _a	30.3% _a	31.4% _a	35.3% _a	29.3% _a	32.9% _a	31.5% _a	30.3% _a	38.2% _a	29.1% _a	28.3% _a	34.9% _a	32.8% _a	30.8% _a	29.6% _a	28.2% _a	33.2% _a	33.2% _a		
Not at all concerned	23.7% ¹	32.8% _a	15.0% _b	30.4% _a	26.5% _a	24.0% _a	17.7% _a	16.2% _a	22.4% _{a,b}	28.8% _a	12.5% _b	13.2% _a	18.8% _{a,b}	19.9% _{a,b}	24.3% _{a,b}	33.3% _a	13.1% _a	18.6% _a	26.8% _a		
Not sure	4.7% ¹	2.8% _a	5.6% _a	4.3% _a	6.6% _a	1.5% _a	4.3% _a	6.4% _a	6.5% _a	3.4% _a	2.9% _a	6.6% _a	4.6% _a	6.8% _a	3.1% _a	2.1% _a	6.3% _a	4.4% _a	4.0% _a		
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
Sample Size	697	230	415	138	116	130	168	109	197	257	207	63	126	133	125	182	50	129	439		

Zoomed in a bit to more easily read, the first three factors correlated in Table 29.XTAB (Gender, Age, Education) appear as:

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County		Gender		Age Group					Education Level		
	Participants	Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's degree	Bachelor's degree or higher	
How concerned are you with the issue of homelessness in Lewis County?												
Very concerned	13.6% ¹	7.3% _a	20.3% _b	10.3% _a	17.1% _a	13.7% _a	15.7% _a	12.8% _a	10.5% _a	15.0% _a	15.0% _a	
Somewhat concerned	25.9% ¹	24.3% _a	28.9% _a	23.6% _{a,b}	14.5% _a	27.4% _{a,b}	33.0% _a	31.7% _{a,b}	29.0% _a	22.5% _a	31.4% _a	
A little concerned	32.1% ¹	32.8% _a	30.3% _a	31.4% _a	35.3% _a	29.3% _a	32.9% _a	31.5% _a	30.3% _a	38.2% _a	29.1% _a	
Not at all concerned	23.7% ¹	32.8% _a	15.0% _b	30.4% _a	26.5% _a	24.0% _a	17.7% _a	16.2% _a	22.4% _{a,b}	28.8% _a	12.5% _b	
Not sure	4.7% ¹	2.8% _a	5.6% _a	4.3% _a	6.6% _a	1.5% _a	4.3% _a	6.4% _a	6.5% _a	3.4% _a	2.9% _a	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Sample Size	697	230	415	138	116	130	168	109	197	257	207	

The cross-tabulation table above shows that 32.8% of *male participants* respond with “not at all concerned”, while the rate for *females* decreases to 15.0%. Since these two percentages do not share a subscript (the males have a subscript of “a”, and the females have a subscript of “b”), the difference between these two subgroups is statistically significant when comparing the rates of responding “not at all concerned”. The rates of responding “not at all concerned” when comparing the two gender subgroups are far enough apart to be tremendously unlikely to happen due to the random chance of sampling if the two genders are, in fact, not different in their opinions. Therefore, the observed sample difference is considered statistically significant. The above-described process is the appropriate process to use whenever comparing subgroups within the data set that have been collected and analyzed in this study. Note, using the same rules outlined above – residents of different ages do not differ statistically significantly in their likelihood to respond “not at all concerned” since all five reported age subgroups possess the subscript of “a”. However, likelihood to respond “not at all concerned” is statistically significantly associated with education level of the participants, with the most highly educated significantly less likely to respond “not at all concerned” (only 12.5%, and a subscript of “b”).

Note that there are a small number of numerically-defined, or measured, variables in this study, all of which are measurements of housing costs, with units of US dollars (either monthly costs such as rent, or annual costs such as property

taxes). Correlative analyses for all of these numerically-measured variables have also been completed and reported in the appendix of this study. Statistically significant differences between subgroups for these numerical variables are reported in tabular format similarly as to the way that the preceding examples have described, where sample means in the same row and subtable (comparing demographic subgroups) not sharing the same subscript being significantly different at $p < 0.05$, while sample means in the same row and subtable sharing the same subscript not being significantly different at $p < 0.05$. However, the mathematics required to complete these types of statistical tests for numerical response variables are not exactly the same as the pairwise comparison tests described above. Two-sample t-tests have been completed for all numerical response variable comparison tests.

B. Comparing similarly-scaled variables (survey items) – How does one determine whether two different survey question distributions differ “statistically significantly” from one another?

The same concept of statistical significance that has been described in the preceding pages regarding “Correlational Analyses” is also applied when a researcher attempts to investigate whether or not a difference observed between two similarly-measured items is statistically significant. The focus now becomes the comparison of the level of attitude, or support, or experience, or whatever is measured for various similarly-scaled survey items . . . for example, is there statistically significantly more (or less) level of support for certain Lewis County housing policies and programs than others? First, the reader must identify the specific response choice of interest, and the sample percentage for that response among the collected sample. For example, is one interested in only investigating “Strongly Support”, or is one more interested in collapsing the two possible level-of-agreement response choices of “Strongly” and “Somewhat” together into a response choice group that could be referred to as “Support”? Next, one may refer to Table 2 in this study to identify the correct *approximate* margins of error (or directly calculate these margins of error with more accuracy and precision using the ME formula shown earlier) if estimating proportions (or, “percentages” or “rates”) for differing survey questions that are measured on the same scale. With these margins of error, two separate confidence intervals may be constructed, one for each survey item, then the technique that is recommended in this study to determine whether a statistically significant difference is present is to apply the following method that has also been recommended by the New York State Department of Health in its presentation of the Expanded Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS). The NYSDOH 2009 Expanded BRFSS (on page 12 of 151 in that report) cites the following:

“When the confidence intervals of two estimates of the same indicator from different areas (or, subgroups) do not overlap, they may be said to be statistically significantly different, i.e., these differences are unlikely related to chance and are considered true differences. If there is any value that is included in both intervals, the two estimates are not statistically significantly different.”

To summarize, first the reader must identify the specific response choice of interest and the current sample percentage for that response. Then, after observing the sample sizes for the survey questions to be compared, one may refer to Table 2 in this study to identify the correct *approximate* margins of error. With these margins of error, two separate confidence intervals may be constructed, one for each similarly-scaled variable, and the overlap-vs-non-overlap rule recommended above by the NYSDOH may be applied to determine whether or not the observed sample difference between different similarly-scaled variables should be considered statistically significant. This technique for testing for statistical significance does include the design effect in measuring the standard error and margin of error.

To illustrate a comparison of level of support for two different Lewis County housing policies and programs, please consider the following two survey items among participants in this study, both similarly measured on a Very/Somewhat/A Little/Not At All importance scale: *How important is more support in homeowner improvement funding?* (Table 32) and *How important is more support in ADU development?* (Table 35). For this example, rates of responding “Very or Somewhat” important will be compared.

“How important is more support in homeowner improvement funding?”

from Table 32, $n=687$ participants and $p=74.6\%$ responded “Very or Somewhat Important”; therefore from Table 2 the approximate margin of error is $\pm 3.7\%$. The resulting confidence interval for “Very or Somewhat Important” is: $74.6\% \pm 3.7\%$, or **(70.9%, 78.3%)**.

“How important is more support in ADU development?”

from Table 35, $n=686$ participants and $p=51.6\%$ responded “Very or Somewhat Important”; therefore from Table 2 the approximate margin of error is $\pm 4.2\%$. The resulting confidence interval for “Very or Somewhat Important” is: $51.6\% \pm 4.2\%$, or **(47.4%, 55.8%)**.

Since these two confidence intervals do not overlap, the difference in level of perceived importance for “*more support in homeowner improvement funding*” (74.6% at least somewhat important) and for “*more support in ADU development*” (51.6% at least somewhat important) among Lewis County adults is considered statistically significant. In

other words, based upon the sample data collected in this survey study, the perceived importance of more support for homeowner improvement funding is significantly higher than the perceived importance of more support for ADU development in Lewis County.

If one is interested in a statistical test that compares two similarly-scaled response variables that are both numerically defined (in \$ in this study), then they are encouraged to contact the professional staff of the *Center for Community Studies* to complete and report this more complex type of modeling.

Finally, the preceding comments regarding statistically significant differences between subgroups, and statistically significant differences between similarly-scaled variables are comments addressing **statistical significance** ... which, of course, is not one-and-the-same as **practical significance**. The reader is reminded that statistical significance with respect to sample differences found addresses the concept of probability, as follows – “is this difference likely to occur in a sample of size $n \approx 729$ (or, in the case of subgroups, samples of less than 729, at times) *if there is no difference in the entire sampled populations...* or could the result simply be due to chance?” However, practical significance is an interpretation that is left to the subject area expert, since practical significance addresses the concept of usefulness, as follows – “is this difference identified in the collected data useful in the real world?” A *difference* identified in a sample (or, samples) may be statistically significant without being practically significant, however, a *difference* identified in a sample (or, samples) may *not* be practically significant without being statistically significant. To summarize, readers are warned not to over-interpret some practical significance or meaning for a difference in this study data that is mathematically deemed to be *not* statistically significant.

Section 3

Detailed Results of Study Findings

NOTE REGARDING ORGANIZATION OF SECTION 3:

Section 3.0

The **Topline Summary of Study Findings** presents results graphically for the entire study sample for each of the questions in each of the six sections of the survey instrument, along with narrative descriptions of key data-driven observations. These graphical presentations facilitate the opportunity for the reader to draw conclusions based upon within-response-distribution comparisons and ratios, as well as compare results for similarly-scaled survey variables to identify relative intensities. Extrapolation from measured and presented percentages among the sample distributions to generate estimated counts among the population of adults among Lewis County residents is also facilitated by these topline graphical presentations. The observations and findings highlighted in Section 3.0, however, *do not* address any subgroup analyses (cross-tabulations).

Section 3.1 – Section 3.6


Sections 3.1-3.6 provide much more **Detailed Results** for each housing-related survey question included in this study. These six sections present unweighted frequencies and weighted percentages for each individual survey question included in this study in tabular format. Further, cross-tabulation results for each survey question are illustrated graphically. These detailed cross-tabulated results are very expansive, and include cross-tabulated subgroup comparisons by 19 separate potential explanatory (or, correlated) variables, that encompass 61 different subgroups of county adult participants. These 19 explanatory variables have been separated into three subgroups: **Socio-demographic** (11 variables, including gender, age, education, annual income, poverty, household composition, children in the home, disability status, employment, longevity living in the county, and political ideology), **Geographic** (2 variables, region of residence in the county, and Legislative district of residence), and **Housing-related** (6 variables, including current housing type, home-ownership, difficulty finding housing, fear of losing housing, experiences with being homeless, level of concern with homelessness locally). To determine which of these observable subgroup differences from the cross-tabulation graphs are *statistically significant* differences, these correlative results are also presented in much more detailed tabular format in Appendix I, with statistical tests applied and results reported.

Section 3.0

Topline Summary of Study Findings

Section 3.0.1

Current Housing Situations in Lewis County




A large majority of Lewis County adult residents (86%) indicate that they currently reside in a single-family home, while only 8% report living in an apartment, and only 6% report living in a mobile home. (Table 4)


NOTE: To determine which socio-demographic, geographic, and/or housing-related survey questions, or variables, may or may not be associated with the current housing situations reported for this entire sample of n=729 participants that is illustrated above in Figure 1, readers are directed to Section 3.1 in this report, as well as Appendix I for statistical significance. The subgroup analyses (cross-tabulations) for every county-wide aggregate result reported in this Section 3.0 Topline Summary are also shown in Section 3.1 and Appendix I.

Section 3.0.2


Housing Preferences Among Lewis County Residents



A large majority of Lewis County adult residents (90%) indicate that they prefer to live in a single-family home, while only 8% report to prefer living in an apartment, and only 3% report prefer living in a mobile home. Three-fourths of Lewis County residents prefer living in a more rural setting, outside of a village. Regarding size of preferred homes, the most common number of bedrooms that residents ideally would have in their home is three (45% of participants choose this), while only about one-in-four residents (27%) report to prefer two or fewer bedrooms, and 28% prefer four or more bedrooms. The most common number of bathrooms that residents ideally would have in their home is two (64% of participants choose this), while only about one-in-five residents (20%) report to prefer less than two bathrooms, and 17% prefer more than two bathrooms. (Tables 5-8)




Participants were provided with a list of eight separate characteristics of housing and asked to indicate how important each characteristic is to them in their housing choices. Clearly the two characteristics “broadband/high-speed Internet access” (75% rated as very important), and “yard space” (70% rated as very important), are rated as the most important characteristics of housing among Lewis County residents. (Tables 9-17)




Lewis County adult residents most commonly indicate that they are not at all interested in Accessory Dwelling Units (ADU's), with 63% not at all interested in living in or becoming a tenant at an ADU in the county, and 60% not at all interested in creating an ADU at their home in Lewis County to rent out and make additional income. However, the alternative suggestion from these survey results is that there is non-negligible level of interest expressed for each of these studied ADU situations, with 19% of residents (almost one-in-five) expressing that they are at least somewhat interested in living in or becoming a tenant at an ADU (7% very interested, and another 12% somewhat interested), and 20% (one-in-five) expressing that they are at least somewhat interested in creating an ADU at their home in Lewis County to rent out and make additional income (8% very interested, and another 12% somewhat interested). (Tables 18-19)

Section 3.0.3

Current Housing Costs and Affordability in Lewis County



Participants were asked their current housing situation – **are they renters or do they occupy a home that they own?** Approximately one-in-five adults in Lewis County report to be renters (20%). Among the remaining 80% who report to be home-owners, participants are more likely to be paying a mortgage on their home (43%), rather than living in a home where they have paid off the mortgage (37%). (Table 20)



To better understand current costs of living in Lewis County, participants were asked to estimate various current costs associated with housing.

Renters were asked the following three housing costs (with results shown):

What is your **rent cost per month?** (Mean=**\$714**, Median=\$700)

What is your **heat/electric average cost per month?** (Mean=**\$131**, Median=\$100)

What is your **Internet access cost per month?** (Mean=**\$68**, Median=\$75)

The combined estimate of these three monthly housing costs is that the **mean housing cost among renters monthly in Lewis County is \$922 (with a median cost monthly of \$900)**. (Table 21)

Similarly, residents who own the home in which they reside were asked to estimate various current costs associated with housing. Results are shown separately for those who are still paying a mortgage on the home, versus those who do not pay a mortgage.

Home-owners in Lewis County who are still paying a mortgage were asked the following six housing costs (with results shown):

- What is your **mortgage each month**? (Mean=**\$1,014**, Median=\$900)
- What is your **heat/electric average cost per month**? (Mean=**\$404**, Median=\$250)
- What is your **Internet access cost per month**? (Mean=**\$105**, Median=\$100)
- What is your **homeowners insurance cost per year**? (Mean=**\$1,293**, Median=\$1,200) (Monthly mean=\$108)
- What are your **property taxes annually**? (Mean=**\$3,270**, Median=\$3,000) (Monthly mean=\$273)
- What is your **maintenance/repairs cost in a typical year**? (Mean=**\$2,524**, Median=\$2,000) (Monthly mean=\$210)

The combined estimate of these six housing costs is that the **mean overall monthly housing cost among home-owners in Lewis County who are paying a mortgage is \$2,103 (with a median cost monthly of \$1,886)**. (Table 22)


Home-owners in Lewis County who are no longer paying a mortgage were asked the following five housing costs (with results shown):

- What is your **heat/electric average cost per month**? (Mean=**\$462**, Median=\$200)
- What is your **Internet access cost per month**? (Mean=**\$95**, Median=\$85)
- What is your **homeowners insurance cost per year**? (Mean=**\$1,255**, Median=\$1,000) (Monthly mean=\$105)
- What are your **property taxes annually**? (Mean=**\$3,265**, Median=\$3,000) (Monthly mean=\$272)
- What is your **maintenance/repairs cost in a typical year**? (Mean=**\$2,024**, Median=\$1,500) (Monthly mean=\$169)

The combined estimate of these five housing costs is that the **mean overall monthly housing cost among home-owners in Lewis County who are not paying a mortgage is \$1,011 (with a median cost monthly of \$817)**. (Table 22)

Section 3.0.4


Opinions Regarding Housing Affordability in Lewis County




Lewis County residents were asked three items regarding their personal opinion of local housing affordability and their knowledge of, and interest in, local affordable housing programs. **A majority of residents express concern with housing affordability in the county** with 22% describing local housing as very unaffordable, and another 35% feeling that it is a little unaffordable, while only 3% of residents feel that housing is very affordable. Residents are almost three times more likely to indicate that they **would not be willing to pay a premium for energy-efficient, or sustainable housing** as they are to indicate that they would be willing to pay (37% versus 14%, respectively), however, the most common response is that residents indicate that they would need more details (49% responded “It depends”). There clearly is opportunity in the county for improvement in disseminating information about programs in place to help residents with housing affordability, programs such as Section 8 and subsidized housing, with only **one-in-six (16%) of residents responding that they are very familiar with local housing affordability programs** (have heard of them and know what they offer). (Tables 23-25)

Section 3.0.5

Housing Needs, Challenges, and Insecurity in Lewis County




Approximately one-in-seven Lewis County adult residents (13%) have **recently had difficulty finding suitable housing** (8% recently but not now, 5% currently experiencing difficulty). One-in-five adults in the county (20%) have **recently feared losing their housing** (14% recently but not now, 6% currently fearful). One-in-twelve participants (8%) **have been homeless at some point in time** (homeless is defined as lack of fixed, regular, and adequate night-time residence) with 1% currently homeless and another 7% having been homeless at some point in the past but not now. The **largest housing challenges** that adults report in Lewis County are housing prices, followed by high rents, and interest rates. **Lewis County residents express concern with the issue of homelessness in the county**, with only one-fourth of participants (24%) responding that they are “not at all concerned”. (Tables 26-30)




Four potential Lewis County housing policies or programs were posed to participants to identify which policies or programs are perceived as most, versus least, important on which the County should focus. **Lewis County adults show majority support, and very little opposition for all four studied potential housing policies or programs.** “More support in homeowner improvement funding” is perceived as most important (74% rate as at least somewhat important, while only 7% feel this is not at all important), while “More support in Accessory Dwelling Unit development (small, independent homes on the same property as single-family homes)” is perceived as the least important (51% rate as at least somewhat important, while 15% feel this is not at all important). (Tables 31-35)

Section 3.0.6

Community Housing Development – Including Affordable Housing and Supportive Housing in Lewis County




Among 13 different types of housing that could be further developed in Lewis County, **the three types of housing that emerge as perceived as most important to develop among current residents are single-family homes, low-income housing, and various types of senior housing.** Residents **overwhelmingly believe that affordable housing is important to the overall health and well-being of communities** (61% respond with *very* important, and 86% respond *at least somewhat* important), and by almost a four-to-one ratio are far more likely to **support that the County should play a leading role and provide expertise and support in promoting affordable housing locally**, rather than staying out of it and letting private developers solve local housing issues (62% versus only 17%, respectively). (Tables 36-38)



In the previous 2022 Lewis County Housing Needs Assessment study, it was identified that a wide range of housing is in high need in the county. In 2025, when asked their current opinion of this finding, adult **residents overwhelmingly support the development of additional housing options that are accessible and attainable for residents across a range of incomes in the county.** By approximately a ten-to-one ratio, residents are more likely to support, rather than oppose, this type of affordable housing development in the future (65%-69% support, depending on region in the county where the

development would occur, while only approximately 6% oppose, regardless of whether the development is in the Norther, Central, or Southern regions in the county). (Tables 39-42)



By a tremendous degree, Lewis County adult residents **are far more likely to support rather than oppose Human Services agencies in the county making efforts to increase local supportive housing that would include permanent apartments for people who need tailored support services to live independently** (69% support versus only 8% oppose). Similarly, Lewis County adult residents express **support for supportive housing that would involve temporary housing for those who are in need of housing**. All eight villages in the county were posed as possible locations for temporary supportive housing and a majority of participants expressed agreement with locating the supportive housing in each of the eight villages that were listed, with the Village of Lowville the clear leading location candidate. (Tables 43-52)

Section 3.1

Current Housing Situations in Lewis County – Detailed Results




Table 4 Which of the following best describes your current housing type?

Combined Results for All Participants

	Weighted Percentage	Unweighted Frequency
Single-family home	85.9%	625
Apartment	7.7%	56
Mobile home	6.3%	37
Homeless	0.0%	1
Totals:	100.0%	719

Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)



Section 3.2

Housing Preferences Among Lewis County Residents – Detailed Results

Table 5 Which of the following best describes the type of housing that you prefer to live in at this time?

Combined Results for All Participants

Which best describes the type of housing that you prefer to live in at this time?	Weighted Percentage	Unweighted Frequency
	Single-family home	89.6%
Apartment	7.5%	55
Mobile home	2.9%	21
Totals:	100.0%	718

Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)






Table 6

Do you prefer to live inside a village, or more rural outside a village?

Combined Results for All Participants

	Weighted Percentage	Unweighted Frequency
Do you prefer to live inside a village, or more rural outside a village?		
Inside village (urban)	17.5%	160
Outside village (rural)	74.9%	510
Don't know/Not sure	7.7%	52
Totals:	100.0%	722

Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)






Table 7

Ideally, what # of bedrooms would you prefer in a home for you at this time?

Combined Results for All Participants


	Weighted Percentage	Unweighted Frequency
1 bedroom	3.8%	24
2 bedrooms	22.8%	154
3 bedrooms	45.3%	333
4 bedrooms	22.4%	162
5 or more	5.7%	38
Totals:	100.0%	711

Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)


What number of bedrooms would you prefer in a home for you at this time?

(socio-demographic cross-tabulations)



What number of bedrooms would you prefer in a home for you at this time?

(geographic cross-tabulations)



What number of bedrooms would you prefer in a home for you at this time?

(housing-related cross-tabulations)




Table 8

Ideally, what # of bathrooms would you prefer in a home for you at this time?

Combined Results for All Participants


	Weighted Percentage	Unweighted Frequency
1 bathroom	9.6%	63
1.5 bathrooms	9.7%	72
2 bathrooms	63.5%	437
2.5 bathrooms	6.8%	55
3 bathrooms	8.2%	65
4 bathrooms	1.8%	13
5 or more	0.3%	1
Totals:	100.0%	706

Ideally, what number of bathrooms would you prefer in a home for you at this time?


Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)

What number of bathrooms would you prefer in a home for you at this time?
(socio-demographic cross-tabulations)



What number of bathrooms would you prefer in a home for you at this time?
(geographic cross-tabulations)



What number of bathrooms would you prefer in a home for you at this time?
(housing-related cross-tabulations)




Table 9

SUMMARY – Which housing characteristics are most/least important characteristics of housing to you?

Combined Results for All Participants

Housing Characteristics	Very important	Somewhat important	A little important	Not at all important	Not sure	Total
Broadband/high-speed internet access?	75%	17%	4%	3%	1%	100%
Yard space?	70%	21%	7%	2%	1%	100%
Proximity to emergency services?	49%	30%	14%	6%	1%	100%
Water/sewer access?	49%	19%	12%	19%	1%	100%
Proximity to family?	45%	29%	16%	9%	1%	100%
Proximity to healthcare?	44%	33%	16%	6%	1%	100%
Proximity to schools?	17%	24%	20%	38%	1%	100%
Access to public transportation?	11%	13%	17%	56%	2%	100%

Importance of Various Characteristics of Housing to Lewis County Residents – How important is...




Table 10

How important is broadband/highspeed internet access to you?

Combined Results for All Participants

	Weighted Percentage	Unweighted Frequency
Very important	74.7%	553
Somewhat important	17.1%	117
A little important	4.3%	27
Not at all important	3.0%	21
Not sure	1.0%	5
Totals:	100.0%	723

Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)






Table 11

How important is yard space to you?

Combined Results for All Participants

	Weighted Percentage	Unweighted Frequency
Very important	69.5%	505
Somewhat important	21.0%	156
A little important	6.7%	49
Not at all important	2.0%	14
Not sure	0.8%	4
Totals:	100.0%	728

Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)






Table 12

How important is proximity to emergency services (ambulance/fire dept.) to you?

Combined Results for All Participants

	Weighted Percentage	Unweighted Frequency
Very important	49.2%	383
Somewhat important	30.4%	208
A little important	13.8%	92
Not at all important	6.0%	37
Not sure	0.7%	3
Totals:	100.0%	723

Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)






Table 13

How important is water/sewer access to you?

Combined Results for All Participants

	Weighted Percentage	Unweighted Frequency
Very important	48.6%	377
Somewhat important	19.1%	132
A little important	12.3%	84
Not at all important	18.9%	121
Not sure	1.2%	7
Totals:	100.0%	721

Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)






Table 14

How important is proximity to family to you?

Combined Results for All Participants

	Weighted Percentage	Unweighted Frequency
Very important	44.7%	340
Somewhat important	28.6%	209
A little important	16.2%	103
Not at all important	9.4%	65
Not sure	1.1%	8
Totals:	100.0%	725

Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)






Table 15

How important is proximity to healthcare to you?

Combined Results for All Participants

	Weighted Percentage	Unweighted Frequency
Very important	44.2%	350
Somewhat important	32.7%	220
A little important	16.2%	110
Not at all important	6.4%	39
Not sure	0.5%	3
Totals:	100.0%	722

Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)






Table 16

How important is proximity to schools to you?

Combined Results for All Participants

	Weighted Percentage	Unweighted Frequency
Very important	16.8%	130
Somewhat important	24.3%	179
A little important	19.9%	134
Not at all important	37.6%	269
Not sure	1.4%	10
Totals:	100.0%	722

Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)






Table 17

How important is access to public transportation to you?

Combined Results for All Participants

	Weighted Percentage	Unweighted Frequency
Very important	10.9%	72
Somewhat important	13.4%	102
A little important	17.4%	133
Not at all important	55.9%	399
Not sure	2.4%	17
Totals:	100.0%	723

Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)






Table 18

How interested are you in living in or becoming a tenant at an Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU) in Lewis County?

Combined Results for All Participants


	Weighted Percentage	Unweighted Frequency
Very interested	6.9%	53
Somewhat interested	11.5%	85
A little interested	9.3%	70
Not at all interested	62.9%	452
Not sure	9.4%	67
Totals:	100.0%	727

Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)


How interested are you in living in or becoming a tenant at an ADU in Lewis County?

(socio-demographic cross-tabulations)



How interested are you in living in or becoming a tenant at an ADU in Lewis County?

(geographic cross-tabulations)



How interested are you in living in or becoming a tenant at an ADU in Lewis County?

(housing-related cross-tabulations)




Table 19

How interested are you in creating an ADU at your home in Lewis County to rent out and make additional income?

Combined Results for All Participants


	Weighted Percentage	Unweighted Frequency
Very interested	8.0%	53
Somewhat interested	12.2%	86
A little interested	10.1%	80
Not at all interested	59.6%	428
Not sure	10.1%	73
Totals:	100.0%	720

Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)


How interested are you in creating an ADU at your home to rent out?

(socio-demographic cross-tabulations)




How interested are you in creating an ADU at your home to rent out?

(geographic cross-tabulations)



How interested are you in creating an ADU at your home to rent out?

(housing-related cross-tabulations)



Section 3.3

Current Housing Costs and Affordability in Lewis County – Detailed Results

Table 20 For your current housing costs, do you pay rent to a landlord, or do you pay mortgage and utilities for a home that you own?

Combined Results for All Participants

	Weighted Percentage	Unweighted Frequency
Pay rent	20.0%	117
Pay mortgage and utilities, etc. for home that I own	43.0%	316
Pay utilities, etc. for home that I own (mortgage is all paid off)	37.0%	282
Totals:	100.0%	715

Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)






Table 21

Among RENTERS: Amount paid for monthly rent, monthly heat/electric, monthly Internet access

Combined Results for All Participants

Housing Costs among RENTERS (n=117)	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	95.0% Lower CL for Mean	95.0% Upper CL for Mean	Median	95.0% Lower CL for Median	95.0% Upper CL for Median	Standard Deviation
Rent per month	\$100	\$1,900	\$714	\$652	\$776	\$700	\$650	\$800	\$364
Heat/Electric per month	\$0	\$500	\$131	\$109	\$153	\$100	\$89	\$175	\$130
Internet Access per month	\$0	\$200	\$68	\$59	\$77	\$75	\$65	\$90	\$53
Total Monthly Expenses - Renters	\$100	\$2,300	\$922	\$845	\$999	\$900	\$800	\$1,000	\$444

Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)







Table 22

Among HOME-OWNERS: Amount paid for monthly mortgage, monthly heat/electric, monthly Internet access, annual homeowners insurance, annual property taxes, annual maintenance/repairs

Combined Results for All Participants




Housing Costs among HOME-OWNERS (who are paying a mortgage) (n=316)	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	95.0% Lower CL for Mean	95.0% Upper CL for Mean	Median	95.0% Lower CL for Median	95.0% Upper CL for Median	Standard Deviation
Mortgage each month	\$175	\$3,800	\$1,014	\$947	\$1,081	\$900	\$820	\$1,000	\$548
Heat/Electric average monthly throughout year	\$45	\$5,000	\$404	\$327	\$481	\$250	\$250	\$300	\$629
Internet Access each month	\$0	\$300	\$105	\$98	\$112	\$100	\$100	\$120	\$56
Homeowners Insurance per year	\$0	\$7,000	\$1,293	\$1,179	\$1,406	\$1,200	\$1,200	\$1,400	\$859
Property taxes annually	\$0	\$11,000	\$3,270	\$3,028	\$3,512	\$3,000	\$3,000	\$3,500	\$1,828
Maintenance and repairs in a typical year	\$0	\$10,000	\$2,524	\$2,260	\$2,788	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,500	\$2,069
Total Monthly Expenses - Owners	\$494	\$5,900	\$2,103	\$1,973	\$2,233	\$1,886	\$1,783	\$2,037	\$902

Housing Costs among HOME-OWNERS (who have paid off the mortgage) (n=282)	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	95.0% Lower CL for Mean	95.0% Upper CL for Mean	Median	95.0% Lower CL for Median	95.0% Upper CL for Median	Standard Deviation
Mortgage each month	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Heat/Electric average monthly throughout year	\$29	\$5,000	\$462	\$360	\$564	\$200	\$200	\$250	\$759
Internet Access each month	\$0	\$350	\$95	\$88	\$102	\$85	\$80	\$100	\$53
Homeowners Insurance per year	\$0	\$7,006	\$1,255	\$1,124	\$1,387	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,200	\$966
Property taxes annually	\$240	\$10,000	\$3,265	\$3,001	\$3,529	\$3,000	\$3,000	\$3,500	\$1,938
Maintenance and repairs in a typical year	\$0	\$21,000	\$2,024	\$1,748	\$2,300	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$2,000	\$2,006
Total Monthly Expenses - Owners	\$266	\$6,007	\$1,011	\$915	\$1,107	\$817	\$748	\$924	\$647






Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Among Home-owners who are PAYING A MORTGAGE Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)



Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Among Home-owners who are PAYING A MORTGAGE Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)



Section 3.4

Opinions Regarding Housing Affordability in Lewis County – Detailed Results

Table 23 *In your opinion, how affordable is housing in Lewis County now?*

Combined Results for All Participants

In your opinion, how affordable is housing in Lewis County now?	Weighted Percentage	Unweighted Frequency
	Very unaffordable	22.2%
A little unaffordable	35.2%	246
Affordable	27.1%	188
Very affordable	3.1%	20
Not sure	12.4%	91
Totals:	100.0%	713

Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)






Table 24

Would you be willing to pay a premium for energy-efficient or sustainable housing?

Combined Results for All Participants


Would you be willing to pay a premium for energy-efficient, or sustainable, housing?	Weighted Percentage		Unweighted Frequency	
	Willing to pay premium	14.5%	105	14.5%
Not willing to pay premium	36.9%	239	36.9%	239
Not sure/It depends	48.6%	359	48.6%	359
Totals:	100.0%	703	100.0%	703

Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)


Would you be willing to pay a premium for energy-efficient, or sustainable, housing?

(socio-demographic cross-tabulations)



Would you be willing to pay a premium for energy-efficient, or sustainable, housing?

(geographic cross-tabulations)



Would you be willing to pay a premium for energy-efficient, or sustainable, housing?

(housing-related cross-tabulations)




Table 25




Currently in Lewis County there are programs in place to help residents with housing affordability such as programs such as Section 8 and subsidized housing. How familiar are you with these programs?

Combined Results for All Participants

	Weighted Percentage	Unweighted Frequency
Very familiar, have heard of them and know what they offer	16.2%	117
Familiar, have heard of them, but don't know a lot about what they offer	29.2%	198
Don't know much about the programs other than some exist	33.1%	240
Know nothing about these programs	21.4%	149
Totals:	100.0%	704

Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)



Section 3.5

Housing Needs, Challenges, and Insecurity in Lewis County – Detailed Results

Table 26 Are you having, or recently have you had, difficulty finding suitable housing?

Combined Results for All Participants

	Weighted Percentage	Unweighted Frequency
Are you having, or recently have you had, difficulty finding suitable housing?		
Yes, having difficulty now	4.9%	33
Yes, recently had difficulty	8.1%	52
No	80.7%	570
Not sure	6.4%	43
Totals:	100.0%	698

Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically
 (to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)






Table 27

Have you ever feared losing your housing?

Combined Results for All Participants

	Weighted Percentage	Unweighted Frequency
Yes, fear losing housing now.	6.3%	36
Yes in the past, but not now.	14.1%	101
No, never.	74.1%	525
Not sure	5.5%	37
Totals:	100.0%	699

Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)






Table 28

Have you ever been homeless? (defined as a lack of fixed, regular, and adequate night-time residence)

Combined Results for All Participants


Have you ever been homeless, defined as a lack of fixed, regular, and adequate night-time residence?	Weighted Percentage		Unweighted Frequency	
	Weighted Percentage	Unweighted Frequency	Weighted Percentage	Unweighted Frequency
Yes, homeless now.	1.4%	9	1.4%	9
Yes, homeless in the past, but not now.	7.3%	40	7.3%	40
No, never homeless.	90.6%	650	90.6%	650
Not sure	0.7%	5	0.7%	5
Totals:	100.0%	704	100.0%	704

Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)


Have you ever been homeless, defined as a lack of fixed, regular, and adequate night-time residence?

(socio-demographic cross-tabulations)



Have you ever been homeless, defined as a lack of fixed, regular, and adequate night-time residence?

(geographic cross-tabulations)



Have you ever been homeless, defined as a lack of fixed, regular, and adequate night-time residence?

(housing-related cross-tabulations)




Table 29

How concerned are you with the issue of homelessness in Lewis County?

Combined Results for All Participants

	Weighted Percentage	Unweighted Frequency
Very concerned	13.6%	109
Somewhat concerned	25.9%	185
A little concerned	32.1%	228
Not at all concerned	23.7%	139
Not sure	4.7%	36
Totals:	100.0%	697

Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)






Table 30


What are the biggest challenges you face in finding or affording housing in Lewis County?

Combined Results for All Participants

	Weighted Percentage	Unweighted Frequency
Housing prices	57.1%	398
High rents	38.0%	276
Interest rates	37.6%	259
Poor quality rentals	19.8%	152
Lack of housing for sale	18.5%	146
Dilapidated homes	13.7%	98
Accessibility for those with disabilities	7.7%	61
Discrimination by landlords	5.7%	39
Landlord unwilling to rent to me	3.1%	22
Cited no challenges	18.5%	136
Totals:	100.0%	707



Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)



Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)



Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)






Table 31

SUMMARY – Which housing policies or programs are most/least important to provide and focus on for improvements in Lewis County?

Combined Results for All Participants

Housing Policies/Programs	Very important	Somewhat important	A little important	Not at all important	Not sure	Total
More support in homeowner improvement funding	44%	30%	13%	7%	6%	100%
More support in affordable housing projects	40%	30%	15%	9%	6%	100%
More support in redevelopment of vacant properties into housing units	38%	30%	18%	8%	6%	100%
More support in ADU development	22%	29%	21%	15%	13%	100%




Table 32

More support in homeowner improvement funding – how important?

Combined Results for All Participants


	Weighted Percentage	Unweighted Frequency
Very important	44.2%	327
Somewhat important	30.4%	207
A little important	12.6%	80
Not at all important	6.9%	35
Not sure	5.9%	38
Totals:	100.0%	687

Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)


How important is: more support in homeowner improvement funding?

(socio-demographic cross-tabulations)



How important is: more support in homeowner improvement funding?

(geographic cross-tabulations)



How important is: more support in homeowner improvement funding?

(housing-related cross-tabulations)




Table 33

More support in affordable housing projects – how important?

Combined Results for All Participants

	Weighted Percentage	Unweighted Frequency
Very important	40.0%	296
Somewhat important	30.4%	212
A little important	14.5%	93
Not at all important	9.3%	50
Not sure	5.8%	40
Totals:	100.0%	691

Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)






Table 34

More support in redevelopment of vacant properties into housing units – how important?

Combined Results for All Participants

	Weighted Percentage	Unweighted Frequency
Very important	37.8%	282
Somewhat important	29.9%	211
A little important	17.9%	111
Not at all important	8.1%	43
Not sure	6.4%	42
Totals:	100.0%	689

Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)






Table 35

More support in ADU development – how important?

Combined Results for All Participants


	Weighted Percentage	Unweighted Frequency
Very important	22.2%	168
Somewhat important	29.4%	209
A little important	20.9%	135
Not at all important	14.8%	89
Not sure	12.7%	85
Totals:	100.0%	686

Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)


How important is: more support in ADU development?

(socio-demographic cross-tabulations)




How important is: more support in ADU development?

(geographic cross-tabulations)



How important is: more support in ADU development?

(housing-related cross-tabulations)




Section 3.6

Community Housing Development – Including Affordable Housing and Supportive Housing in Lewis County – Detailed Results

Table 36 What types of housing are perceived as most important to be developed in Lewis County?




Combined Results for All Participants

What areas do feel are most important to develop in Lewis County? (choose all that apply)	Weighted	Unweighted
	Percentage	Frequency
Single-family homes	46.9%	314
Senior Assisted Living	45.3%	335
Low income housing	43.1%	298
Senior Apartments	39.0%	279
Senior Communities such as Brookside	36.9%	279
Apartment style housing facilities	25.8%	188
Homeless shelter	24.8%	177
Market rate housing	24.2%	173
Permanent supported Housing with services on site	16.8%	131
ADUs	16.7%	132
Duplex homes	15.8%	96
Town homes	15.2%	93
Halfway house	8.1%	57
Not sure	5.1%	33
Totals:	100.0%	685






Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)






Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)






Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)



Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)



Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)






Table 37

How important do you feel that affordable housing is to the overall health and well-being of the community?

Combined Results for All Participants

	Weighted Percentage	Unweighted Frequency
Very important	61.2%	431
Somewhat important	25.2%	153
A little important	9.3%	50
Not at all important	2.3%	13
Not sure	2.0%	14
Totals:	100.0%	661

Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)






Table 38

What role should the County have in promoting affordable housing?

Combined Results for All Participants

What role should the county have in promoting affordable housing?	Weighted Percentage	Unweighted Frequency
	The county should play a leading role and provide expertise and support.	62.0%
The county should stay out of it and let private developers solve the housing issues.	16.7%	92
Not sure	21.3%	147
Totals:	100.0%	666

Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)






Table 39

In the 2022 Lewis County Housing Needs Assessment, it was identified that a wide range of housing is in high need. In your opinion, which following three areas in Lewis County have the greatest need for additional housing options that are accessible and attainable for residents across a range of incomes? SUMMARY – Which area in Lewis County has most/least need for additional housing options that are accessible and attainable for residents across a range of incomes?

Combined Results for All Participants

Regions within Lewis County – support additional affordable housing options?

	Strongly support	Somewhat support	Neither support nor oppose	Somewhat oppose	Strongly oppose	Total
Central Lewis County (Montague, Pinckney, Harrisburg, Martinsburg, Turin, Greig, Watson, and Lowville)	37%	31%	26%	3%	3%	100%
Southern Lewis County (Towns of Lewis, Leyden, Osceola, West Turin, Lyonsdale)	36%	29%	29%	3%	3%	100%
Northern Lewis County (Towns of Denmark, Croghan, Diana, and New Bremen)	35%	34%	26%	3%	3%	100%




Table 40

Support for additional housing options that are accessible and attainable for residents across a range of incomes in Central Lewis County (Montague, Pinckney, Harrisburg, Martinsburg, Turin, Greig, Watson, and Lowville)

Combined Results for All Participants


	Weighted Percentage	Unweighted Frequency
Strongly support	37.2%	247
Somewhat support	30.7%	198
Neither support nor oppose	26.0%	159
Somewhat oppose	3.3%	16
Strongly oppose	2.8%	16
Totals:	100.0%	636

Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)


Additional housing options accessible and attainable for residents across a range of income levels are needed in Central Lewis County?

(socio-demographic cross-tabulations)



Additional housing options accessible and attainable for residents across a range of income levels are needed in Central Lewis County?

(geographic cross-tabulations)



Additional housing options accessible and attainable for residents across a range of income levels are needed in Central Lewis County?

(housing-related cross-tabulations)




Table 41

Support for additional housing options that are accessible and attainable for residents across a range of incomes in Southern Lewis County (Towns of Lewis, Leyden, Osceola, West Turin, Lyonsdale)

Combined Results for All Participants


	Weighted Percentage	Unweighted Frequency
Strongly support	36.2%	244
Southern Lewis County (Towns of Lewis, Leyden, Osceola, West Turin, Lyonsdale) Somewhat support	28.8%	182
Neither support nor oppose	28.8%	177
Somewhat oppose	3.0%	17
Strongly oppose	3.3%	16
Totals:	100.0%	636

Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)


Additional housing options accessible and attainable for residents across a range of income levels are needed in Southern Lewis County?

(socio-demographic cross-tabulations)



Additional housing options accessible and attainable for residents across a range of income levels are needed in Southern Lewis County?

(geographic cross-tabulations)



Additional housing options accessible and attainable for residents across a range of income levels are needed in Southern Lewis County?

(housing-related cross-tabulations)




Table 42

Support for additional housing options that are accessible and attainable for residents across a range of incomes in Northern Lewis County (Towns of Denmark, Croghan, Diana, and New Bremen)

Combined Results for All Participants


	Weighted Percentage	Unweighted Frequency
Strongly support	34.9%	235
Somewhat support	33.7%	212
Neither support nor oppose	25.7%	156
Somewhat oppose	3.0%	17
Strongly oppose	2.8%	14
Totals:	100.0%	634

Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)


Additional housing options accessible and attainable for residents across a range of income levels are needed in Northern Lewis County?

(socio-demographic cross-tabulations)



Additional housing options accessible and attainable for residents across a range of income levels are needed in Northern Lewis County?

(geographic cross-tabulations)



Additional housing options accessible and attainable for residents across a range of income levels are needed in Northern Lewis County?

(housing-related cross-tabulations)




Table 43

Human Service agencies in Lewis County have identified the need for Supportive Housing. If this initiative were taken to address the identified need, would you be able to support this effort? (Note: for this question Supportive Housing is defined as a permanent apartment for people who need tailored support services to live independently)

Combined Results for All Participants


		Weighted Percentage	Unweighted Frequency
Human Service agencies in Lewis County have identified the need for Supportive Housing. If this initiative were taken to address the identified need, would you be able to support this effort?	Strongly support	33.6%	226
	Somewhat support	35.5%	225
	Neither support nor oppose	23.2%	135
	Somewhat oppose	4.5%	24
	Strongly oppose	3.1%	14
	Totals:	100.0%	624

Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)


Human Service agencies in Lewis County have identified the need for Supportive Housing. you be able to support this effort?

(socio-demographic cross-tabulations)



Human Service agencies in Lewis County have identified the need for Supportive Housing. you be able to support this effort?

(geographic cross-tabulations)



Human Service agencies in Lewis County have identified the need for Supportive Housing. you be able to support this effort?

(housing-related cross-tabulations)





Table 44

If pursued in the future, in which of the following villages do you believe supportive housing should be located in Lewis County? (Note: for this question supportive housing is defined as temporary housing for those individuals in the County who are in need of housing.) SUMMARY – Which villages have the most/least support?

Combined Results for All Participants

Villages in Lewis County – additional supportive housing?	Strongly support	Somewhat support	Neither support nor oppose	Somewhat oppose	Strongly oppose	Total
Lowville	48%	24%	21%	2%	4%	100%
Croghan	32%	29%	31%	3%	5%	100%
Lyons Falls	32%	27%	31%	4%	6%	100%
Port Leyden	31%	27%	31%	6%	5%	100%
Copenhagen	27%	29%	36%	4%	5%	100%
Castorland	27%	28%	37%	4%	5%	100%
Turin	27%	27%	35%	5%	6%	100%
Constableville	24%	27%	39%	4%	6%	100%

Community Housing Development – Supportive Housing – Level of Support Among Residents and Preferred Locations



If pursued in the future, in which of the following Villages do you believe supportive housing should be located in Lewis County? (supportive housing for this question is defined as temporary housing for those who are in need of housing)

Table 45

Support locating supportive housing in the Village of Lowville?

Combined Results for All Participants

	Weighted Percentage	Unweighted Frequency
Strongly support	48.2%	315
Somewhat support	23.9%	157
Neither support nor oppose	21.2%	129
Somewhat oppose	2.5%	13
Strongly oppose	4.2%	21
Totals:	100.0%	635

Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)






Table 46

Support locating supportive housing in the Village of Croghan?

Combined Results for All Participants

	Weighted Percentage	Unweighted Frequency
Strongly support	31.9%	191
Somewhat support	28.9%	180
Neither support nor oppose	30.6%	182
Somewhat oppose	3.4%	15
Strongly oppose	5.3%	25
Totals:	100.0%	593

Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)






Table 47

Support locating supportive housing in the Village of Lyons Falls?

Combined Results for All Participants

	Weighted Percentage	Unweighted Frequency
Strongly support	31.6%	188
Somewhat support	27.4%	175
Neither support nor oppose	30.6%	189
Somewhat oppose	4.3%	20
Strongly oppose	6.1%	27
Totals:	100.0%	599

Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)






Table 48

Support locating supportive housing in the Village of Port Leyden?

Combined Results for All Participants

	Weighted Percentage	Unweighted Frequency
Strongly support	31.1%	189
Somewhat support	26.6%	169
Neither support nor oppose	31.2%	193
Somewhat oppose	5.7%	25
Strongly oppose	5.4%	25
Totals:	100.0%	601


Do you believe that supportive housing should be located in – Village of Port Leyden?

Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)


Do you believe that supportive housing should be located in – Village of Port Leyden?

(socio-demographic cross-tabulations)



Do you believe that supportive housing should be located in – Village of Port Leyden?

(geographic cross-tabulations)



Do you believe that supportive housing should be located in – Village of Port Leyden?

(housing-related cross-tabulations)




Table 49

Support locating supportive housing in the Village of Copenhagen?

Combined Results for All Participants

	Weighted Percentage	Unweighted Frequency
Strongly support	27.1%	162
Somewhat support	28.9%	174
Neither support nor oppose	35.8%	210
Somewhat oppose	3.7%	19
Strongly oppose	4.6%	21
Totals:	100.0%	586

Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)






Table 50

Support locating supportive housing in the Village of Castorland?

Combined Results for All Participants

	Weighted Percentage	Unweighted Frequency
Strongly support	26.7%	161
Somewhat support	27.8%	168
Neither support nor oppose	36.5%	218
Somewhat oppose	3.7%	20
Strongly oppose	5.3%	23
Totals:	100.0%	590

Do you believe that supportive housing should be located in – Village of Castorland?

Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)






Table 51

Support locating supportive housing in the Village of Turin?

Combined Results for All Participants

Do you believe that supportive housing should be located in – Village of Turin?	Weighted Percentage	Unweighted Frequency
	Strongly support	26.7%
Somewhat support	27.3%	169
Neither support nor oppose	35.4%	212
Somewhat oppose	5.0%	24
Strongly oppose	5.6%	26
Totals:	100.0%	586

Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)






Table 52

Support locating supportive housing in the Village of Constableville?




Combined Results for All Participants

	Weighted Percentage	Unweighted Frequency
Strongly support	23.6%	138
Somewhat support	26.7%	156
Neither support nor oppose	39.4%	239
Somewhat oppose	4.3%	22
Strongly oppose	6.0%	26
Totals:	100.0%	581

Do you believe that supportive housing should be located in – Village of Constableville?

Key Subgroup Comparisons – Cross-Tabulations Illustrated Graphically

(to identify statistically significant relationships, or differences between subgroups shown below, please refer to Appendix I)



Appendix I

Cross-Tabulation Tables and Significance Tests

Appendix I

Table of Tables

Current Housing Situations in Lewis County

Table 4 – Which of the following best describes your current housing type?

Housing Preferences Among Lewis County Residents

Table 5 – Which of the following best describes the type of housing that you prefer to live in at this time?

Table 6 – Do you prefer to live inside a village, or more rural outside a village?

Table 7 – Ideally, what # of bedrooms would you prefer in a home for you at this time?

Table 8 – Ideally, what # of bathrooms would you prefer in a home for you at this time?

Table 9 – SUMMARY – Which housing characteristics are most/least important?

Table 10 – How important is broadband/highspeed internet access to you?

Table 11 – How important is yard space to you?

Table 12 – How important is proximity to emergency services (ambulance/fire dept) to you?

Table 13 – How important is water/sewer access to you?

Table 14 – How important is proximity to family to you?

Table 15 – How important is proximity to healthcare to you?

Table 16 – How important is proximity to schools to you?

Table 17 – How important is access to public transportation to you?

Table 18 – How interested are you in living in or becoming a tenant at an ADU in Lewis County?

Table 19 – How interested are you in creating an ADU at your home in Lewis County to rent out and make additional income?

Current Housing Costs and Affordability in Lewis County

Table 20 – For your current housing costs, do you pay rent to a landlord, or do you pay mortgage and utilities for a home that you own?

Table 21 – Among RENTERS: Amount paid for monthly rent, monthly heat/electric, monthly Internet access

Table 22 – Among HOME-OWNERS: Amount paid for monthly mortgage, monthly heat/electric, monthly Internet access, annual homeowners insurance, annual property taxes, annual maintenance/repairs

Opinions Regarding Housing Affordability in Lewis County

Table 23 – In your opinion, how affordable is housing in Lewis County now?

Table 24 – Would you be willing to pay a premium for energy-efficient or sustainable housing?

Table 25 – Currently in Lewis County there are programs in place to help residents with housing affordability such as programs such as Section 8 and subsidized housing. How familiar are you with these programs?

Housing Needs, Challenges, and Insecurity in Lewis County

Table 26 – Are you having, or recently have you had, difficulty finding suitable housing?

Table 27 – Have you ever feared losing your housing?

Table 28 – Have you ever been homeless?

Table 29 – How concerned are you with the issue of homelessness in Lewis County?

Table 30 – What are the biggest challenges you face in finding or affording housing in Lewis County?

Table 31 – SUMMARY – Which housing policies or programs are most/least important to provide?

Table 32 – More support in homeowner improvement funding.

Table 33 – More support in affordable housing projects.

Table 34 – More support in redevelopment of vacant properties into housing units.

Table 35 – More support in ADU development.

Community Housing Development – Including Affordable Housing and Supportive Housing in Lewis County

Table 36 – What types of housing are most important to be developed in Lewis County?

Table 37 – How important do you feel that affordable housing is to the overall health and well-being of the community?

Table 38 – What role should the County have in promoting affordable housing?

Table 39 – SUMMARY – Which area in Lewis County has most/least need for additional housing options that are accessible and attainable for residents across a range of incomes?

Table 40 – Support for additional housing options that are accessible and attainable for residents across a range of incomes in Central Lewis County (Montague, Pinckney, Harrisburg, Martinsburg, Turin, Greig, Watson, and Lowville)

Table 41 – Support for additional housing options that are accessible and attainable for residents across a range of incomes in Southern Lewis County (Towns of Lewis, Leyden, Osceola, West Turin, Lyonsdale)

Table 42 – Support for additional housing options that are accessible and attainable for residents across a range of incomes in Northern Lewis County (Towns of Denmark, Croghan, Diana, and New Bremen)

Table 43 – Human Service agencies in Lewis County have identified the need for Supportive Housing. If this initiative were taken to address the identified need, would you be able to support this effort? (for this question Supportive Housing is defined as a permanent apartment for people who need tailored support services to live independently)

If pursued in the future, in which of the following villages do you believe supportive housing should be located in Lewis County? (for this question supportive housing is defined as temporary housing for those individuals in the County who are in need of housing.)

Table 44 – SUMMARY – Which villages have the most/least support?

Table 45 – Support locating supportive housing in the Village of Lowville?

Table 46 – Support locating supportive housing in the Village of Croghan?

Table 47 – Support locating supportive housing in the Village of Lyons Falls?

Table 48 – Support locating supportive housing in the Village of Port Leyden?

Table 49 – Support locating supportive housing in the Village of Copenhagen?

Table 50 – Support locating supportive housing in the Village of Castorland

Table 51 – Support locating supportive housing in the Village of Turin?

Table 52 – Support locating supportive housing in the Village of Constableville?

Percentages included in each cross-tabulation table in Appendix I are *weighted* estimates.

Sample Sizes included in each cross-tabulation table in Appendix I are *raw/unweighted*, reporting the appropriate sample sizes to utilize when generating confidence intervals.

Statistical Significance Interpretation: Subgroups in a row in any cross-tabulation table that share a subscript letter are not statistically significantly different.

Subgroups in a row in any cross-tabulation table that do not share a subscript letter are statistically significantly different.

Any statistics with a superscript of "1" do not have a comparison subgroup to test against.

All tests of statistical significance have been completed at the 5% significance level. ($p < 0.05$)

Table 4.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

Which best describes your current housing type?	All Lewis County	Gender			Age Group					Education Level				Annual HH Income					Poverty		
	Participants	Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's degree	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI		
Single-family home	85.9% ¹	91.2% ^a	81.1% ^{bc}	81.3% ^a	85.4% ^{a,b}	85.8% ^{a,b}	92.4% ^b	85.8% ^{a,b}	82.8% ^a	85.5% ^{a,b}	92.5% ^{bc}	64.5% ^{bc}	75.5% ^{a,b}	85.6% ^{bc}	90.0% ^{c,d}	97.3% ^d	63.5% ^a	74.9% ^a	92.1% ^b		
Apartment	7.7% ¹	4.1% ^{bc}	11.6% ^{bc}	10.1% ^{bc}	6.5% ^a	8.7% ^{bc}	3.7% ^a	6.9% ^{bc}	7.7% ^{bc}	8.0% ^{bc}	5.3% ^{bc}	17.4% ^{bc}	16.1% ^{bc}	6.9% ^{bc}	2.7% ^{bc}	1.9% ^{bc}	16.3% ^a	15.4% ^a	3.7% ^{bc}		
Mobile home	6.3% ¹	4.7% ^{bc}	7.2% ^{bc}	8.5% ^a	8.1% ^a	5.6% ^a	3.6% ^a	7.4% ^{bc}	9.4% ^{bc}	6.4% ^{bc}	2.3% ^{bc}	17.5% ^{bc}	8.4% ^{bc}	7.5% ^{bc}	7.3% ^{bc}	0.8% ^{bc}	20.2% ^a	9.6% ^{a,b}	4.2% ^{bc}		
Homeless	0.0% ¹	0.0% ²	0.1% ^a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.2% ^a	0.0% ²	0.1% ^a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.6% ^{bc}	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²		
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
Sample Size	719	230	414	141	118	128	164	111	200	258	207	53	130	131	123	194	49	132	438		

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

Which best describes your current housing type?	All Lewis County	Employed	Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs		
	Participants	FT and/or PT	Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C or L	Liberal
Single-family home	85.9% ¹	86.0% ¹	83.1% ^{bc}	86.0% ^a	65.6% ^a	89.9% ^b	87.9% ^b	83.2% ^a	86.9% ^a	76.2% ^{bc}	89.3% ^a	86.3% ^{bc}	89.0% ^a	83.5% ^a	83.1% ^{bc}
Apartment	7.7% ¹	8.1% ¹	6.8% ^{bc}	8.0% ^{bc}	23.5% ^{bc}	3.8% ^{bc}	6.2% ^{bc}	9.2% ^{bc}	7.0% ^{bc}	21.0% ^{bc}	6.2% ^{bc}	5.8% ^{bc}	4.6% ^{bc}	9.7% ^{bc}	10.9% ^{a,b}
Mobile home	6.3% ¹	5.9% ¹	10.1% ^{bc}	6.0% ^{bc}	10.9% ^{bc}	6.3% ^{bc}	5.9% ^{bc}	7.4% ^{bc}	6.1% ^{bc}	2.8% ^{bc}	4.5% ^{bc}	7.9% ^{bc}	6.4% ^{bc}	6.7% ^{bc}	6.0% ^{bc}
Homeless	0.0% ¹	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.1% ^a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.2% ^a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.1% ^a	0.0% ²
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	719	383	104	556	84	275	295	209	444	26	126	466	289	286	76

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

Which best describes your current housing type?	All Lewis County	County Region						Legislative District									
	Participants	Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10			
Single-family home	85.9% ¹	76.9% ^{bc}	87.8% ^{bc}	87.7% ^{bc}	92.8% ^a	92.8% ^a	80.1% ^a	86.0% ^a	83.6% ^a	91.7% ^{bc}	86.5% ^a	94.3% ^{bc}	83.7% ^{bc}	76.3% ^{bc}			
Apartment	7.7% ¹	11.4% ^{bc}	7.5% ^{bc}	4.9% ^{bc}	3.1% ^a	1.5% ^a	15.0% ^a	0.0% ²	14.3% ^{bc}	0.0% ²	1.7% ^{bc}	5.7% ^{bc}	8.5% ^{bc}	11.8% ^{bc}			
Mobile home	6.3% ¹	11.7% ^{bc}	4.7% ^{bc}	7.2% ^{bc}	4.0% ^{a,b}	5.8% ^{a,b}	4.4% ^{a,b}	14.0% ^a	2.0% ^{bc}	8.3% ^{a,b}	11.9% ^{a,b}	0.0% ²	7.8% ^{a,b}	12.0% ^{a,b}			
Homeless	0.0% ¹	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.1% ^a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.5% ^a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²			
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			
Sample Size	719	104	306	224	43	69	68	44	177	44	44	29	46	70			

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

Which best describes your current housing type?	All Lewis County	Current Housing Type			Home Ownership			Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?	
	Participants	Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
Single-family home	85.9% ¹	100.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	53.2% ^a	95.2% ^b	92.5% ^b	65.0% ^a	89.8% ^b	55.1% ^a	81.3% ^{bc}	90.1% ^c	71.2% ^{a,b}	55.8% ^a	88.8% ^b	85.3% ^a	91.1% ^a
Apartment	7.7% ¹	0.0% ²	100.0% ²	0.0% ²	36.0% ^a	0.6% ^{bc}	1.0% ^{bc}	20.8% ^a	5.2% ^{bc}	28.3% ^a	7.1% ^{bc}	5.8% ^{bc}	0.0% ²	19.3% ^a	6.6% ^{bc}	8.1% ^a	4.5% ^a
Mobile home	6.3% ¹	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	100.0% ²	10.7% ^a	4.2% ^{bc}	6.4% ^{a,b}	13.9% ^a	5.0% ^{bc}	16.6% ^a	11.6% ^{bc}	4.1% ^{bc}	24.8% ^a	24.9% ^a	4.7% ^{bc}	6.6% ^{bc}	4.4% ^a
Homeless	0.0% ¹	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.4% ^{bc}	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	4.0% ^{bc}	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	719	625	56	37	116	311	279	84	565	35	98	522	8	40	642	517	137

Table 5.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

		All Lewis County	Gender		Age Group				Education Level			Annual HH Income				Poverty				
		Participants	Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI
Which best describes the type of housing that you prefer to live in at this time?	Single-family home	89.6% ¹	91.8% _a	88.6% _{ab}	88.7% _{ab}	94.9% _a	91.3% _a	92.7% _a	83.3% _{ab}	85.3% _a	92.5% _a	93.1% _{ab}	69.3% _{ab}	86.8% _{ab}	91.6% _{bc}	92.9% _{bc}	97.2% _c	73.4% _{ab}	85.1% _a	94.9% _{ab}
	Apartment	7.5% ¹	6.4% _{ab}	7.8% _{ab}	8.7% _{ab}	3.6% _{ab}	5.7% _{ab}	4.4% _{ab}	10.3% _{ab}	11.0% _{ab}	4.0% _{ab}	6.0% _{ab}	18.9% _{ab}	9.6% _{ab}	5.7% _{ab}	3.8% _{ab}	2.4% _{ab}	13.8% _{ab}	11.5% _{ab}	3.1% _{ab}
	Mobile home	2.9% ¹	1.8% _{ab}	3.6% _{ab}	2.5% _{ab}	1.5% _{ab}	2.9% _{ab}	2.9% _{ab}	6.8% _{ab}	3.7% _{ab}	3.4% _{ab}	0.9% _{ab}	11.8% _{ab}	3.6% _{ab}	2.7% _{ab}	3.3% _{ab}	0.4% _{ab}	12.8% _{ab}	3.4% _{ab}	2.0% _{ab}
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size		718	230	411	141	118	127	166	108	200	258	205	54	128	132	124	193	49	130	439

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

		All Lewis County	Employed	Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs		
		Participants	FT and/or PT	Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C or L	Liberal
Which best describes the type of housing that you prefer to live in at this time?	Single-family home	89.6% ¹	93.0% ¹	88.4% _{ab}	90.1% _a	74.7% _{ab}	92.6% _{ab}	92.8% _{ab}	92.1% _{ab}	89.0% _{ab}	80.2% _{ab}	92.3% _{ab}	91.0% _{ab}	93.8% _{ab}	86.9% _{ab}	88.3% _{ab}
	Apartment	7.5% ¹	5.0% ¹	7.7% _{ab}	6.9% _{ab}	17.1% _{ab}	4.0% _{ab}	5.8% _{ab}	5.8% _{ab}	7.4% _{ab}	19.8% _{ab}	7.2% _{ab}	4.8% _{ab}	4.6% _{ab}	8.5% _{ab}	10.4% _{ab}
	Mobile home	2.9% ¹	2.1% ¹	3.9% _{ab}	3.0% _{ab}	8.2% _{ab}	3.4% _{ab}	1.4% _{ab}	2.1% _{ab}	3.6% _{ab}	0.0% ²	0.4% _{ab}	4.2% _{ab}	1.7% _{ab}	4.6% _{ab}	1.3% _{ab}
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size		718	381	104	554	82	276	293	207	444	25	125	466	287	286	78

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

		All Lewis County	County Region			Legislative District									
		Participants	Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10
Which best describes the type of housing that you prefer to live in at this time?	Single-family home	89.6% ¹	86.4% _{ab}	90.7% _{ab}	91.5% _{ab}	84.5% _{ab}	94.0% _a	88.5% _{ab}	96.3% _{ab}	82.9% _{ab}	95.4% _{ab}	95.5% _{ab}	94.3% _{ab}	96.3% _{ab}	85.1% _{ab}
	Apartment	7.5% ¹	9.6% _{ab}	6.6% _{ab}	5.2% _{ab}	11.4% _{ab}	1.4% _{ab}	10.8% _{ab}	0.0% ²	12.9% _{ab}	3.3% _{ab}	1.7% _{ab}	5.7% _{ab}	0.0% ²	11.5% _{ab}
	Mobile home	2.9% ¹	4.0% _{ab}	2.6% _{ab}	3.3% _{ab}	4.1% _{ab}	4.6% _{ab}	0.7% _{ab}	3.7% _{ab}	4.2% _{ab}	1.3% _{ab}	2.8% _{ab}	0.0% ²	3.7% _{ab}	3.4% _{ab}
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size		718	104	304	224	42	70	68	44	176	44	43	29	45	71

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

		All Lewis County	Current Housing Type			Home Ownership		Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?		
		Participants	Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
Which best describes the type of housing that you prefer to live in at this time?	Single-family home	89.6% ¹	96.1% _a	47.5% _{ab}	55.0% _{ab}	71.0% _a	97.6% _{ab}	92.1% _c	82.3% _a	91.9% _b	78.2% _{ab}	93.9% _{ab}	90.8% _{ab}	68.5% _{ab}	79.4% _{ab}	91.1% _{ab}	90.4% _a	89.4% _a
	Apartment	7.5% ¹	3.6% _{ab}	48.5% _{ab}	9.1% _{ab}	23.7% _{ab}	1.4% _{ab}	4.3% _{ab}	12.8% _{ab}	5.5% _{ab}	17.8% _{ab}	2.8% _{ab}	6.3% _{ab}	31.5% _{ab}	15.8% _{ab}	6.0% _{ab}	6.9% _{ab}	7.4% _{ab}
	Mobile home	2.9% ¹	0.3% _{ab}	4.1% _{ab}	35.9% _c	5.3% _{ab}	1.0% _{ab}	3.6% _{ab}	5.0% _{ab}	2.7% _{ab}	3.9% _{ab}	3.3% _{ab}	2.9% _{ab}	0.0% ²	4.8% _{ab}	2.9% _{ab}	2.7% _{ab}	3.2% _{ab}
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size		718	620	55	37	116	313	276	83	563	34	101	517	8	40	640	515	136

Table 6.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

		All Lewis County	Gender		Age Group					Education Level			Annual HH Income					Poverty		
		Participants	Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI
Do you prefer to live inside a village, or more rural outside a village?	Inside village (urban)	17.5% ¹	14.8% _a	20.3% _{ab}	14.9% _a	16.3% _{a,b}	13.0% _a	17.6% _{a,b}	29.7% _b	15.6% _a	13.8% _a	28.9% _{bc}	23.6% _a	17.0% _a	21.5% _a	14.7% _a	14.9% _a	19.9% _a	16.2% _a	17.0% _a
	Outside village (rural)	74.9% ¹	76.3% _{ab}	73.5% _{ab}	74.5% _{a,b}	79.1% _{a,b}	80.8% _a	76.9% _{a,b}	62.1% _b	77.4% _a	78.6% _a	63.5% _{bc}	69.3% _b	71.8% _b	78.6% _b	72.0% _b	80.6% _b	76.9% _a	69.9% _a	78.0% _a
	Don't know/Not sure/No preference	7.7% ¹	8.9% _a	6.2% _a	10.7% _a	4.6% _a	6.2% _a	5.5% _a	8.2% _a	7.0% _a	7.8% _a	7.6% _a	7.1% _a	11.3% _a	6.7% _a	6.5% _a	4.5% _a	3.2% _{a,b}	13.9% _a	5.0% _a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size		722	231	415	140	118	129	167	110	201	267	209	52	129	133	125	194	48	132	441

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

		All Lewis County	Employed	Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs		
		Participants	FT and/or PT	Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C or L	Liberal
Do you prefer to live inside a village, or more rural outside a village?	Inside village (urban)	17.5% ¹	15.0% ¹	22.5% _{ab}	16.6% _a	30.8% _a	17.8% _b	13.2% _b	11.8% _a	19.7% _b	21.0% _a	16.4% _a	16.4% _a	15.6% _a	14.1% _a	39.5% _{bc}
	Outside village (rural)	74.9% ¹	78.5% ¹	63.8% _{ab}	77.4% _b	59.3% _a	76.5% _b	78.9% _b	78.8% _a	73.6% _a	54.7% _b	77.8% _{bc}	76.5% _b	79.2% _b	76.4% _b	51.2% _b
	Don't know/Not sure/No preference	7.7% ¹	6.5% ¹	13.6% _a	6.1% _b	9.9% _a	5.7% _a	7.9% _a	9.4% _a	6.7% _a	24.2% _a	5.8% _b	7.0% _b	5.1% _a	9.6% _a	9.3% _a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size		722	384	106	556	84	278	295	211	445	26	126	468	290	288	78

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

		All Lewis County	County Region				Legislative District									
		Participants	Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10	
Do you prefer to live inside a village, or more rural outside a village?	Inside village (urban)	17.5% ¹	10.5% _a	20.9% _b	14.4% _{a,b}	13.9% _{a,c,d}	13.8% _{a,b,c}	26.2% _{a,b,d,e,f}	3.3% _c	37.8% _d	6.9% _{b,c,e}	13.9% _{a,c,d}	6.1% _{b,c,f}	12.8% _{a,c,d}	5.2% _{c,g}	
	Outside village (rural)	74.9% ¹	82.0% _{ab}	74.2% _{ab}	75.5% _{ab}	71.6% _{a,c,d,d,e,f,g}	73.8% _{a,c,d,e,f,g}	68.6% _{a,c,d,e,f,g}	87.6% _{a,b}	53.2% _c	92.1% _{b,d}	84.1% _{b,e}	91.0% _{b,f}	79.7% _{a,c,d,e,f,g}	88.7% _{b,g}	
	Don't know/Not sure/No preference	7.7% ¹	7.5% _a	4.9% _a	10.1% _a	14.5% _a	12.4% _a	5.2% _a	9.1% _a	9.0% _a	1.0% _a	1.9% _a	2.9% _a	7.5% _a	6.0% _a	
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Sample Size		722	105	306	226	44	71	68	43	178	44	44	29	45	71	

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

		All Lewis County	Current Housing Type			Home Ownership		Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?		
		Participants	Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
Do you prefer to live inside a village, or more rural outside a village?	Inside village (urban)	17.5% ¹	16.6% _a	40.0% _b	4.0% _a	19.5% _a	17.9% _a	15.5% _a	16.6% _a	17.9% _a	18.6% _a	14.2% _a	18.6% _a	13.7% _a	6.6% _a	18.8% _a	18.9% _a	14.5% _a
	Outside village (rural)	74.9% ¹	77.4% _{ab}	42.3% _{bc}	84.3% _b	66.4% _a	77.0% _{a,b}	78.0% _b	66.6% _a	76.3% _a	50.2% _a	80.4% _b	75.8% _b	57.1% _b	79.6% _b	74.4% _b	72.9% _a	80.0% _a
	Don't know/Not sure/No preference	7.7% ¹	6.0% _a	17.7% _b	11.7% _{a,b}	14.1% _a	5.1% _b	6.5% _b	16.8% _a	5.9% _b	31.2% _a	5.4% _b	5.6% _b	29.3% _a	13.8% _{a,b}	6.8% _b	8.2% _a	5.5% _a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size		722	622	55	37	115	315	280	83	569	35	101	522	8	40	645	519	136

Table 7.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County	Gender		Age Group					Education Level				Annual HH Income					Poverty		
	Participants	Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI	
Ideally, what number of bedrooms would you prefer in a home for you at this time?																				
1 bedroom	3.8% ¹	3.6%	4.3%	3.8%	0.4%	2.3%	6.3%	5.9%	6.6%	3.2%	1.3%	25.1%	3.8%	1.9%	1.1%	0.0% ²	23.2%	3.7%	1.0%	
2 bedrooms	22.8% ¹	22.5%	19.1%	14.0%	11.2%	17.8%	24.5%	47.5%	28.2%	17.8%	17.2%	24.0%	30.0%	26.2%	19.3%	10.8%	26.4%	27.6%	18.6%	
3 bedrooms	45.3% ¹	40.9%	50.3%	37.0%	44.7%	51.6%	55.2%	40.3%	43.3%	47.4%	45.5%	41.6%	47.6%	47.9%	47.6%	41.3%	39.3%	47.4%	45.5%	
4 bedrooms	22.4% ¹	25.1%	22.2%	34.0%	37.3%	24.6%	11.5%	4.8%	4.6%	17.7%	24.7%	29.5%	3.8%	15.5%	29.0%	37.8%	4.0%	16.3%	28.8%	
5+ bedrooms	5.7% ¹	7.9%	4.2%	11.2%	6.4%	3.7%	2.4%	1.7%	4.2%	6.9%	6.4%	5.4%	3.2%	7.0%	2.9%	10.1%	7.0%	5.0%	6.2%	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Sample Size	711	229	411	136	115	129	166	109	192	258	207	50	125	133	124	193	47	127	441	

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County	Employed	Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs		
	Participants	FT and/or PT	Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C or I	Liberal
Ideally, what number of bedrooms would you prefer in a home for you at this time?															
1 bedroom	3.8% ¹	2.5%	3.3%	4.0%	17.1%	2.7%	0.7%	0.0% ²	5.6%	10.3%	1.1%	4.4%	2.4%	5.4%	4.2%
2 bedrooms	22.8% ¹	15.8%	30.9%	19.8%	43.0%	29.1%	7.4%	7.2%	27.6%	16.5%	12.9%	25.4%	21.6%	22.4%	17.6%
3 bedrooms	45.3% ¹	43.1%	33.9%	47.4%	34.7%	52.7%	41.5%	35.1%	49.8%	49.3%	43.8%	44.5%	45.7%	42.8%	55.9%
4 bedrooms	22.4% ¹	31.5%	19.8%	24.0%	5.2%	13.8%	38.7%	42.2%	15.4%	20.8%	29.0%	21.6%	24.8%	21.8%	20.8%
5+ bedrooms	5.7% ¹	7.1%	12.1%	4.7%	0.0% ²	1.6%	11.7%	15.5%	1.7%	3.1%	13.3%	4.1%	5.5%	7.5%	1.6%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	711	379	100	553	84	277	288	204	445	25	123	465	287	282	77

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

	All Lewis County	County Region			Legislative District									
	Participants	Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10
Ideally, what number of bedrooms would you prefer in a home for you at this time?														
1 bedroom	3.8% ¹	1.7%	4.3%	4.0%	1.9%	3.7%	4.3%	5.4%	7.0%	4.8%	1.1%	2.9%	2.0%	0.0% ²
2 bedrooms	22.8% ¹	21.5%	20.5%	23.7%	35.3%	18.2%	15.6%	30.1%	19.0%	11.3%	37.4%	13.2%	20.4%	23.1%
3 bedrooms	45.3% ¹	49.2%	47.3%	40.0%	34.9%	40.6%	46.8%	36.1%	43.4%	56.4%	41.4%	40.9%	50.9%	54.4%
4 bedrooms	22.4% ¹	19.0%	23.4%	25.5%	13.5%	34.7%	25.6%	23.0%	22.8%	24.5%	17.4%	36.4%	26.7%	12.9%
5+ bedrooms	5.7% ¹	8.5%	4.5%	6.8%	14.5%	2.8%	7.6%	5.5%	7.8%	3.0%	2.7%	6.6%	0.0% ²	9.6%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	711	103	303	224	43	70	67	44	176	44	43	28	45	70

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

	All Lewis County	Current Housing Type			Home Ownership			Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?	
	Participants	Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
Ideally, what number of bedrooms would you prefer in a home for you at this time?																	
1 bedroom	3.8% ¹	2.3%	15.2%	9.9%	10.6%	0.0% ²	4.4%	6.3%	3.2%	9.3%	0.9%	3.8%	25.9%	16.8%	2.8%	4.4%	1.1%
2 bedrooms	22.8% ¹	20.9%	34.8%	34.3%	28.6%	15.2%	27.4%	24.1%	20.9%	26.8%	21.9%	20.5%	51.2%	24.5%	22.2%	23.9%	19.1%
3 bedrooms	45.3% ¹	45.9%	43.0%	37.8%	40.7%	45.8%	48.0%	52.5%	44.2%	37.5%	45.7%	46.6%	15.0%	31.3%	46.2%	45.0%	45.7%
4 bedrooms	22.4% ¹	24.5%	7.1%	14.8%	15.0%	32.3%	15.1%	13.2%	25.6%	23.6%	23.6%	23.8%	7.9%	14.1%	23.3%	20.4%	27.8%
5+ bedrooms	5.7% ¹	6.4%	0.0% ²	3.2%	5.1%	6.8%	5.1%	3.9%	6.1%	2.8%	7.9%	5.3%	0.0% ²	13.3%	5.4%	6.3%	6.2%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	711	613	54	35	110	311	279	77	567	32	99	520	6	38	642	516	135

Table 8.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County	Gender		Age Group					Education Level				Annual HH Income					Poverty		
	Participants	Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI	
Ideally, what number of bathrooms would you prefer in a home for you at this time?																				
1 bathroom	9.6% ¹	8.3% _a	9.3% _a	9.3% _a	8.1% _a	6.3% _a	11.0% _a	12.4% _a	17.8% _a	5.4% _a	3.3% _b	41.2% _b	12.7% _b	6.0% _b	6.5% _b	0.0% ²	37.9% _a	12.4% _b	3.8% _c	
1.5 bathrooms	9.7% ¹	7.5% _a	11.5% _a	2.7% _a	5.9% _{a,b}	6.8% _{a,b}	16.6% _{b,c}	20.4% _c	8.0% _a	11.3% _a	8.2% _a	12.3% _{a,b}	12.7% _a	13.4% _a	9.1% _{a,b}	3.9% _b	12.9% _a	12.4% _a	8.6% _c	
2 bathrooms	63.5% ¹	65.3% _a	63.0% _a	69.6% _a	65.7% _a	65.9% _a	55.3% _a	60.9% _a	60.4% _a	67.2% _a	63.6% _a	38.8% _a	68.0% _a	64.9% _b	69.8% _b	66.7% _b	39.7% _a	70.3% _b	66.2% _b	
2.5 bathrooms	6.8% ¹	6.8% _a	6.2% _a	6.0% _a	6.2% _a	7.7% _a	8.1% _a	2.3% _a	3.1% _a	6.7% _{a,b}	10.7% _b	1.0% _{a,b}	0.7% _a	7.3% _{a,b}	4.9% _{a,b}	1.0% _{a,b}	1.0% _{a,b}	0.7% _a	8.7% _b	
3 bathrooms	8.2% ¹	10.0% _a	7.4% _a	10.2% _a	10.9% _a	12.1% _a	5.0% _a	3.5% _a	6.5% _a	7.8% _a	13.5% _a	4.2% _{a,b}	5.4% _{a,b}	7.0% _{a,b}	4.6% _a	15.5% _b	5.8% _a	3.6% _a	9.9% _a	
4 bathrooms	1.8% ¹	1.4% _a	2.6% _a	2.2% _a	3.2% _a	1.2% _a	2.4% _a	0.5% _a	3.1% _a	1.7% _a	0.7% _a	2.5% _a	0.5% _a	1.5% _a	3.4% _a	1.8% _a	2.6% _a	0.5% _a	2.2% _a	
5+ bathrooms	0.3% ¹	0.7% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	1.5% _a	0.0% ²	1.0% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	1.8% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.5% _a	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Sample Size	706	226	410	135	114	129	165	108	189	257	207	50	124	133	124	193	47	126	441	

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County	Employed	Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs		
	Participants	FT and/or PT	Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C or L	Liberal
Ideally, what number of bathrooms would you prefer in a home for you at this time?															
1 bathroom	9.6% ¹	7.0% ¹	10.5% _a	9.1% _a	38.1% _a	5.9% _b	3.7% _b	4.0% _a	11.5% _b	15.2% _a	6.4% _a	9.8% _a	5.9% _a	12.5% _b	11.3% _{a,b}
1.5 bathrooms	9.7% ¹	5.8% ¹	16.1% _a	8.4% _b	14.0% _a	14.3% _a	3.5% _b	2.9% _a	12.5% _b	1.3% _a	9.4% _a	10.6% _a	10.0% _a	9.8% _a	8.0% _a
2 bathrooms	63.5% ¹	66.2% ¹	61.0% _a	64.3% _a	43.4% _a	66.9% _b	67.7% _b	68.6% _a	61.9% _a	61.6% _a	62.1% _a	64.7% _a	65.8% _a	63.1% _a	59.4% _a
2.5 bathrooms	6.8% ¹	6.8% ¹	3.1% _a	7.0% _a	3.9% _a	5.1% _a	8.4% _a	6.6% _a	6.1% _a	2.1% _a	5.3% _a	6.6% _a	5.9% _a	5.6% _a	10.6% _a
3 bathrooms	8.2% ¹	10.9% ¹	5.8% _a	9.1% _a	0.0% ²	6.0% _a	13.4% _b	13.8% _a	6.4% _b	14.4% _{a,b}	16.3% _a	5.5% _b	10.5% _a	6.7% _a	8.6% _a
4 bathrooms	1.8% ¹	2.7% ¹	1.4% _a	2.1% _a	0.6% _a	0.6% _a	1.1% _a	4.1% _a	1.1% _b	5.4% _a	0.5% _a	2.2% _a	1.9% _a	1.5% _a	2.1% _a
5+ bathrooms	0.3% ¹	0.6% ¹	2.2% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.8% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.5% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.5% _a	0.0% ²	0.8% _a	0.0% ²
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	706	377	99	550	82	276	287	203	442	25	123	461	286	280	77

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

	All Lewis County	County Region												
	Participants	Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10
Ideally, what number of bathrooms would you prefer in a home for you at this time?														
1 bathroom	9.6% ¹	5.0% _a	9.8% _a	10.5% _a	9.7% _a	14.4% _a	8.8% _a	8.1% _a	12.3% _a	14.7% _a	4.9% _a	5.7% _a	3.0% _a	5.0% _a
1.5 bathrooms	9.7% ¹	4.4% _a	10.7% _a	11.2% _a	16.4% _a	8.0% _a	13.2% _a	9.4% _a	5.9% _a	14.0% _a	17.9% _a	14.3% _a	5.3% _a	4.1% _a
2 bathrooms	63.5% ¹	65.5% _a	60.6% _a	66.3% _a	67.3% _a	66.2% _a	65.0% _a	67.0% _a	62.8% _a	54.8% _a	57.6% _a	57.2% _a	69.9% _a	65.4% _a
2.5 bathrooms	6.8% ¹	6.4% _{a,b}	9.9% _a	2.7% _b	2.5% _a	4.8% _a	3.0% _a	0.0% ²	7.6% _a	8.7% _a	11.1% _a	18.6% _a	13.2% _a	2.5% _b
3 bathrooms	8.2% ¹	16.4% _a	7.8% _b	6.3% _b	3.9% _a	6.7% _a	6.9% _a	6.8% _a	9.8% _a	7.8% _a	7.2% _a	2.3% _a	5.9% _a	21.3% _a
4 bathrooms	1.8% ¹	2.2% _a	1.2% _a	2.1% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	3.0% _a	5.1% _a	1.5% _a	0.0% ²	1.3% _a	2.0% _a	2.6% _a	1.6% _a
5+ bathrooms	0.3% ¹	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.9% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	3.7% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	706	102	301	223	43	70	67	43	175	44	43	27	45	69

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

	All Lewis County	Current Housing Type			Home Ownership		Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?		
	Participants	Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
Ideally, what number of bathrooms would you prefer in a home for you at this time?																	
1 bathroom	9.6% ¹	6.9% _a	29.7% _b	19.4% _b	22.4% _a	3.9% _b	8.5% _b	13.6% _a	7.7% _b	15.2% _a	5.9% _a	9.2% _a	77.1% _a	32.6% _b	7.6% _b	10.4% _a	4.8% _b
1.5 bathrooms	9.7% ¹	9.9% _a	13.1% _a	5.0% _a	7.8% _a	7.9% _a	13.3% _a	8.0% _a	10.3% _a	11.1% _a	10.4% _a	8.8% _a	0.0% ²	7.5% _a	9.7% _a	10.6% _a	5.8% _a
2 bathrooms	63.5% ¹	63.8% _a	55.8% _a	72.4% _a	62.1% _a	64.5% _a	63.8% _a	73.7% _a	62.9% _a	59.4% _a	68.6% _a	64.5% _a	15.0% _a	35.4% _a	66.4% _b	61.6% _a	72.0% _b
2.5 bathrooms	6.8% ¹	7.7% _a	1.4% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	9.8% _a	7.1% _a	0.0% ²	7.4% _a	6.8% _a	4.0% _a	6.6% _a	0.0% ²	2.6% _a	6.5% _a	6.4% _a	6.5% _a
3 bathrooms	8.2% ¹	9.3% _a	0.0% ²	3.2% _a	5.7% _a	11.2% _a	5.7% _a	6.7% _a	8.9% _a	11.1% _a	8.2% _a	7.9% _a	17.0% _a	17.0% _a	7.7% _a	9.2% _a	7.0% _a
4 bathrooms	1.8% ¹	2.1% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	2.1% _a	2.7% _a	0.7% _a	0.0% ²	2.3% _a	5.1% _a	0.0% ²	2.1% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	1.3% _a	4.0% _b	4.0% _b
5+ bathrooms	0.3% ¹	0.4% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.9% _a	0.0% ²	0.4% _a	0.0% ²	0.5% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	4.9% _a	0.0% ²	0.5% _a	0.0% ²
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	706	609	53	35	109	309	277	76	563	32	97	517	6	37	638	512	134

Table 9 is a "Summary" table in Section 3, with all individual-survey-item cross-tabulations associated with these items included in the following Tables 10-17.

Table 10.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County	Gender		Age Group					Education Level			Annual HH Income					Poverty			
		Participants	Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's degree	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI
How important is: broadband/high-speed internet access?	Very important	74.7% ¹	75.4% ^a	75.1% ^a	64.7% ^a	75.1% ^{a,b}	85.5% ^b	80.2% ^{b,c}	77.7% ^{a,b}	67.8% ^a	78.7% ^b	81.1% ^b	60.4% ^a	71.4% ^{a,b}	79.2% ^{a,b}	74.3% ^{a,b}	79.1% ^b	56.4% ^a	74.3% ^b	77.4% ^b
	Somewhat important	17.1% ¹	16.1% ^a	17.3% ^a	24.7% ^a	16.8% ^{a,b}	9.4% ^b	12.7% ^{b,c}	15.3% ^{a,b}	18.3% ^a	15.9% ^a	14.6% ^a	20.9% ^b	16.6% ^a	15.0% ^a	19.9% ^a	14.9% ^a	23.9% ^a	14.3% ^a	16.9% ^a
	A little important	4.3% ¹	4.4% ^a	4.1% ^a	7.5% ^a	3.8% ^a	1.9% ^a	3.5% ^a	2.4% ^a	5.7% ^a	3.9% ^a	3.2% ^a	9.8% ^a	6.0% ^a	2.7% ^a	2.9% ^a	4.1% ^a	12.3% ^a	5.7% ^{a,b}	3.0% ^a
	Not at all important	3.0% ¹	3.0% ^a	2.5% ^a	2.6% ^a	4.2% ^a	1.2% ^a	2.9% ^a	4.8% ^a	5.7% ^a	1.5% ^b	1.1% ^{a,b}	5.2% ^a	4.1% ^a	2.3% ^a	2.8% ^a	1.9% ^a	5.6% ^a	3.9% ^a	2.4% ^a
	Not sure	1.0% ¹	1.1% ^a	1.1% ^a	0.6% ^a	0.0% ²	2.0% ^a	0.7% ^a	0.0% ²	2.5% ^a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	3.7% ^a	1.9% ^a	0.8% ^a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	1.8% ^a	1.8% ^a	0.3% ^a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Size	723	232	416	141	118	130	167	109	199	258	209	53	129	133	124	194	49	132	440

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County	Employed	Disabilities	Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs						
				Participants	FT and/or PT	Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C or I	Liberal
How important is: broadband/high-speed internet access?	Very important	74.7% ¹	74.6% ¹	81.5% ^a	73.4% ^a	74.4% ^a	78.9% ^a	71.9% ^a	71.5% ^a	76.5% ^a	58.5% ^a	77.0% ^a	75.0% ^a	71.8% ^a	75.5% ^{a,b}	88.5% ^b		
	Somewhat important	17.1% ¹	17.8% ¹	14.1% ^a	17.4% ^a	15.2% ^a	14.9% ^a	19.1% ^a	18.9% ^a	15.9% ^a	30.2% ^a	16.0% ^a	17.3% ^a	18.9% ^a	16.2% ^a	11.5% ^a		
	A little important	4.3% ¹	4.2% ¹	2.7% ^a	4.7% ^a	3.6% ^a	4.1% ^a	4.7% ^a	6.0% ^a	3.4% ^a	3.0% ^a	5.7% ^a	4.2% ^a	5.1% ^a	3.9% ^a	0.0% ²		
	Not at all important	3.0% ¹	3.1% ¹	0.7% ^a	3.4% ^a	4.0% ^a	2.1% ^a	3.5% ^a	3.6% ^a	2.7% ^a	2.7% ^a	1.3% ^a	3.1% ^a	2.7% ^a	3.9% ^a	0.0% ²		
	Not sure	1.0% ¹	0.3% ¹	1.1% ^a	1.1% ^a	2.7% ^a	0.0% ²	0.8% ^a	0.0% ²	1.5% ^a	4.8% ^a	0.0% ²	0.5% ^b	1.5% ^a	0.4% ^a	0.0% ²		
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
	Sample Size	723	383	108	555	83	277	297	210	446	26	126	468	290	288	78		

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

	All Lewis County	County Region				Legislative District									
		Participants	Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10
How important is: broadband/high-speed internet access?	Very important	74.7% ¹	74.5% ^a	76.2% ^a	73.3% ^a	79.5% ^a	70.5% ^a	67.4% ^a	78.2% ^a	67.3% ^a	78.0% ^a	90.2% ^a	63.9% ^a	90.7% ^a	73.1% ^a
	Somewhat important	17.1% ¹	18.3% ^a	13.3% ^a	20.8% ^a	14.0% ^a	21.9% ^a	25.2% ^a	20.0% ^a	20.7% ^a	10.8% ^a	5.6% ^a	16.6% ^a	9.3% ^a	17.3% ^a
	A little important	4.3% ¹	1.2% ^a	5.8% ^a	3.7% ^a	2.9% ^a	4.3% ^a	7.4% ^a	0.0% ²	5.4% ^a	8.0% ^a	3.0% ^a	12.2% ^a	0.0% ²	0.8% ^a
	Not at all important	3.0% ¹	3.2% ^a	3.8% ^a	2.1% ^a	3.6% ^a	3.3% ^a	0.0% ²	1.8% ^a	4.6% ^a	3.1% ^a	1.2% ^a	7.3% ^a	0.0% ²	4.7% ^a
	Not sure	1.0% ¹	2.9% ^a	0.9% ^a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	2.1% ^a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	4.2% ^a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Size	723	105	306	226	44	71	67	44	178	44	44	29	45	71

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

	All Lewis County	Current Housing Type			Home Ownership			Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?		
		Participants	Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
How important is: broadband/high-speed internet access?	Very important	74.7% ¹	75.1% ^a	68.0% ^a	81.9% ^b	67.1% ^a	78.3% ^b	75.3% ^{a,b}	71.9% ^a	76.3% ^a	69.8% ^a	81.4% ^a	75.3% ^a	46.5% ^{a,b}	59.6% ^a	77.0% ^b	80.0% ^a	63.0% ^b
	Somewhat important	17.1% ¹	17.8% ^a	9.4% ^a	14.6% ^a	17.3% ^a	15.0% ^a	18.2% ^a	17.2% ^a	16.3% ^a	11.3% ^a	15.7% ^a	16.2% ^a	10.5% ^a	26.5% ^a	16.0% ^a	13.9% ^a	23.1% ^b
	A little important	4.3% ¹	4.2% ^a	8.1% ^a	2.0% ^a	7.0% ^a	3.3% ^a	4.2% ^a	4.2% ^a	4.5% ^a	6.8% ^a	0.6% ^a	5.2% ^a	17.3% ^a	6.8% ^a	4.0% ^a	3.4% ^a	6.8% ^a
	Not at all important	3.0% ¹	2.7% ^a	5.8% ^a	1.5% ^a	3.6% ^a	3.4% ^a	2.3% ^a	4.1% ^a	2.8% ^a	6.5% ^a	2.3% ^a	2.9% ^a	12.3% ^a	4.8% ^a	2.2% ^a	2.2% ^a	5.7% ^b
	Not sure	1.0% ¹	0.2% ^a	8.7% ^a	0.0% ²	5.0% ^a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	2.7% ^a	0.2% ^a	5.6% ^a	0.0% ²	0.4% ^a	13.4% ^a	2.3% ^a	0.2% ^b	0.5% ^a	1.4% ^a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Size	723	621	56	37	116	314	281	83	569	35	101	523	8	40	646	518	139

Table 11.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County	Gender		Age Group					Education Level			Annual HH Income					Poverty		
	Participants	Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI
Very important	69.5% ¹	68.5% _a	69.9% _{ab}	74.3% _a	79.2% _a	78.1% _a	63.3% _{ab}	51.8% _b	67.2% _a	73.1% _a	68.1% _{ab}	63.8% _{ab}	54.7% _a	72.6% _{ab}	65.5% _{ab}	83.1% _c	62.4% _{ab}	58.3% _a	74.2% _b
Somewhat important	21.0% ¹	20.8% _a	21.2% _{ab}	18.6% _{ab}	15.1% _{ab}	15.9% _{ab}	26.5% _{ab}	29.7% _{ab}	20.9% _a	19.6% _a	23.3% _{ab}	19.0% _{ab}	30.8% _{ab}	21.4% _{ab}	21.2% _{ab}	15.2% _b	20.1% _{ab}	29.5% _{ab}	19.5% _b
A little important	6.7% ¹	7.4% _a	6.2% _a	5.6% _{ab}	2.4% _a	3.9% _a	6.7% _{ab}	15.0% _b	6.2% _a	5.8% _a	8.5% _a	8.7% _{ab}	10.5% _{ab}	3.2% _{ab}	11.2% _{ab}	1.7% _b	8.3% _a	8.4% _a	4.8% _a
Not at all important	2.0% ¹	1.8% _a	2.3% _{ab}	1.5% _a	1.8% _a	0.0% ²	3.4% _a	3.5% _a	3.7% _{ab}	1.5% _a	0.2% _a	6.6% _{ab}	2.1% _a	1.9% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	7.1% _a	2.0% _{ab}	1.3% _b
Not sure	0.8% ¹	1.5% _a	0.4% _a	0.0% ²	1.4% _a	2.0% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	2.0% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	2.0% _a	1.9% _a	0.8% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	2.2% _a	1.8% _a	0.3% _a
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	728	233	418	142	118	130	169	111	204	258	209	55	130	134	125	194	50	133	442

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County	Employed	Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs		
	Participants	FT and/or PT	Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C or L	Liberal
Very important	69.5% ¹	74.0% ¹	65.5% _a	70.4% _a	44.0% _a	67.6% _b	79.3% _c	82.0% _a	64.0% _b	51.1% _a	72.0% _a	69.3% _b	75.3% _b	68.0% _a	51.6% _b
Somewhat important	21.0% ¹	20.1% ¹	18.6% _{ab}	21.6% _a	32.5% _a	24.5% _a	15.0% _b	12.5% _a	24.8% _b	34.3% _{ab}	23.0% _{ab}	20.6% _a	18.3% _a	19.6% _a	38.3% _b
A little important	6.7% ¹	3.8% ¹	13.0% _{ab}	5.1% _b	11.2% _a	6.3% _a	4.5% _a	4.9% _a	7.3% _{ab}	0.0% ²	4.8% _a	7.5% _a	4.7% _a	8.0% _a	9.3% _a
Not at all important	2.0% ¹	1.5% ¹	2.9% _{ab}	1.8% _a	10.9% _{ab}	1.1% _b	0.4% _b	0.0% ²	2.9% _{ab}	9.8% _{ab}	0.2% _b	2.3% _{ab}	1.0% _a	3.5% _{ab}	0.9% _a
Not sure	0.8% ¹	0.6% ¹	0.0% ²	1.1% _a	1.5% _a	0.4% _a	0.8% _a	1.0% _a	0.6% _a	4.8% _{ab}	0.0% ²	0.3% _b	0.8% _a	0.9% _a	0.0% ²
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	728	384	109	559	83	279	299	212	449	26	127	471	293	289	78

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

	All Lewis County	County Region				Legislative District									
	Participants	Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10	
Very important	69.5% ¹	69.0% _a	69.5% _a	70.6% _a	63.4% _a	76.4% _{ab}	70.5% _{ab}	68.8% _{ab}	61.3% _a	64.2% _a	80.5% _{ab}	84.3% _{ab}	67.3% _{ab}	72.4% _b	
Somewhat important	21.0% ¹	19.4% _a	22.4% _{ab}	20.5% _{ab}	24.3% _{ab}	17.1% _{ab}	23.0% _{ab}	19.5% _{ab}	25.5% _{ab}	29.5% _{ab}	14.4% _a	7.5% _a	24.9% _{ab}	18.5% _b	
A little important	6.7% ¹	8.2% _a	4.7% _a	7.8% _a	12.3% _a	4.5% _a	6.5% _a	9.8% _a	7.7% _a	3.2% _a	2.7% _a	8.2% _a	7.7% _a	4.1% _a	
Not at all important	2.0% ¹	1.4% _a	2.6% _{ab}	1.1% _b	0.0% ²	2.1% _a	0.0% ²	1.8% _a	4.5% _{ab}	3.2% _{ab}	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	2.0% _a	
Not sure	0.8% ¹	2.0% _a	0.9% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	1.0% _a	1.0% _a	0.0% ²	2.4% _{ab}	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	2.9% _a	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Sample Size	728	106	308	228	44	72	68	44	179	44	44	29	46	72	

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

	All Lewis County	Current Housing Type			Home Ownership			Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?	
	Participants	Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
Very important	69.5% ¹	71.8% _a	46.3% _b	67.1% _{ab}	65.0% _{ab}	75.7% _a	66.4% _b	63.5% _a	71.5% _{ab}	59.5% _a	74.7% _{ab}	69.8% _b	74.5% _{ab}	63.4% _a	69.8% _b	68.0% _a	73.6% _{ab}
Somewhat important	21.0% ¹	21.2% _{ab}	19.3% _{ab}	17.9% _{ab}	18.2% _a	18.5% _a	23.8% _{ab}	25.8% _{ab}	19.6% _a	9.9% _a	17.7% _{ab}	22.7% _{ab}	13.6% _a	17.5% _{ab}	21.6% _{ab}	22.0% _{ab}	17.7% _{ab}
A little important	6.7% ¹	5.4% _a	17.2% _{ab}	12.3% _{ab}	7.1% _a	5.4% _a	7.6% _a	5.5% _a	6.6% _a	16.0% _{ab}	6.0% _{ab}	5.6% _b	0.0% ²	10.5% _{ab}	6.6% _a	7.4% _a	6.6% _a
Not at all important	2.0% ¹	1.6% _a	8.6% _{ab}	0.0% ²	6.3% _{ab}	0.0% ²	2.2% _b	2.6% _{ab}	2.1% _a	9.2% _{ab}	1.6% _{ab}	1.7% _b	11.9% _{ab}	6.3% _{ab}	1.6% _b	2.1% _a	1.3% _a
Not sure	0.8% ¹	0.0% ²	8.7% _a	2.7% _a	3.4% _a	0.4% _b	0.0% ²	2.6% _{ab}	0.2% _b	5.4% _{ab}	0.0% ²	0.2% _b	0.0% ²	2.3% _a	0.4% _a	0.5% _a	0.8% _a
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	728	624	56	37	116	316	282	85	570	36	101	525	9	40	649	521	139

Table 12.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County	Gender			Age Group					Education Level			Annual HH Income					Poverty		
	Participants	Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI	
	How important is: proximity to emergency services?	49.2% ¹	39.4% _a	58.9% _{bc}	42.9% _a	37.5% _a	54.7% _{ab}	51.6% _{ab}	67.8% _b	53.1% _a	45.9% _a	52.5% _{bc}	63.7% _b	50.5% _{ab}	50.5% _{ab}	51.4% _{ab}	42.0% _b	58.1% _a	50.3% _a	47.1% _a
Very important	30.4% ¹	36.8% _a	25.4% _b	33.8% _a	35.9% _a	24.6% _a	33.1% _a	25.6% _a	28.0% _a	33.6% _a	29.8% _a	18.7% _a	34.4% _{ab}	33.8% _a	23.4% _a	35.6% _a	21.7% _a	35.3% _a	31.6% _a	
Somewhat important	13.8% ¹	14.6% _a	11.3% _a	17.6% _a	18.3% _{ab}	13.1% _{ab,c}	7.1% _{b,c}	4.9% _c	9.9% _a	15.0% _a	12.9% _a	9.0% _a	10.7% _a	10.0% _a	19.0% _a	13.9% _a	11.4% _a	10.2% _a	14.1% _a	
A little important	6.0% ¹	8.2% _a	4.0% _b	5.7% _a	8.4% _a	5.5% _a	8.2% _a	1.7% _a	7.5% _a	5.4% _a	4.8% _a	8.6% _a	2.4% _a	4.9% _a	6.2% _a	8.5% _a	8.8% _a	2.3% _a	6.9% _a	
Not at all important	0.7% ¹	1.1% _a	0.4% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	2.0% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	1.5% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	1.9% _a	0.8% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	1.8% _a	0.3% _a	
Not sure	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Total	723	231	415	142	118	130	165	110	202	257	207	54	130	133	125	192	49	132	440	
Sample Size																				

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County	Employed	Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs		
	Participants	FT and/or PT	Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C or I	Liberal
	How important is: proximity to emergency services?	49.2% ¹	42.8% ¹	61.5% _a	46.8% _b	62.2% _a	49.9% _{ab}	44.6% _b	37.2% _a	54.1% _b	54.0% _a	46.0% _a	50.3% _{bc}	43.8% _a	52.8% _{ab}
Very important	30.4% ¹	32.5% ¹	26.0% _a	32.1% _a	19.1% _a	33.9% _b	32.6% _{ab}	36.8% _a	29.0% _a	32.6% _a	29.7% _a	32.4% _a	36.5% _a	26.7% _b	22.5% _{ab}
Somewhat important	13.8% ¹	17.3% ¹	5.9% _a	14.3% _b	15.3% _{ab}	9.0% _a	15.9% _b	18.4% _a	10.3% _b	5.5% _a	18.7% _a	12.1% _a	13.7% _a	12.9% _a	6.3% _a
A little important	6.0% ¹	7.1% ¹	6.6% _a	6.0% _a	2.0% _a	7.2% _a	6.1% _a	7.6% _a	5.5% _a	3.0% _a	5.5% _a	5.3% _a	5.3% _a	7.2% _a	5.5% _a
Not at all important	0.7% ¹	0.3% ¹	0.0% ²	0.9% _a	0.0% ²	1.5% _a	0.8% _a	0.0% ²	1.1% _a	4.8% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.8% _a	0.4% _a	0.0% ²
Not sure	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total	723	383	105	558	82	278	297	211	446	26	126	469	291	287	78
Sample Size															

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

	All Lewis County	County Region				Legislative District									
	Participants	Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10	
	How important is: proximity to emergency services?	49.2% ¹	44.8% _a	48.1% _a	51.3% _a	47.9% _a	55.6% _b	56.0% _b	43.6% _a	52.0% _a	45.2% _a	47.5% _a	25.8% _a	56.0% _b	44.3% _a
Very important	30.4% ¹	36.5% _a	31.6% _a	30.5% _a	43.3% _a	29.6% _a	18.0% _a	35.3% _a	33.4% _a	37.6% _a	29.2% _a	33.8% _a	27.6% _a	35.1% _a	
Somewhat important	13.8% ¹	10.0% _a	12.6% _a	14.9% _a	7.0% _a	11.9% _a	22.9% _a	15.7% _a	10.3% _a	11.3% _a	17.1% _a	13.7% _a	13.1% _a	9.3% _a	
A little important	6.0% ¹	6.7% _a	7.3% _a	3.3% _a	1.7% _a	2.8% _a	3.0% _a	5.4% _{ab}	3.3% _a	5.7% _{ab}	6.2% _{ab}	26.7% _b	3.2% _{ab}	8.4% _{ab}	
Not at all important	0.7% ¹	2.0% _a	0.4% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	1.0% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	2.9% _a	
Not sure	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Total	723	106	306	226	42	72	68	44	178	44	44	29	45	72	
Sample Size															

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

	All Lewis County	Current Housing Type			Home Ownership			Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?	
	Participants	Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
	How important is: proximity to emergency services?	49.2% ¹	48.2% _a	56.8% _b	53.6% _{ab}	50.3% _a	44.3% _a	52.6% _a	43.4% _a	50.0% _a	51.2% _a	51.7% _a	49.4% _a	36.2% _a	51.5% _a	49.5% _a	53.9% _a
Very important	30.4% ¹	32.0% _a	15.5% _b	30.7% _{ab}	24.2% _a	32.1% _a	32.4% _a	33.9% _a	30.1% _a	19.8% _a	31.3% _a	30.1% _a	21.6% _a	34.2% _a	30.8% _a	30.1% _a	36.1% _a
Somewhat important	13.8% ¹	13.6% _a	17.7% _a	12.4% _a	17.8% _a	15.6% _a	10.3% _a	12.7% _a	14.1% _a	16.8% _a	11.3% _a	14.3% _a	38.7% _a	1.6% _b	14.4% _a	11.1% _a	22.6% _b
A little important	6.0% ¹	6.3% _a	1.3% _a	3.3% _a	4.3% _a	8.0% _a	4.7% _a	7.3% _a	5.8% _a	7.1% _a	5.7% _a	6.0% _a	3.5% _a	10.4% _a	5.1% _a	4.6% _a	8.1% _a
Not at all important	0.7% ¹	0.0% ²	8.7% _a	0.0% ²	3.4% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	2.6% _a	0.0% ²	5.4% _a	0.0% ²	0.2% _b	0.0% ²	2.3% _a	0.2% _b	0.2% _b	0.8% _a
Not sure	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total	723	620	56	37	116	314	279	85	566	36	101	521	9	40	644	518	138
Sample Size																	

Table 13.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County	Gender		Age Group					Education Level			Annual HH Income					Poverty		
	Participants	Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI
Very important	48.6% ¹	40.3% _a	56.4% _{ab}	53.8% _a	44.0% _a	46.4% _a	45.6% _a	53.6% _a	51.0% _{ab}	43.5% _a	58.1% _{ab}	52.7% _{ab}	57.2% _a	53.4% _{ab}	46.7% _{ab}	40.5% _b	51.9% _a	57.3% _a	46.1% _a
Somewhat important	19.1% ¹	22.9% _a	17.2% _b	21.2% _a	16.1% _a	18.5% _a	25.0% _a	16.2% _a	21.4% _a	20.1% _a	17.2% _a	29.7% _{ab}	18.9% _a	16.6% _a	17.2% _a	23.3% _a	32.3% _a	19.9% _a	19.1% _a
A little important	12.3% ¹	12.9% _a	10.9% _a	11.2% _a	10.9% _a	13.3% _a	10.7% _a	13.5% _a	9.1% _a	13.0% _a	13.9% _a	9.4% _a	10.5% _a	10.0% _a	14.9% _a	12.6% _a	7.4% _a	10.7% _a	12.6% _a
Not at all important	18.9% ¹	22.7% _a	14.0% _b	13.3% _a	27.9% _{ab}	19.7% _{ab}	18.2% _{ab}	16.3% _{ab}	16.0% _{ab}	23.1% _a	10.4% _b	6.2% _a	11.5% _{ab}	18.2% _{ab}	20.5% _{ab}	23.7% _{ab}	8.4% _{ab}	10.3% _a	21.4% _{ab}
Not sure	1.2% ¹	1.1% _a	1.5% _a	0.6% _a	1.1% _a	2.0% _a	0.6% _a	0.5% _a	2.5% _a	0.3% _a	0.4% _a	2.1% _a	1.9% _a	1.8% _a	0.7% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	1.8% _a	0.8% _a
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	721	231	414	138	118	130	167	110	198	257	209	54	129	134	125	191	49	132	439

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County	Employed	Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs		
	Participants	FT and/or PT	Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C or I	Liberal
Very important	48.6% ¹	49.2% ¹	47.8% _a	49.3% _a	61.1% _a	49.7% _{ab}	44.9% _b	44.3% _a	50.3% _a	60.9% _a	45.1% _a	48.5% _a	41.5% _a	51.7% _{ab}	70.7% _{bc}
Somewhat important	19.1% ¹	17.8% ¹	22.3% _a	19.8% _a	21.3% _a	19.4% _a	20.4% _a	19.8% _a	20.5% _a	13.7% _a	25.1% _a	20.0% _a	22.3% _a	20.3% _{ab}	9.0% _b
A little important	12.3% ¹	13.0% ¹	6.1% _a	12.6% _a	10.0% _a	10.2% _a	13.8% _a	14.0% _a	10.7% _a	5.2% _a	12.2% _a	12.3% _a	14.1% _a	9.0% _a	15.2% _{ab}
Not at all important	18.9% ¹	19.3% ¹	22.6% _a	17.0% _a	5.0% _a	20.2% _{ab}	20.1% _{ab}	21.9% _a	16.6% _a	15.3% _a	17.6% _a	18.4% _a	20.6% _a	18.1% _a	5.1% _b
Not sure	1.2% ¹	0.8% ¹	1.1% _a	1.3% _a	2.6% _a	0.5% _a	0.8% _a	0.9% ²	1.8% _a	4.8% _a	0.0% ²	0.8% _b	1.5% _a	0.9% _a	0.0% ²
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	721	380	106	555	83	278	294	209	448	26	123	469	290	286	78

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

	All Lewis County	County Region				Legislative District									
	Participants	Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10	
Very important	48.6% ¹	44.9% _a	54.0% _a	45.8% _a	34.4% _{ab,c}	50.6% _{ab,c}	54.1% _{ab,c}	39.9% _{ab,c}	63.4% _b	41.9% _{ab,c}	62.0% _{ab}	25.9% _c	55.2% _{ab,c}	40.9% _{ab,c}	
Somewhat important	19.1% ¹	16.3% _a	20.6% _a	20.7% _a	24.7% _a	25.9% _a	13.8% _a	18.7% _a	23.1% _a	18.3% _a	28.3% _a	11.9% _b	11.9% _b	16.6% _b	
A little important	12.3% ¹	15.0% _{ab}	7.3% _a	14.5% _b	20.7% _a	12.9% _a	14.5% _a	12.0% _a	4.7% _a	13.2% _a	4.9% _a	11.6% _a	9.3% _a	17.1% _a	
Not at all important	18.9% ¹	21.3% _a	17.1% _a	18.2% _a	16.3% _{ac,d}	10.6% _{ac,d}	17.5% _{ac,d}	29.3% _{ab,f}	6.8% _c	26.7% _{ab,e,f}	4.8% _c	50.6% _{ef}	23.5% _{ac,d,f}	21.7% _{ac,d,f}	
Not sure	1.2% ¹	2.5% _a	0.9% _a	0.7% _a	4.0% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	2.1% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	3.7% _a	3.7% _a	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Sample Size	721	105	305	226	44	71	67	44	177	44	44	29	45	71	

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

	All Lewis County	Current Housing Type			Home Ownership			Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?			Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?	
	Participants	Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned	
Very important	48.6% ¹	45.1% _a	68.4% _{ab}	69.2% _{ab}	69.5% _a	43.0% _b	42.6% _b	67.9% _a	45.8% _b	58.7% _{ab}	59.5% _a	46.0% _b	79.8% _a	52.6% _a	47.6% _a	51.9% _a	36.0% _b	
Somewhat important	19.1% ¹	20.3% _a	17.4% _a	6.2% _a	13.3% _a	16.6% _{ab}	24.7% _{ab}	17.3% _a	18.5% _a	15.8% _a	14.3% _a	19.7% _a	0.0% ²	27.1% _a	19.3% _a	21.2% _a	18.0% _a	
A little important	12.3% ¹	13.3% _a	4.3% _a	10.9% _a	7.8% _a	14.3% _a	12.9% _a	6.9% _a	13.7% _a	7.0% _a	8.3% _a	13.5% _a	0.0% ²	3.0% _a	13.3% _{ab}	10.5% _a	16.4% _{ab}	
Not at all important	18.9% ¹	21.0% _a	1.1% _b	11.6% _{ab}	5.0% _a	25.5% _{ab}	19.6% _{ab}	5.3% _a	21.7% _{ab}	13.1% _a	17.0% _a	20.3% _a	4.7% _a	14.9% _a	19.1% _a	15.8% _{ab}	28.8% _{ab}	
Not sure	1.2% ¹	0.2% _a	8.8% _{ab}	2.1% _{ab}	4.4% _a	0.6% _a	0.2% _b	2.6% _a	0.4% _b	5.4% _a	0.9% _{ab}	0.5% _b	15.5% _a	2.3% _{ab}	0.6% _b	0.7% _a	0.8% _a	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Sample Size	721	618	55	37	114	314	279	85	566	36	99	522	8	40	644	520	136	

Table 14.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County	Gender		Age Group					Education Level			Annual HH Income					Poverty		
	Participants	Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI
How important is: proximity to family?																			
Very important	44.7% ¹	35.2% _a	54.9% _b	47.3% _a	36.5% _a	54.2% _a	42.2% _a	42.8% _a	49.7% _a	42.2% _a	45.1% _a	49.5% _a	45.9% _a	45.9% _a	47.6% _a	40.7% _a	45.4% _a	47.7% _a	43.8% _a
Somewhat important	28.6% ¹	31.1% _a	25.8% _a	26.9% _{a,b}	40.8% _a	22.1% _b	28.3% _{a,b}	32.6% _{a,b}	26.2% _a	30.7% _a	28.8% _a	20.7% _a	30.1% _a	28.1% _a	27.7% _a	33.5% _a	23.3% _a	28.4% _a	30.7% _a
A little important	16.2% ¹	22.6% _a	10.8% _b	16.8% _a	13.7% _a	17.5% _a	17.9% _a	13.4% _a	11.5% _a	20.3% _b	15.7% _{a,b}	11.4% _a	18.3% _a	17.5% _a	14.9% _a	15.5% _a	11.3% _a	18.4% _a	15.7% _a
Not at all important	9.4% ¹	9.8% _a	7.5% _a	9.0% _a	8.3% _a	4.2% _a	11.1% _a	10.0% _a	10.8% _a	6.7% _a	9.1% _a	18.4% _a	3.1% _b	7.4% _{a,b}	10.4% _{a,b}	20.0% _a	3.0% _b	9.2% _b	9.2% _b
Not sure	1.1% ¹	1.3% _a	1.0% _a	0.0% ²	0.7% _a	2.0% _a	0.5% _a	1.1% _a	1.9% _a	0.0% ²	1.2% _a	0.0% ²	2.5% _a	1.2% _a	0.9% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	2.4% _a	0.7% _a
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	725	232	416	142	118	130	168	109	202	258	208	54	130	133	125	194	49	132	442

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County	Employed	Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs		
	Participants	FT and/or PT	Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C or I	Liberal
How important is: proximity to family?															
Very important	44.7% ¹	46.3% ¹	44.1% _a	45.3% _a	43.7% _a	42.5% _a	48.2% _a	43.1% _a	45.6% _a	39.2% _a	38.8% _a	47.0% _a	45.0% _a	46.2% _a	41.4% _a
Somewhat important	28.6% ¹	30.2% ¹	27.2% _a	29.1% _a	14.4% _a	33.2% _b	29.1% _b	32.3% _a	27.6% _a	28.2% _a	29.8% _a	30.1% _a	28.7% _a	29.3% _a	27.1% _a
A little important	16.2% ¹	14.9% ¹	20.2% _a	15.5% _a	22.8% _a	16.1% _a	14.2% _a	16.7% _a	16.1% _a	6.8% _a	22.2% _a	14.1% _a	18.1% _a	14.2% _a	16.8% _a
Not at all important	9.4% ¹	8.2% ¹	7.9% _a	8.8% _a	16.6% _a	8.0% _{a,b}	7.3% _b	7.6% _a	9.2% _a	20.9% _a	8.7% _a	8.4% _a	7.2% _a	9.4% _a	13.9% _a
Not sure	1.1% ¹	0.5% ¹	0.6% _a	1.2% _a	2.5% _a	0.2% _a	1.2% _a	0.3% _a	1.5% _a	4.8% _a	0.5% _{a,b}	0.4% _b	1.0% _a	0.9% _a	0.8% _a
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	725	384	107	558	82	279	298	211	448	26	127	470	292	289	77

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

	All Lewis County	County Region				Legislative District									
	Participants	Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10	
How important is: proximity to family?															
Very important	44.7% ¹	39.1% _a	45.9% _a	47.4% _a	34.1% _a	48.7% _a	50.4% _a	52.0% _a	51.7% _a	49.6% _a	42.7% _a	32.7% _a	36.5% _a	38.6% _a	
Somewhat important	28.6% ¹	32.5% _a	28.7% _a	29.6% _a	41.7% _a	24.9% _a	25.8% _a	30.6% _a	26.2% _a	25.2% _a	42.5% _a	24.6% _a	31.1% _a	31.8% _a	
A little important	16.2% ¹	18.5% _a	15.0% _a	15.2% _a	18.7% _{a,b}	15.0% _{a,b}	15.0% _{a,b}	13.3% _{a,b}	10.5% _{a,b}	20.6% _{a,b}	5.0% _a	33.3% _b	19.0% _{a,b}	19.2% _{a,b}	
Not at all important	9.4% ¹	6.6% _a	9.8% _a	7.6% _a	5.6% _a	10.6% _a	8.8% _a	4.0% _a	10.6% _a	3.6% _a	9.8% _a	9.4% _a	11.8% _a	6.8% _a	
Not sure	1.1% ¹	3.2% _a	0.6% _{a,b}	0.3% _b	0.0% ²	0.8% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	1.0% _a	1.0% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	1.6% _a	3.6% _a	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Sample Size	725	106	307	227	43	72	68	44	179	44	43	29	46	72	

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

	All Lewis County	Current Housing Type			Home Ownership			Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?	
	Participants	Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
How important is: proximity to family?																	
Very important	44.7% ¹	42.8% _a	52.7% _a	60.0% _a	51.6% _a	44.0% _a	40.6% _a	42.4% _a	44.8% _a	46.3% _a	46.2% _a	44.3% _a	40.6% _a	42.4% _a	44.9% _a	51.0% _a	24.5% _b
Somewhat important	28.6% ¹	30.0% _a	15.0% _a	25.7% _a	23.4% _a	31.1% _a	28.5% _a	28.5% _a	29.1% _a	14.6% _a	28.1% _a	31.1% _a	41.8% _a	16.1% _a	30.0% _a	24.6% _a	42.3% _b
A little important	16.2% ¹	17.2% _a	10.5% _a	11.2% _a	11.3% _a	16.7% _a	18.9% _a	19.3% _a	15.9% _a	27.4% _a	11.0% _b	15.7% _{a,b}	10.1% _a	21.4% _a	16.0% _a	14.8% _a	22.4% _b
Not at all important	9.4% ¹	9.5% _a	13.1% _a	3.1% _a	10.3% _a	7.8% _a	11.1% _a	7.2% _a	9.6% _a	6.4% _a	13.9% _a	8.3% _a	7.5% _a	17.7% _a	8.3% _a	8.9% _a	10.0% _a
Not sure	1.1% ¹	0.6% _a	8.7% _b	0.0% ²	3.4% _a	0.4% _b	0.9% _{a,b}	2.6% _a	0.6% _a	5.4% _a	0.8% _{a,b}	0.6% _b	0.0% ²	2.3% _a	0.7% _a	0.8% _a	0.8% _a
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	725	623	56	36	116	315	280	85	568	36	101	523	9	40	646	519	139

Table 15.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County	Gender		Age Group					Education Level				Annual HH Income					Poverty		
	Participants	Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI	
Very important	44.2% ¹	37.4% _a	52.0% _{ab}	37.8% _a	29.4% _a	44.5% _{ab}	54.0% _{bc}	66.4% _c	48.1% _a	41.2% _a	50.4% _{ab}	61.4% _a	41.3% _{ab}	47.0% _{ab}	45.0% _{ab}	39.4% _a	55.5% _{ab}	42.4% _a	42.9% _a	
Somewhat important	32.7% ¹	37.1% _a	27.1% _b	37.2% _a	33.8% _a	29.7% _a	31.6% _a	23.4% _a	29.9% _a	34.9% _a	29.1% _a	24.1% _a	41.0% _a	32.2% _a	29.3% _a	31.7% _a	27.0% _a	40.7% _a	31.2% _a	
A little important	16.2% ¹	16.9% _a	16.5% _a	19.1% _{ab}	28.5% _b	16.7% _{ab}	8.6% _b	6.1% _c	13.4% _a	18.7% _a	15.7% _a	7.5% _a	16.6% _a	15.0% _a	18.4% _a	19.4% _a	9.9% _a	15.8% _a	17.9% _a	
Not at all important	6.4% ¹	8.3% _a	4.1% _b	5.9% _a	8.4% _a	7.0% _a	5.8% _a	4.1% _a	8.1% _a	5.2% _a	4.8% _a	7.0% _{ab}	1.1% _a	5.0% _{ab}	7.3% _{ab}	9.5% _b	7.6% _a	1.0% _b	7.7% _a	
Not sure	0.5% ¹	0.4% _a	0.4% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	2.0% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.5% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.8% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.3% _a	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Sample Size	722	232	414	140	118	130	167	109	201	257	207	54	129	131	125	194	49	131	440	

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County	Employed	Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs		
	Participants	FT and/or PT	Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C or I	Liberal
Very important	44.2% ¹	36.9% ¹	58.4% _a	42.5% _b	47.4% _{ab}	50.1% _a	39.3% _b	36.1% _a	48.8% _b	39.2% _a	39.3% _a	47.5% _b	42.4% _a	46.5% _a	56.6% _a
Somewhat important	32.7% ¹	34.4% ¹	24.9% _a	33.7% _a	36.0% _a	28.8% _a	34.8% _a	35.4% _a	30.8% _a	29.0% _a	38.9% _a	31.5% _a	34.8% _a	29.5% _a	29.0% _a
A little important	16.2% ¹	20.6% ¹	10.2% _a	17.2% _a	15.1% _a	13.3% _a	19.4% _a	20.7% _a	14.2% _b	24.0% _a	15.0% _a	15.9% _a	16.5% _a	17.4% _a	8.7% _a
Not at all important	6.4% ¹	7.9% ¹	6.5% _a	6.1% _a	0.0% ²	7.8% _a	6.4% _a	7.7% _a	5.6% _a	3.0% _a	6.8% _a	5.1% _a	6.3% _a	6.3% _a	5.7% _a
Not sure	0.5% ¹	0.3% ¹	0.0% ²	0.4% _a	1.5% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.5% _a	4.8% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.4% _a	0.0% ²
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	722	381	107	556	81	278	297	211	446	26	127	468	290	288	77

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

	All Lewis County	County Region				Legislative District									
	Participants	Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10	
Very important	44.2% ¹	39.4% _a	48.0% _a	44.7% _a	41.3% _a	44.9% _a	47.7% _a	43.9% _a	49.2% _a	51.3% _a	46.2% _a	26.8% _a	60.8% _a	33.7% _a	
Somewhat important	32.7% ¹	33.7% _a	31.2% _a	33.8% _a	42.4% _a	39.2% _a	25.4% _a	29.7% _a	32.9% _a	31.5% _a	35.3% _a	26.0% _a	20.2% _a	39.0% _a	
A little important	16.2% ¹	18.6% _a	14.0% _a	17.7% _a	12.7% _a	13.1% _a	23.4% _a	21.0% _a	13.5% _a	14.6% _a	14.3% _a	20.5% _a	15.9% _a	16.7% _a	
Not at all important	6.4% ¹	8.3% _a	6.3% _a	3.8% _a	3.7% _{ab}	2.8% _a	3.5% _a	5.4% _{ab}	3.4% _a	2.5% _a	4.2% _{ab}	26.7% _b	3.1% _{ab}	10.6% _{ab}	
Not sure	0.5% ¹	0.0% ²	0.4% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	1.0% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Sample Size	722	106	307	226	43	72	67	44	178	44	44	29	46	72	

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

	All Lewis County	Current Housing Type			Home Ownership			Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?	
	Participants	Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
Very important	44.2% ¹	43.8% _a	43.6% _a	49.9% _a	40.6% _a	40.9% _a	48.6% _a	40.8% _a	43.8% _a	51.0% _a	46.3% _{ab}	43.3% _b	46.4% _a	35.1% _a	45.1% _a	49.3% _a	30.9% _b
Somewhat important	32.7% ¹	34.2% _a	24.8% _a	24.8% _a	29.6% _a	32.8% _a	34.8% _a	33.7% _a	33.2% _a	12.0% _a	32.4% _{ab}	34.1% _b	46.1% _a	28.8% _a	33.5% _a	31.1% _a	35.7% _a
A little important	16.2% ¹	15.5% _a	20.7% _a	22.0% _a	21.5% _a	17.5% _a	12.4% _a	17.7% _a	16.7% _a	28.0% _a	15.0% _a	16.3% _a	7.5% _a	19.5% _a	15.8% _a	14.2% _a	23.8% _b
Not at all important	6.4% ¹	6.3% _a	6.3% _a	3.3% _a	5.8% _a	8.8% _a	4.2% _a	7.8% _a	6.1% _a	9.1% _a	6.3% _a	5.9% _a	0.0% ²	14.1% _a	5.3% _b	4.9% _a	8.8% _a
Not sure	0.5% ¹	0.2% _a	4.6% _b	0.0% ²	2.6% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.2% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.5% _a	0.0% ²	2.4% _a	0.4% _a	0.5% _a	0.8% _a
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	722	620	55	37	114	314	280	84	567	36	101	522	9	39	644	516	139

Table 16.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County	Gender		Age Group					Education Level			Annual HH Income					Poverty		
	Participants	Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI
Very important	16.8% ¹	13.3% _a	19.2% _{bc}	22.6% _b	21.4% _a	14.5% _a	11.3% _a	10.7% _a	23.7% _a	12.0% _a	14.2% _{a,b}	29.3% _a	13.5% _a	16.7% _{bc}	15.5% _a	15.0% _a	23.6% _a	15.7% _a	14.8% _a
Somewhat important	24.3% ¹	20.3% _a	27.1% _{bc}	37.2% _a	28.5% _{a,b}	20.2% _b	14.8% _{bc}	13.4% _{cd}	23.5% _a	24.1% _a	26.3% _{a,b}	18.6% _{bc}	25.5% _{bc}	22.8% _{bc}	27.2% _{bc}	26.1% _{bc}	20.3% _a	23.6% _a	26.1% _a
A little important	19.9% ¹	21.9% _a	19.1% _a	24.1% _a	24.3% _{a,b}	20.0% _{a,b}	11.9% _b	20.5% _{a,b}	15.6% _a	23.3% _a	21.3% _a	14.8% _{a,b,c}	9.0% _a	15.5% _{a,b}	26.3% _{bc}	30.1% _c	14.1% _{a,b}	8.1% _a	24.8% _{bc}
Not at all important	37.6% ¹	43.1% _a	32.8% _{bc}	15.5% _a	25.8% _{a,b}	43.2% _{bd}	60.4% _c	53.4% _{cd}	34.2% _a	39.7% _a	37.4% _a	37.2% _{a,b}	48.1% _a	42.7% _{a,b}	31.0% _{a,b}	28.8% _b	42.1% _{a,b}	48.9% _a	33.5% _{bc}
Not sure	1.4% ¹	1.3% _a	1.9% _a	0.6% _a	0.0% ²	2.1% _a	1.5% _a	2.0% _a	3.1% _a	0.3% _b	0.8% _{a,b}	0.0% ²	3.8% _a	2.4% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	3.7% _a	0.8% _b
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	722	232	415	142	118	129	166	109	202	255	208	55	129	133	125	192	50	131	440

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County	Employed	Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs		
	Participants	FT and/or PT	Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C or L	Liberal
Very important	16.8% ¹	18.2% ¹	15.9% _a	17.0% _a	8.4% _a	13.5% _a	21.3% _b	29.7% _a	10.4% _b	22.8% _a	21.7% _a	14.2% _b	16.5% _a	19.1% _a	7.6% _a
Somewhat important	24.3% ¹	29.9% ¹	17.8% _a	25.8% _a	18.9% _{a,b}	19.1% _a	31.6% _b	29.7% _a	22.1% _b	32.6% _a	20.7% _a	25.2% _b	23.1% _a	23.5% _a	30.9% _b
A little important	19.9% ¹	23.3% ¹	17.0% _a	20.4% _a	12.0% _a	17.4% _{a,b}	24.8% _b	26.1% _a	17.5% _b	18.3% _a	22.5% _b	19.6% _a	20.8% _a	20.6% _a	18.3% _b
Not at all important	37.6% ¹	28.3% ¹	49.2% _a	34.8% _b	59.3% _a	49.1% _a	20.5% _b	13.5% _a	48.2% _b	21.5% _a	34.0% _a	40.3% _a	38.1% _a	35.8% _a	42.5% _b
Not sure	1.4% ¹	0.3% ¹	0.0% ²	1.9% _a	1.5% _a	0.9% _a	1.8% _a	1.0% _a	1.8% _a	4.8% _a	1.0% _a	0.7% _a	1.5% _a	1.2% _a	0.8% _b
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	722	382	106	557	81	277	298	211	445	26	127	469	289	289	78

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

	All Lewis County	County Region				Legislative District									
	Participants	Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10	
Very important	16.8% ¹	21.0% _a	17.5% _a	14.3% _a	8.4% _a	16.1% _a	19.0% _a	11.4% _a	20.7% _a	6.4% _a	19.8% _a	20.4% _b	18.1% _a	21.7% _a	
Somewhat important	24.3% ¹	14.5% _a	25.5% _{a,b}	29.0% _b	36.8% _{a,c,d}	33.1% _{a,c,d}	38.8% _a	9.0% _b	27.1% _{a,b}	33.4% _{a,b}	28.4% _{a,b}	7.2% _{bc}	10.8% _{bd}	17.6% _{a,b}	
A little important	19.9% ¹	23.2% _a	18.2% _a	20.4% _a	6.4% _a	21.9% _a	15.8% _a	32.6% _a	14.5% _a	27.6% _a	14.8% _a	34.2% _a	16.5% _a	21.2% _a	
Not at all important	37.6% ¹	38.0% _a	37.2% _a	36.0% _a	48.3% _a	28.9% _a	25.2% _a	47.0% _a	36.1% _a	29.9% _a	37.1% _a	34.4% _a	54.5% _a	34.9% _a	
Not sure	1.4% ¹	3.2% _a	1.7% _a	0.3% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	1.2% _a	1.6% _a	2.6% _a	0.0% ²	3.7% _a	0.0% ²	4.6% _a		
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Sample Size	722	106	306	226	42	72	68	44	177	44	44	29	46	72	

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

	All Lewis County	Current Housing Type			Home Ownership			Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?	
	Participants	Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
Very important	16.8% ¹	15.9% _a	14.4% _{a,b}	32.5% _b	23.7% _a	16.5% _{a,b}	13.3% _b	19.2% _a	15.4% _a	31.0% _a	21.1% _{a,b}	14.3% _b	36.2% _a	23.2% _a	15.6% _a	16.9% _a	15.6% _a
Somewhat important	24.3% ¹	25.2% _a	17.0% _a	19.6% _a	20.7% _{a,b}	30.3% _a	17.4% _b	27.2% _a	23.6% _a	12.5% _a	21.3% _a	25.9% _b	38.3% _a	16.1% _a	24.6% _a	23.9% _a	23.9% _a
A little important	19.9% ¹	21.6% _a	7.6% _b	15.9% _{a,b}	20.0% _a	20.7% _a	19.8% _a	19.0% _a	21.1% _a	15.9% _a	20.1% _a	20.5% _b	15.4% _a	21.8% _a	20.2% _a	20.0% _a	21.9% _a
Not at all important	37.6% ¹	36.5% _a	50.9% _a	32.1% _a	31.6% _a	32.5% _a	47.7% _b	31.0% _a	39.3% _a	35.1% _a	37.4% _a	38.0% _a	10.1% _a	36.6% _a	38.6% _a	38.1% _a	37.9% _a
Not sure	1.4% ¹	0.8% _a	10.1% _b	0.0% ²	4.0% _a	0.0% ²	1.8% _a	3.8% _a	0.6% _b	5.4% _a	0.0% ²	1.2% _b	0.0% ²	2.3% _a	1.0% _a	1.1% _a	0.8% _b
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	722	620	55	37	115	314	279	85	566	36	100	521	9	40	643	518	137

Table 17.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County	Gender		Age Group					Education Level				Annual HH Income				Poverty		
	Participants	Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI
Very important	10.9% ¹	10.2% _a	10.1% _{ab}	16.8% _a	6.6% _a	6.3% _a	7.7% _a	14.1% _a	16.9% _a	9.0% _{bc}	5.7% _{bc}	27.7% _a	16.4% _{ab,c}	8.1% _{cd}	6.9% _{cd,e}	6.2% _d	24.1% _a	18.1% _a	6.0% _a
Somewhat important	13.4% ¹	10.3% _a	16.9% _{bc}	15.5% _{ab}	9.6% _a	14.4% _a	16.6% _a	11.5% _a	15.4% _a	14.0% _{ab}	11.9% _{bc}	20.0% _{ab,b}	19.2% _a	20.0% _a	8.5% _{bc,d}	7.8% _d	21.1% _a	19.4% _a	12.1% _a
A little important	17.4% ¹	15.2% _a	20.0% _a	14.1% _a	17.6% _a	15.2% _a	21.1% _a	23.0% _a	19.1% _a	14.9% _a	20.9% _a	22.9% _a	19.8% _a	18.0% _a	14.7% _a	15.7% _a	24.9% _a	19.0% _a	16.7% _a
Not at all important	55.9% ¹	62.7% _{ab}	49.5% _{bc}	51.9% _a	65.4% _a	60.8% _a	52.4% _a	48.8% _a	44.8% _a	60.9% _{bc}	59.6% _{bc}	25.7% _a	41.8% _{ab,b}	51.2% _{bc,c}	67.0% _{c,d}	69.3% _d	28.1% _a	40.9% _a	63.1% _b
Not sure	2.4% ¹	1.5% _a	3.5% _a	1.7% _a	0.8% _a	3.2% _a	2.1% _a	2.5% _a	3.8% _a	1.3% _a	1.8% _a	3.6% _a	2.8% _a	2.6% _a	2.8% _a	1.1% _a	1.7% _a	2.7% _a	2.1% _a
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	723	231	416	142	117	130	167	109	200	258	208	55	127	133	125	194	50	129	442

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County	Employed	Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs		
	Participants	FT and/or PT	Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C or L	Liberal
Very important	10.9% ¹	8.8% ¹	18.0% _a	9.7% _b	13.5% _a	9.3% _a	11.3% _a	15.4% _a	8.1% _b	8.4% _a	13.7% _a	9.2% _a	10.2% _a	13.7% _a	4.2% _a
Somewhat important	13.4% ¹	13.4% ¹	18.8% _a	13.3% _a	20.7% _a	11.6% _a	15.5% _a	12.0% _a	15.5% _a	19.0% _{ab}	22.0% _a	11.9% _b	10.7% _a	16.9% _a	21.1% _a
A little important	17.4% ¹	14.9% ¹	15.7% _a	17.6% _a	22.3% _a	16.1% _a	18.3% _a	12.5% _a	19.1% _b	20.7% _a	13.4% _a	19.0% _a	15.6% _a	17.2% _a	27.0% _a
Not at all important	55.9% ¹	61.4% ¹	46.3% _a	56.8% _{bc}	40.3% _a	61.4% _{bc}	52.9% _{ab,b}	58.6% _a	54.5% _a	47.0% _a	50.3% _a	57.6% _a	60.8% _a	50.4% _a	46.0% _{ab,b}
Not sure	2.4% ¹	1.6% ¹	1.1% _a	2.7% _a	3.3% _a	1.7% _a	2.1% _a	1.6% _a	2.8% _a	4.8% _a	0.6% _a	1.7% _a	1.8% _a	1.8% _a	1.7% _a
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	723	383	105	558	81	278	298	211	446	26	127	469	292	286	78

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

	All Lewis County	County Region				Legislative District									
	Participants	Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10	
Very important	10.9% ¹	11.5% _a	10.8% _a	10.5% _a	8.0% _a	7.7% _a	13.2% _a	12.9% _a	11.5% _a	4.2% _a	16.7% _a	10.1% _b	12.6% _a	10.5% _a	
Somewhat important	13.4% ¹	15.7% _a	14.6% _a	12.1% _a	7.9% _a	13.3% _a	22.1% _a	3.7% _a	16.4% _a	9.9% _a	11.3% _a	7.1% _a	22.0% _a	17.1% _a	
A little important	17.4% ¹	12.5% _a	19.6% _a	17.8% _a	14.9% _a	19.6% _a	20.9% _a	14.7% _a	15.4% _a	25.3% _a	24.6% _a	18.7% _a	18.4% _a	9.9% _a	
Not at all important	55.9% ¹	54.4% _a	53.2% _a	58.2% _a	69.3% _a	56.3% _a	43.8% _a	67.5% _a	54.3% _a	59.5% _a	46.3% _a	64.0% _a	42.9% _a	55.6% _a	
Not sure	2.4% ¹	5.9% _a	1.8% _{ab}	1.3% _b	0.0% ²	3.2% _a	0.0% ²	1.3% _a	2.4% _a	1.0% _a	1.1% _a	0.0% ²	4.1% _a	6.9% _a	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Sample Size	723	104	308	227	43	72	68	44	179	44	44	29	45	71	

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

	All Lewis County	Current Housing Type			Home Ownership			Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?	
	Participants	Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
Very important	10.9% ¹	8.3% _a	19.6% _{bc}	37.7% _{bc}	21.4% _a	8.4% _b	7.7% _b	16.4% _a	8.9% _b	28.3% _a	8.9% _b	9.1% _b	33.5% _a	28.2% _a	8.8% _b	11.5% _a	7.6% _a
Somewhat important	13.4% ¹	13.0% _a	17.8% _a	9.0% _a	18.5% _a	10.4% _a	12.9% _a	21.2% _a	19.1% _a	13.4% _a	12.7% _a	12.7% _a	3.5% _{ab}	25.4% _a	13.0% _b	14.8% _a	9.2% _a
A little important	17.4% ¹	17.5% _a	18.8% _a	15.9% _a	20.2% _a	15.8% _a	18.1% _a	18.4% _a	16.7% _a	10.8% _a	18.9% _a	17.4% _a	24.2% _a	11.3% _b	17.8% _a	19.9% _a	8.6% _a
Not at all important	55.9% ¹	59.6% _{ab}	33.6% _b	37.4% _b	34.3% _a	64.4% _{bc}	58.8% _{bc}	40.6% _a	59.8% _{bc}	36.5% _a	56.8% _{ab}	59.1% _a	25.9% _{ab}	32.7% _a	58.6% _a	51.8% _a	72.5% _b
Not sure	2.4% ¹	1.7% _a	10.1% _b	0.0% ²	5.6% _a	1.0% _a	2.4% _{ab}	3.5% _a	1.8% _a	5.4% _a	2.0% _a	1.7% _a	12.9% _a	2.3% _{ab}	1.8% _a	2.0% _a	2.2% _a
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	723	620	56	37	115	315	279	84	568	36	99	523	9	40	645	518	139

Table 18.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	Gender		Age Group					Education Level				Annual HH Income				Poverty			
		Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI	
Interested in living in or becoming a tenant at an ADU in Lewis County?	Very interested	6.9% ¹	2.2% _a	11.0% _{ab}	6.6% _a	5.2% _a	7.0% _a	7.3% _a	8.1% _a	6.4% _{ab}	9.1% _{ab}	2.6% _b	14.1% _a	12.8% _a	8.5% _{ab}	2.0% _b	2.9% _{bc}	12.5% _a	12.7% _a	4.5% _b
	Somewhat interested	11.5% ¹	10.5% _a	12.3% _{ab}	12.9% _{ab}	4.4% _a	11.7% _{ab}	11.7% _{ab}	14.4% _{ab}	13.0% _{ab}	10.0% _{ab}	12.2% _{ab}	17.4% _{ab}	16.4% _{ab}	11.5% _{ab}	10.5% _{ab}	7.3% _{ab}	16.2% _{ab}	17.9% _{ab}	9.0% _{ab}
	A little interested	9.3% ¹	8.9% _a	10.5% _{ab}	10.2% _{ab}	6.6% _a	9.7% _a	6.8% _a	14.0% _{ab}	8.6% _{ab}	7.5% _{ab}	15.7% _{ab}	10.7% _{ab}	14.8% _{ab}	7.7% _{ab}	14.9% _{ab}	5.1% _b	9.9% _a	15.0% _a	8.4% _a
	Not at all interested	62.9% ¹	72.3% _{ab}	54.7% _{ab}	59.4% _{ab}	75.8% _{ab}	63.2% _{ab}	65.5% _{ab}	57.9% _{ab}	59.2% _{ab}	65.7% _{ab}	66.5% _{ab}	35.2% _{ab}	46.7% _{ab}	64.9% _{ab}	63.3% _{ab}	79.6% _d	40.4% _a	45.4% _a	70.8% _b
	Not sure	9.4% ¹	6.1% _a	11.5% _{ab}	10.9% _{ab}	8.1% _a	8.4% _a	8.8% _a	5.6% _a	12.8% _{ab}	7.8% _{ab}	4.1% _b	22.6% _{ab}	9.3% _{ab}	7.5% _{ab}	9.3% _{ab}	5.1% _{bc}	21.0% _a	8.9% _{ab}	7.3% _b
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Size	727	234	416	143	118	129	169	110	203	258	209	56	129	134	124	194	51	132	441

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	Employed	Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs			
		FT and/or PT	Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C or I	Liberal	
Interested in living in or becoming a tenant at an ADU in Lewis County?	Very interested	6.9% ¹	5.9% _a	11.2% _{ab}	5.9% _b	9.0% _a	6.5% _a	6.6% _a	7.5% _{ab}	6.2% _a	0.0% ²	11.0% _a	6.1% _a	6.2% _a	8.4% _a	2.9% _a
	Somewhat interested	11.5% ¹	9.4% ¹	9.1% _a	12.0% _a	7.5% _a	12.9% _{ab}	10.8% _{ab}	9.0% _{ab}	12.2% _{ab}	33.9% _{ab}	7.5% _{ab}	11.5% _{ab}	10.5% _{ab}	12.6% _{ab}	13.5% _{ab}
	A little interested	9.3% ¹	9.0% ¹	14.7% _{ab}	8.5% _b	12.9% _{ab}	9.1% _a	8.9% _a	7.6% _{ab}	10.4% _{ab}	11.1% _{ab}	13.5% _{ab}	8.1% _a	10.1% _{ab}	11.9% _{ab}	
	Not at all interested	62.9% ¹	67.7% ¹	58.4% _{ab}	63.9% _{ab}	62.1% _{ab}	64.2% _{ab}	63.3% _{ab}	63.5% _{ab}	63.6% _{ab}	53.8% _{ab}	59.9% _{ab}	65.1% _{ab}	65.9% _{ab}	59.8% _{ab}	65.7% _{ab}
	Not sure	9.4% ¹	8.0% ¹	6.6% _a	9.8% _a	8.5% _a	7.3% _a	10.4% _{ab}	12.4% _{ab}	7.7% _{ab}	1.3% _b	8.0% _a	9.2% _{ab}	9.3% _{ab}	5.9% _b	
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	Sample Size	727	384	109	558	84	278	298	211	449	26	128	469	292	289	78

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	County Region				Legislative District									
		Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10	
Interested in living in or becoming a tenant at an ADU in Lewis County?	Very interested	6.9% ¹	4.9% _a	6.7% _a	7.9% _a	5.3% _b	4.3% _b	13.4% _{ab}	8.7% _{ab}	6.0% _b	0.0% ²	10.3% _a	6.4% _a	9.4% _a	5.5% _b
	Somewhat interested	11.5% ¹	14.8% _{ab}	11.1% _{ab}	9.9% _{ab}	15.2% _{ab}	4.8% _b	9.0% _{ab}	13.3% _{ab}	11.6% _{ab}	7.6% _{ab}	16.1% _{ab}	2.4% _b	18.7% _{ab}	13.5% _{ab}
	A little interested	9.3% ¹	10.8% _{ab}	9.8% _{ab}	8.7% _{ab}	12.3% _{ab}	9.8% _{ab}	11.8% _{ab}	1.8% _b	8.9% _{ab}	10.7% _{ab}	4.7% _b	20.4% _{ab}	20.0% _{ab}	3.9% _b
	Not at all interested	62.9% ¹	59.9% _{ab}	66.0% _{ab}	62.3% _{ab}	52.9% _{ab}	65.2% _{ab}	55.9% _{ab}	72.0% _{ab}	67.4% _{ab}	70.4% _{ab}	63.7% _{ab}	70.8% _{ab}	45.2% _{ab}	65.1% _{ab}
	Not sure	9.4% ¹	9.6% _a	6.5% _a	11.1% _{ab}	14.3% _{ab}	15.9% _{ab}	10.0% _{ab}	4.2% _b	6.1% _b	11.3% _{ab}	5.2% _b	0.0% ²	6.7% _a	11.9% _{ab}
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Size	727	106	307	228	44	72	68	44	180	43	43	29	46	72

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	Current Housing Type			Home Ownership			Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?		
		Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned	
Interested in living in or becoming a tenant at an ADU in Lewis County?	Very interested	6.9% ¹	5.8% _a	20.9% _{ab}	4.5% _b	17.0% _a	3.8% _b	5.1% _b	19.7% _a	5.3% _b	21.0% _a	10.4% _{ab}	5.0% _b	28.6% _{ab}	7.2% _{ab}	6.7% _b	7.4% _a	5.1% _a
	Somewhat interested	11.5% ¹	10.4% _a	13.1% _{ab}	27.2% _{ab}	16.0% _a	9.0% _a	11.0% _a	20.9% _a	9.7% _{ab}	22.9% _{ab}	12.6% _{ab}	10.1% _{ab}	21.6% _{ab}	23.9% _{ab}	9.7% _{ab}	12.3% _{ab}	9.2% _{ab}
	A little interested	9.3% ¹	9.1% _a	14.1% _{ab}	1.3% _b	12.2% _{ab}	8.3% _{ab}	8.7% _{ab}	17.5% _{ab}	8.1% _{ab}	21.4% _{ab}	15.6% _{ab}	6.8% _{ab}	10.1% _{ab}	9.4% _{ab}	8.9% _{ab}	10.2% _{ab}	5.3% _b
	Not at all interested	62.9% ¹	66.6% _{ab}	28.8% _{ab}	59.1% _{ab}	38.5% _{ab}	69.7% _{ab}	69.2% _{ab}	27.6% _{ab}	69.5% _{ab}	22.9% _{ab}	57.8% _{ab}	69.5% _{ab}	14.9% _{ab}	43.9% _{ab}	66.4% _{ab}	60.8% _{ab}	73.6% _{ab}
	Not sure	9.4% ¹	8.1% _a	23.1% _{ab}	7.9% _{ab}	16.3% _{ab}	9.2% _{ab}	6.0% _b	14.3% _{ab}	7.4% _{ab}	11.8% _{ab}	3.7% _b	8.5% _{ab}	24.8% _{ab}	15.6% _{ab}	8.3% _{ab}	9.2% _{ab}	6.9% _{ab}
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Size	727	624	56	36	117	315	281	85	568	36	101	523	9	40	648	521	138

Table 19.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

		All Lewis County	Gender		Age Group					Education Level			Annual HH Income					Poverty		
		Participants	Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI
Interested in creating an ADU at your home to rent out and make additional income?	Very interested	8.0% ¹	6.5% _a	9.6% _a	9.8% _a	5.6% _a	12.1% _a	6.3% _a	2.8% _a	8.6% _a	9.7% _a	5.7% _a	17.2% _a	8.7% _a	6.1% _a	7.3% _a	7.6% _a	15.9% _a	8.3% _a	7.3% _a
	Somewhat interested	12.2% ¹	10.6% _a	14.0% _a	15.5% _a	11.0% _a	17.2% _a	7.7% _a	9.1% _a	16.9% _a	11.1% _{a,b}	7.3% _b	23.3% _a	16.3% _{a,b}	12.0% _{a,b}	9.1% _{a,b}	9.2% _a	22.5% _a	19.9% _a	8.9% _a
	A little interested	10.1% ¹	9.1% _a	11.5% _a	12.3% _a	14.5% _a	6.5% _a	7.4% _a	10.7% _a	7.1% _a	11.2% _a	14.0% _a	5.2% _a	11.6% _a	7.9% _a	9.1% _a	16.0% _a	5.5% _a	10.4% _a	11.8% _a
	Not at all interested	59.6% ¹	66.5% _a	53.0% _a	52.9% _a	57.3% _{a,b}	50.6% _a	68.8% _b	72.6% _{b,c}	54.9% _a	60.9% _a	65.5% _a	36.0% _a	55.3% _{a,b}	61.3% _b	63.7% _{b,c}	63.3% _{b,d}	39.7% _a	52.6% _{a,b}	63.4% _b
	Not sure	10.1% ¹	7.3% _a	11.9% _b	9.5% _a	11.6% _a	13.6% _a	9.7% _a	4.8% _a	14.4% _a	7.0% _b	7.5% _{a,b}	18.4% _a	8.1% _{a,b}	12.6% _a	10.7% _{a,b}	3.9% _b	16.4% _a	8.7% _a	8.6% _a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size		720	231	415	141	116	128	168	110	200	256	208	56	125	133	124	194	51	127	441

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

		All Lewis County	Employed	Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs		
		Participants	FT and/or PT	Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C or I	Liberal
Interested in creating an ADU at your home to rent out and make additional income?	Very interested	8.0% ¹	9.6% _a	10.4% _a	7.3% _a	8.4% _{a,b}	4.9% _a	10.6% _b	11.1% _a	6.5% _b	8.5% _{a,b}	16.6% _a	5.3% _b	6.2% _a	11.0% _a	5.0% _a
	Somewhat interested	12.2% ¹	9.7% ¹	20.7% _a	10.9% _b	14.1% _{a,b}	7.6% _a	16.6% _b	14.4% _a	11.0% _a	28.3% _a	14.5% _{a,b}	11.7% _b	13.8% _a	10.7% _a	7.1% _a
	A little interested	10.1% ¹	13.0% ¹	9.5% _a	10.6% _a	8.5% _a	9.4% _a	11.7% _a	11.1% _a	10.3% _a	13.2% _a	6.3% _a	10.9% _a	11.1% _a	9.6% _a	10.4% _a
	Not at all interested	59.6% ¹	58.1% ¹	49.3% _a	61.0% _b	62.9% _{a,b}	67.8% _a	50.7% _b	51.9% _a	62.6% _b	26.9% _a	55.1% _b	62.7% _b	60.2% _a	57.7% _a	68.9% _a
	Not sure	10.1% ¹	9.6% ¹	10.2% _a	10.3% _a	6.1% _a	10.2% _a	10.4% _a	11.5% _a	9.6% _a	23.2% _a	7.5% _a	9.4% _a	8.8% _a	11.0% _a	8.6% _a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size		720	380	106	555	83	276	295	208	446	26	126	467	290	286	78

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

		All Lewis County	County Region				Legislative District									
		Participants	Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10	
Interested in creating an ADU at your home to rent out and make additional income?	Very interested	8.0% ¹	8.3% _a	7.7% _a	8.4% _a	12.1% _a	3.8% _a	10.5% _a	9.2% _a	4.5% _a	3.8% _a	6.7% _a	20.8% _a	14.8% _a	6.0% _a	
	Somewhat interested	12.2% ¹	14.8% _a	13.4% _a	11.1% _a	18.0% _a	9.5% _a	11.5% _a	7.6% _a	12.8% _a	3.0% _a	20.7% _a	16.7% _a	18.0% _a	13.9% _a	
	A little interested	10.1% ¹	10.2% _a	9.4% _a	11.1% _a	1.2% _a	12.6% _a	14.6% _a	12.7% _a	11.1% _a	6.9% _a	10.0% _a	18.1% _a	4.8% _a	8.6% _a	
	Not at all interested	59.6% ¹	55.3% _a	62.5% _a	57.9% _a	52.6% _a	59.2% _a	54.2% _a	63.7% _a	62.6% _a	77.1% _a	58.7% _a	44.4% _a	57.4% _a	56.0% _a	
	Not sure	10.1% ¹	11.4% _a	7.0% _a	11.5% _a	16.2% _a	14.9% _a	9.1% _a	6.7% _a	9.0% _a	9.2% _a	3.9% _a	0.0% ²	5.0% _a	15.4% _a	
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Sample Size		720	106	303	227	43	72	68	44	176	43	43	29	46	72	

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

		All Lewis County	Current Housing Type			Home Ownership			Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?	
		Participants	Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
Interested in creating an ADU at your home to rent out and make additional income?	Very interested	8.0% ¹	6.8% _a	19.1% _b	12.3% _{a,b}	14.9% _a	7.8% _{a,b}	5.1% _b	21.8% _a	6.4% _b	17.2% _a	6.2% _b	7.9% _a	11.9% _{a,b}	18.2% _a	7.3% _b	8.4% _a	8.8% _a
	Somewhat interested	12.2% ¹	11.9% _a	10.8% _a	20.5% _a	14.2% _a	11.7% _a	10.6% _a	21.3% _a	10.3% _b	28.3% _a	16.8% _{a,b}	9.7% _b	45.8% _a	14.0% _{a,b}	11.5% _b	13.3% _a	9.1% _a
	A little interested	10.1% ¹	10.9% _a	5.2% _a	3.9% _a	3.3% _a	14.1% _b	8.8% _{a,b}	9.8% _a	10.0% _a	7.8% _a	16.5% _a	8.8% _a	0.0% ²	3.7% _a	10.3% _a	9.3% _a	11.2% _a
	Not at all interested	59.6% ¹	62.1% _a	36.2% _b	55.4% _{a,b}	46.4% _a	59.7% _b	66.8% _b	29.7% _a	65.6% _b	32.9% _a	49.2% _a	65.7% _b	7.4% _a	44.4% _a	62.2% _b	57.8% _a	66.0% _a
	Not sure	10.1% ¹	8.2% _a	28.7% _b	8.0% _a	21.1% _a	6.7% _b	8.7% _b	17.5% _a	7.7% _b	13.8% _a	11.3% _a	8.0% _a	34.9% _a	19.8% _a	8.6% _b	11.1% _a	4.8% _b
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size		720	619	55	36	112	313	281	82	566	36	97	520	9	40	643	517	137

Table 20.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

		All Lewis County	Gender		Age Group				Education Level			Annual HH Income					Poverty			
		Participants	Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's degree	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI
For your current housing costs, do you pay rent to a landlord, or do you pay mortgage and utilities for a home that you own?	Pay rent	20.0% ¹	13.2% _a	25.0% _b	40.6% _a	16.3% _b	13.6% _b	6.4% _b	7.4% _b	26.8% _a	18.3% _{a,b}	11.0% _b	57.2% _a	27.0% _b	24.3% _b	6.5% _c	8.5% _c	55.2% _a	28.9% _b	12.3% _c
	Pay mortgage and utilities, etc. for home that I own	43.0% ¹	45.5% _a	42.2% _a	46.6% _{a,b}	62.4% _a	48.5% _{a,b}	37.1% _b	20.1% _c	35.4% _a	47.1% _b	48.6% _b	19.8% _b	28.6% _a	36.8% _{a,b}	52.6% _{b,c}	63.5% _c	16.8% _a	27.3% _a	53.1% _b
	Pay utilities, etc. for home that I own (mortgage is all paid off)	37.0% ¹	41.3% _a	32.8% _b	12.8% _a	21.4% _{a,b}	37.9% _b	56.5% _c	72.4% _c	37.9% _a	34.5% _a	40.3% _a	23.0% _a	44.5% _b	38.9% _{a,b}	40.9% _{a,b}	28.1% _a	28.0% _a	43.7% _a	34.6% _a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size		715	229	410	136	118	129	166	110	197	255	207	51	129	132	123	192	47	132	436

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

		All Lewis County	Employed	Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs		
		Participants	FT and/or PT	Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C or L	Liberal
For your current housing costs, do you pay rent to a landlord, or do you pay mortgage and utilities for a home that you own?	Pay rent	20.0% ¹	23.3% ¹	20.9% _a	19.8% _a	36.9% _a	13.2% _b	21.5% _c	24.4% _a	17.4% _b	51.4% _a	27.5% _a	15.2% _b	14.9% _a	23.6% _b	25.7% _{a,b}
	Pay mortgage and utilities, etc. for home that I own	43.0% ¹	50.9% ¹	43.1% _a	43.2% _a	22.1% _a	36.1% _a	56.6% _b	56.7% _a	37.8% _b	37.3% _a	46.8% _a	41.8% _a	48.5% _a	39.4% _a	40.3% _a
	Pay utilities, etc. for home that I own (mortgage is all paid off)	37.0% ¹	25.8% ¹	36.0% _a	37.0% _a	41.0% _a	50.7% _a	21.9% _b	19.0% _a	44.8% _b	11.3% _a	25.7% _a	43.1% _b	36.6% _a	37.0% _a	34.0% _a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size		715	378	106	550	81	277	292	209	442	25	123	469	289	284	77

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

		All Lewis County	County Region				Legislative District									
		Participants	Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10	
For your current housing costs, do you pay rent to a landlord, or do you pay mortgage and utilities for a home that you own?	Pay rent	20.0% ¹	22.2% _a	21.0% _a	16.8% _a	18.1% _{a,b}	22.2% _{a,b}	26.5% _{a,b}	0.0% ²	30.7% _a	6.2% _b	21.0% _{a,b}	10.2% _{a,b}	18.4% _{a,b}	23.1% _{a,b}	
	Pay mortgage and utilities, etc. for home that I own	43.0% ¹	39.6% _a	43.4% _a	44.4% _a	45.2% _a	34.8% _a	39.8% _a	59.7% _a	38.0% _a	45.7% _a	49.7% _a	42.8% _a	48.6% _a	37.8% _a	
	Pay utilities, etc. for home that I own (mortgage is all paid off)	37.0% ¹	38.2% _a	35.6% _a	38.8% _a	36.7% _a	43.1% _a	33.6% _a	40.3% _a	31.3% _a	48.1% _a	29.3% _a	47.0% _a	33.0% _a	39.0% _a	
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Sample Size		715	103	303	225	43	71	67	44	177	43	43	28	45	70	

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

		All Lewis County	Current Housing Type			Home Ownership		Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?		
		Participants	Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
For your current housing costs, do you pay rent to a landlord, or do you pay mortgage and utilities for a home that you own?	Pay rent	20.0% ¹	12.4% _a	92.0% _b	34.0% _c	100.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	60.5% _a	13.1% _b	60.4% _a	19.5% _b	14.9% _b	65.8% _a	56.7% _a	15.7% _b	20.6% _a	14.7% _a
	Pay mortgage and utilities, etc. for home that I own	43.0% ¹	47.5% _a	3.1% _b	28.4% _c	0.0% ²	100.0% ²	0.0% ²	19.0% _a	46.9% _b	32.8% _a	46.9% _a	43.6% _a	34.2% _{a,b}	15.2% _a	45.5% _b	40.6% _a	51.7% _b
	Pay utilities, etc. for home that I own (mortgage is all paid off)	37.0% ¹	40.1% _a	4.9% _b	37.7% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	100.0% ²	20.5% _a	40.0% _b	6.8% _a	33.6% _b	41.6% _b	0.0% ²	28.1% _a	38.7% _a	38.8% _a	33.6% _a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size		715	614	56	36	117	316	282	78	567	35	100	518	8	40	638	516	135

Table 21.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County		Gender		Age Group					Education Level			Annual HH Income					Poverty		
	Participants	Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's degree	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI	
MEAN Monthly Costs among RENTERS																				
Rent per month	\$714 ¹	\$692,	\$746,	\$682,	\$1,030,	\$552,	\$721 _{ab} ,	\$669 _{ab} ,	\$661,	\$768,	\$796,	\$642,	\$656,	\$735,	\$782,	\$637,	\$664,	\$813,	\$733,	
Heat/Electric per month	\$131 ¹	\$144,	\$131,	\$134,	\$164,	\$132,	\$103,	\$80,	\$129 _{ab} ,	\$156,	\$56,	\$79,	\$133 _{ab} ,	\$174,	\$208 _{ab} ,	\$52,	\$50,	\$155 _{ab} ,	\$145,	
Internet Access per month	\$68 ¹	\$74,	\$65,	\$66,	\$85,	\$59,	\$33,	\$65,	\$69 _{ab} ,	\$75,	\$42,	\$53,	\$56,	\$87,	\$98,	\$52,	\$50,	\$58,	\$50,	
Total Monthly Expenses - Renters	\$922 ¹	\$919,	\$950,	\$890,	\$1,305,	\$781,	\$885 _{ab} ,	\$773 _{ab} ,	\$862,	\$992,	\$894,	\$760,	\$1,045,	\$996,	\$1,078,	\$769,	\$774,	\$1,024,	\$855,	
Unweighted Subgroup Sample Sizes	104	19	71	44	20	14	10	7	39	42	14	23	27	6	12	20	29	40		

	All Lewis County		Gender		Age Group					Education Level			Annual HH Income					Poverty		
	Participants	Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's degree	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI	
MEDIAN Monthly Costs among RENTERS																				
Rent per month	\$700	\$650	\$700	\$650	\$900	\$600	\$600	\$500	\$650	\$700	\$845	\$650	\$800	\$700	\$700	\$500	\$650	\$600	\$700	
Heat/Electric per month	\$100	\$89	\$110	\$100	\$150	\$99	\$100	\$45	\$89	\$140	\$0	\$45	\$130	\$198	\$150	\$0	\$45	\$150	\$140	
Internet Access per month	\$75	\$65	\$65	\$70	\$80	\$69	\$35	\$30	\$65	\$85	\$0	\$65	\$60	\$100	\$89	\$0	\$60	\$60	\$80	
Total Monthly Expenses - Renters	\$900	\$850	\$915	\$850	\$1,245	\$668	\$750	\$564	\$825	\$925	\$1,000	\$675	\$1,000	\$990	\$1,150	\$500	\$673	\$1,000	\$990	
Unweighted Subgroup Sample Sizes	104	19	71	44	20	14	10	7	39	42	14	23	27	6	12	20	29	40		

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County		Employed		Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs	
	Participants	FT and/or PT	Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C or L	Liberal	
MEAN Monthly Costs among RENTERS																
Rent per month	\$714 ¹	\$746 ¹	\$735,	\$712,	\$660,	\$735,	\$747,	\$832,	\$644,	\$855,	\$846,	\$734,	\$704,	\$709,	\$804,	
Heat/Electric per month	\$131 ¹	\$110 ¹	\$219,	\$116,	\$66,	\$128 _{ab} ,	\$168 _{ab} ,	\$210,	\$86,	\$128,	\$143,	\$145,	\$151,	\$120,	\$124,	
Internet Access per month	\$68 ¹	\$64 ¹	\$91,	\$64,	\$53,	\$82,	\$64,	\$82,	\$59,	\$51,	\$68,	\$75,	\$66,	\$69,	\$67,	
Total Monthly Expenses - Renters	\$922 ¹	\$919 ¹	\$1,052,	\$901,	\$752,	\$940,	\$995,	\$1,152,	\$790,	\$1,058,	\$947,	\$926,	\$899,	\$984,		
Unweighted Subgroup Sample Sizes	104	67	18	77	23	26	44	37	55	9	21	56	35	42	14	

	All Lewis County		Employed		Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs	
	Participants	FT and/or PT	Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C or L	Liberal	
MEDIAN Monthly Costs among RENTERS																
Rent per month	\$700	\$700	\$658	\$675	\$650	\$700	\$700	\$750	\$650	\$750	\$658	\$650	\$600	\$675	\$700	
Heat/Electric per month	\$100	\$89	\$200	\$100	\$45	\$140	\$150	\$200	\$75	\$75	\$150	\$120	\$120	\$90	\$100	
Internet Access per month	\$75	\$65	\$99	\$60	\$80	\$80	\$60	\$90	\$60	\$50	\$80	\$75	\$75	\$80	\$50	
Total Monthly Expenses - Renters	\$900	\$850	\$850	\$850	\$673	\$800	\$990	\$1,150	\$750	\$850	\$916	\$850	\$900	\$850	\$850	
Unweighted Subgroup Sample Sizes	104	67	18	77	23	26	44	37	55	9	21	56	35	42	14	

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

	All Lewis County		County Region				Legislative District									
	Participants	Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10		
MEAN Monthly Costs among RENTERS																
Rent per month	\$714 ¹	\$777,	\$707,	\$697,	\$918 _{ab} ,	\$377,	\$886,	\$699 _{ab} ,	\$650 _{ab} ,	\$748 _{ab} ,	\$683 _{ab} ,	\$812 _{ab} ,	\$756 _{ab} ,			
Heat/Electric per month	\$131 ¹	\$217,	\$117,	\$104,	\$184 _{ab} ,	\$51,	\$116 _{ab} ,	\$77,	\$200 _{ab} ,	\$197,	\$174 _{ab} ,	\$271 _{ab} ,	\$181 _{ab} ,			
Internet Access per month	\$68 ¹	\$69,	\$76,	\$55,	\$83 _{ab} ,	\$39,	\$57 _{ab} ,	\$59 _{ab} ,	\$65 _{ab} ,	\$112,	\$57 _{ab} ,	\$113 _{ab} ,	\$58 _{ab} ,			
Total Monthly Expenses - Renters	\$922 ¹	\$1,053,	\$911,	\$855,	\$1,104,	\$407,	\$1,073,	\$851 _{ab} ,	\$915 _{ab} ,	\$1,057,	\$915 _{ab} ,	\$1,197,	\$822,			
Unweighted Subgroup Sample Sizes	104	15	46	29	7	9	13	0	35	2	5	3	6	10		

	All Lewis County		County Region				Legislative District									
	Participants	Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10		
MEDIAN Monthly Costs among RENTERS																
Rent per month	\$700	\$850	\$658	\$700	\$700	\$275	\$875	\$650	\$650	\$900	\$600	\$800	\$850			
Heat/Electric per month	\$100	\$220	\$125	\$89	\$80	\$70	\$100	\$15	\$200	\$200	\$110	\$200	\$198			
Internet Access per month	\$75	\$90	\$75	\$59	\$70	\$59	\$50	\$60	\$65	\$150	\$45	\$120	\$80			
Total Monthly Expenses - Renters	\$900	\$1,000	\$900	\$785	\$1,400	\$523	\$1,335	\$725	\$915	\$1,250	\$990	\$1,150	\$1,000			
Unweighted Subgroup Sample Sizes	104	15	46	29	7	9	13	0	35	2	5	3	6	10		

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

	All Lewis County		Current Housing Type				Home Ownership		Do you currently rent someone else's housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?		How concerned will you be if homeless?	
	Participants	Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
MEAN Monthly Costs among RENTERS																	
Rent per month	\$714 ¹	\$681,	\$806,	\$606,	\$714 ¹			\$752,	\$709,	\$844,	\$769,	\$636,	\$257,	\$713,	\$689,	\$725,	
Heat/Electric per month	\$131 ¹	\$123,	\$120,	\$199,	\$131 ¹			\$154,	\$119,	\$219,	\$141 _{ab} ,	\$101 _{ab} ,	\$91,	\$182,	\$121,	\$149,	\$72,
Internet Access per month	\$68 ¹	\$64,	\$70,	\$83,	\$68 ¹			\$63,	\$76,	\$70,	\$84,	\$67,	\$38,	\$81,	\$68,	\$71,	\$71,
Total Monthly Expenses - Renters	\$922 ¹	\$873,	\$1,020,	\$887,	\$922 ¹			\$968,	\$910,	\$1,130,	\$982 _{ab} ,	\$819,	\$386,	\$959,	\$925,	\$935,	\$930,
Unweighted Subgroup Sample Sizes	104	51	42	10	104	0	0	40	50	18	18	51	3	17	78	77	14

	All Lewis County		Current Housing Type				Home Ownership		Do you currently rent someone else's housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?		How concerned will you be if homeless?	
	Participants	Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
MEDIAN Monthly Costs among RENTERS																	
Rent per month	\$700	\$650	\$790	\$550	\$700			\$750	\$650	\$995	\$675	\$600	\$200	\$675	\$658	\$658	\$730
Heat/Electric per month	\$100	\$89	\$90	\$130	\$100			\$110	\$89	\$200	\$150	\$75	\$50	\$110	\$100	\$132	\$0
Internet Access per month	\$75	\$65	\$75	\$90	\$75			\$60	\$90	\$80	\$98	\$70	\$30	\$90	\$80	\$80	\$59
Total Monthly Expenses - Renters	\$900	\$800	\$1,000	\$850	\$900			\$950	\$850	\$1,000	\$915	\$750	\$230	\$850	\$900	\$900	\$1,000
Unweighted Subgroup Sample Sizes	104	51	42	10	104	0	0	40	50	18	18	51	3	17	78	77	14

MEAN Monthly Costs among HOME-OWNERS who have NO MORTGAGE	All Lewis County		Employed		Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs	
	Participants	FT and/or PT	Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not Identify as C or L	Liberal	
Mortgage each month	\$0 ¹	\$0 ¹	\$0 ¹	\$0 ¹	\$0 ¹	\$0 ¹	\$0 ¹	\$0 ¹	\$0 ¹	\$0 ¹	\$0 ¹	\$0 ¹	\$0 ¹	\$0 ¹	\$0 ¹	
Heat/Electric average monthly throughout year	\$462 ¹	\$568 ¹	\$324 ¹	\$490 ¹	\$644 ^{1,2}	\$312 ¹	\$702 ¹	\$552 ¹	\$449 ¹	\$372 ¹	\$325 ¹	\$490 ¹	\$511 ¹	\$376 ¹	\$226 ¹	
Internet Access each month	\$95 ¹	\$93 ¹	\$115 ¹	\$91 ¹	\$91 ¹	\$92 ¹	\$99 ¹	\$95 ¹	\$94 ¹	\$85 ¹	\$104 ¹	\$91 ¹	\$89 ¹	\$97 ¹	\$109 ¹	
Homeowners Insurance per year	\$1,285 ¹	\$1,267 ¹	\$1,114 ¹	\$1,286 ¹	\$978 ¹	\$1,378 ¹	\$1,174 ¹	\$1,111 ¹	\$1,303 ¹	\$1,255 ¹	\$1,266 ¹	\$1,269 ¹	\$1,309 ^{1,2}	\$1,094 ¹	\$1,881 ¹	
Property taxes annually	\$3,265 ¹	\$3,372 ¹	\$2,381 ¹	\$3,356 ¹	\$2,158 ¹	\$3,718 ¹	\$2,715 ¹	\$2,463 ¹	\$3,391 ¹	\$3,274 ¹	\$3,036 ¹	\$3,295 ¹	\$3,197 ¹	\$3,050 ¹	\$4,009 ¹	
Maintenance and repairs in a typical year	\$2,024 ¹	\$1,982 ¹	\$2,801 ¹	\$1,882 ¹	\$2,017 ¹	\$1,885 ¹	\$2,362 ¹	\$2,562 ¹	\$1,915 ¹	\$7,176 ¹	\$2,028 ^{1,2}	\$1,938 ¹	\$1,956 ¹	\$1,772 ¹	\$2,510 ¹	
Total Monthly Expenses - Owners	\$1,011 ¹	\$1,023 ¹	\$1,033 ¹	\$999 ¹	\$652 ¹	\$1,239 ¹	\$976 ¹	\$1,189 ¹	\$963 ¹	\$1,433 ¹	\$983 ¹	\$993 ¹	\$1,052 ¹	\$917 ¹	\$1,078 ¹	
Unweighted Subgroup Sample Sizes	196	78	23	160	22	114	46	32	150	2	24	155	81	83	19	

MEDIAN Monthly Costs among HOME-OWNERS who have NO MORTGAGE	All Lewis County		Employed		Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs	
	Participants	FT and/or PT	Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not Identify as C or L	Liberal	
Mortgage each month	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Heat/Electric average monthly throughout year	\$200	\$250	\$247	\$200	\$180	\$200	\$300	\$300	\$200	\$380	\$200	\$200	\$200	\$200	\$200	
Internet Access each month	\$85	\$100	\$100	\$80	\$80	\$80	\$95	\$90	\$80	\$80	\$83	\$80	\$98	\$100		
Homeowners Insurance per year	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$900	\$1,000	\$900	\$1,200	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,100	\$1,500	\$1,000	\$1,100	\$1,100	\$1,100		
Property taxes annually	\$3,000	\$3,000	\$1,800	\$3,000	\$2,000	\$3,500	\$2,500	\$2,000	\$3,000	\$4,200	\$2,500	\$3,000	\$3,000	\$3,000		
Maintenance and repairs in a typical year	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$2,000	\$1,000	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$1,000		
Total Monthly Expenses - Owners	\$817	\$924	\$918	\$790	\$688	\$987	\$938	\$858	\$792	\$1,102	\$683	\$808	\$878	\$742	\$886	
Unweighted Subgroup Sample Sizes	196	78	23	160	22	114	46	32	150	2	24	155	81	83	19	

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

MEAN Monthly Costs among HOME-OWNERS who are PAYING A MORTGAGE	All Lewis County		County Region											
	Participants	Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10
Mortgage each month	\$1,014 ¹	\$938 ¹	\$1,056 ¹	\$939 ¹	\$655 ¹	\$1,079 ¹	\$896 ^{1,2}	\$1,073 ¹	\$1,226 ¹	\$1,021 ^{1,2}	\$946 ^{1,2}	\$804 ^{1,2}	\$738 ^{1,2}	\$1,019 ^{1,2}
Heat/Electric average monthly throughout year	\$404 ¹	\$529 ¹	\$345 ¹	\$390 ¹	\$429 ¹	\$538 ¹	\$286 ¹	\$346 ¹	\$354 ¹	\$254 ¹	\$436 ¹	\$348 ¹	\$736 ¹	\$282 ¹
Internet Access each month	\$105 ¹	\$107 ¹	\$108 ¹	\$101 ¹	\$92 ¹	\$118 ^{1,2}	\$100 ^{1,2}	\$94 ^{1,2}	\$105 ^{1,2}	\$98 ^{1,2}	\$121 ^{1,2}	\$89 ^{1,2}	\$136 ¹	\$93 ¹
Homeowners Insurance per year	\$1,293 ¹	\$1,308 ¹	\$1,301 ¹	\$1,174 ¹	\$1,295 ¹	\$1,196 ¹	\$1,045 ¹	\$1,208 ¹	\$1,292 ¹	\$1,258 ¹	\$1,373 ¹	\$1,338 ¹	\$1,158 ¹	\$1,407 ¹
Property taxes annually	\$3,270 ¹	\$2,796 ¹	\$3,121 ¹	\$3,440 ¹	\$3,363 ¹	\$3,057 ¹	\$3,159 ¹	\$4,058 ¹	\$3,090 ¹	\$3,319 ¹	\$2,912 ¹	\$3,207 ¹	\$2,779 ¹	\$2,943 ¹
Maintenance and repairs in a typical year	\$2,524 ¹	\$2,285 ¹	\$2,473 ¹	\$2,632 ¹	\$2,384 ^{1,2}	\$2,306 ^{1,2}	\$1,992 ^{1,2}	\$3,754 ¹	\$2,393 ^{1,2}	\$2,196 ^{1,2}	\$2,942 ^{1,2}	\$2,489 ^{1,2}	\$2,096 ^{1,2}	\$2,007 ¹
Total Monthly Expenses - Owners	\$2,193 ¹	\$2,099 ¹	\$2,101 ¹	\$2,029 ¹	\$1,862 ¹	\$2,279 ¹	\$1,772 ¹	\$2,116 ¹	\$2,092 ¹	\$2,133 ¹	\$2,353 ¹	\$1,827 ¹	\$2,307 ¹	\$1,862 ¹
Unweighted Subgroup Sample Sizes	204	31	92	63	10	19	22	12	56	8	15	9	16	19

MEDIAN Monthly Costs among HOME-OWNERS who are PAYING A MORTGAGE	All Lewis County		County Region											
	Participants	Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10
Mortgage each month	\$900	\$800	\$1,000	\$820	\$500	\$840	\$915	\$1,100	\$1,100	\$1,000	\$810	\$870	\$800	\$1,000
Heat/Electric average monthly throughout year	\$250	\$200	\$250	\$250	\$300	\$290	\$200	\$250	\$225	\$200	\$300	\$250	\$200	\$200
Internet Access each month	\$100	\$100	\$100	\$100	\$100	\$100	\$90	\$90	\$100	\$100	\$100	\$80	\$150	\$100
Homeowners Insurance per year	\$1,200	\$1,200	\$1,200	\$1,080	\$800	\$1,080	\$1,000	\$1,200	\$1,000	\$1,100	\$980	\$1,400	\$1,200	\$1,300
Property taxes annually	\$3,000	\$2,300	\$2,900	\$3,000	\$2,500	\$3,000	\$2,600	\$3,800	\$2,900	\$2,800	\$2,600	\$2,200	\$2,200	\$2,500
Maintenance and repairs in a typical year	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$1,000	\$2,000	\$4,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$3,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000
Total Monthly Expenses - Owners	\$1,886	\$1,783	\$1,868	\$1,837	\$1,725	\$1,645	\$1,430	\$2,037	\$2,060	\$1,763	\$2,155	\$1,648	\$1,805	\$1,721
Unweighted Subgroup Sample Sizes	204	31	92	63	10	19	22	12	56	8	15	9	16	19

MEAN Monthly Costs among HOME-OWNERS who have NO MORTGAGE	All Lewis County		County Region											
	Participants	Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10
Mortgage each month	\$0 ¹	\$0 ¹	\$0 ¹	\$0 ¹	\$0 ¹	\$0 ¹	\$0 ¹	\$0 ¹	\$0 ¹	\$0 ¹	\$0 ¹	\$0 ¹	\$0 ¹	\$0 ¹
Heat/Electric average monthly throughout year	\$462 ¹	\$305 ¹	\$390 ¹	\$606 ¹	\$730 ¹	\$789 ¹	\$472 ¹	\$392 ¹	\$397 ¹	\$210 ¹	\$295 ¹	\$331 ¹	\$275 ¹	
Internet Access each month	\$95 ¹	\$99 ¹	\$94 ¹	\$93 ¹	\$107 ¹	\$95 ¹	\$98 ¹	\$75 ¹	\$95 ¹	\$108 ¹	\$81 ¹	\$65 ¹	\$111 ¹	
Homeowners Insurance per year	\$1,285 ¹	\$1,617 ¹	\$1,238 ¹	\$1,148 ¹	\$1,212 ¹	\$1,205 ¹	\$1,082 ¹	\$1,089 ¹	\$1,143 ¹	\$1,603 ¹	\$1,040 ¹	\$1,291 ¹	\$1,498 ¹	
Property taxes annually	\$3,265 ¹	\$3,178 ¹	\$3,532 ¹	\$3,086 ¹	\$3,188 ¹	\$2,994 ¹	\$3,364 ¹	\$2,861 ¹	\$3,536 ¹	\$3,209 ¹	\$3,752 ¹	\$2,965 ¹	\$4,472 ¹	
Maintenance and repairs in a typical year	\$2,024 ¹	\$2,270 ¹	\$1,961 ¹	\$2,032 ¹	\$3,273 ^{1,2}	\$1,869 ^{1,2}	\$2,203 ^{1,2}	\$1,091 ¹	\$2,017 ^{1,2}	\$1,446 ^{1,2}	\$2,747 ¹	\$1,859 ^{1,2}	\$1,551 ^{1,2}	
Total Monthly Expenses - Owners	\$1,011 ¹	\$971 ¹	\$954 ¹	\$1,079 ¹	\$1,612 ^{1,2}	\$1,056 ^{1,2}	\$1,075 ¹	\$609 ¹	\$1,051 ^{1,2}	\$859 ^{1,2}	\$890 ^{1,2}	\$884 ^{1,2}	\$934 ^{1,2}	
Unweighted Subgroup Sample Sizes	196	26	86	69	13	23	23	10	45	15	13	10	12	

MEDIAN Monthly Costs among HOME-OWNERS who have NO MORTGAGE	All Lewis County		County Region										
	Participants	Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9
Mortgage each month	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Heat/Electric average monthly throughout year	\$200	\$150	\$200	\$230	\$200	\$230	\$300	\$155	\$200	\$128	\$200	\$200	\$150
Internet Access each month	\$85	\$90	\$89	\$90	\$100	\$100	\$100	\$75	\$90	\$90	\$90	\$50	\$82
Homeowners Insurance per year	\$1,000	\$1,050	\$1,100	\$1,000	\$1,200	\$1,000	\$900	\$1,200	\$1,000	\$1,500	\$1,172	\$1,200	\$1,000
Property taxes annually	\$3,000	\$3,300	\$3,000	\$3,000	\$1,800	\$3,000	\$3,000	\$2,700	\$3,000	\$3,200	\$3,000	\$3,500	\$2,500
Maintenance and repairs in a typical year	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$1,200	\$3,000	\$1,000	\$1,500	\$1,000	\$2,000	\$1,000	\$2,500	\$1,500	\$1,000
Total Monthly Expenses - Owners	\$817	\$794	\$790	\$878	\$1,475	\$808	\$1,102	\$555	\$867	\$709	\$817	\$767	\$913
Unweighted Subgroup Sample Sizes	196	26	86	69	13	23	23	10	45	15	13	10	12

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

MEAN Monthly Costs among HOME-OWNERS who are PAYING A MORTGAGE	All Lewis County					Current Housing Type		Home Ownership		housing?			Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			homelessness?	
	Participants	Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			homelessness?				
								Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned			
Mortgage each month	\$1,014 ¹	\$1,022 ₁	\$860 ₁	\$831 ₁		\$1,014 ¹		\$1,016 ₁	\$1,016 ₁	\$971 ₁	\$1,007 ₁	\$1,024 ₁	\$1,360 ²	\$801 ₁	\$1,013 ₁	\$841 ₁	\$1,146 ₁			
Heat/Electric average monthly throughout year	\$404 ¹	\$416 ₁	\$160 ₁	\$261 ₁		\$404 ¹		\$566 ₁	\$379 ₁	\$949 ₁	\$428 ₁	\$341 ₁	\$925 ₁	\$726 ₁	\$371 ₁	\$395 ₁	\$387 ₁			
Internet Access each month	\$105 ¹	\$107 ₁	\$133 ₁	\$75 ₁		\$105 ¹		\$874 ₁	\$1,309 ₁	\$1,469 ₁	\$1,042 ₁	\$1,374 ₁	\$2,000 ²	\$751 ₁	\$3,312 ₁	\$1,269 ₁	\$1,305 ₁			
Homeowners Insurance per year	\$1,293 ¹	\$1,337 ₁	\$1,149 ₁	\$515 ₁		\$1,293 ¹		\$2,536 ₁	\$3,292 ₁	\$2,430 ₁	\$2,737 ₁	\$3,425 ₁	\$1,732 ₁	\$1,409 ₁	\$3,333 ₁	\$3,222 ₁	\$3,363 ₁			
Property taxes annually	\$3,270 ¹	\$3,348 ₁	\$3,969 ₁	\$2,021 ₁		\$3,270 ¹		\$2,824 ₁	\$2,533 ₁	\$1,429 ₁	\$2,000 ₁	\$2,737 ₁	\$887 ₁	\$1,793 ₁	\$2,542 ₁	\$2,494 ₁	\$2,662 ₁			
Maintenance and repairs in a typical year	\$2,524 ¹	\$2,572 ₁	\$1,161 ₁	\$2,252 ₁		\$2,524 ¹		\$2,465 ₁	\$2,060 ₁	\$3,227 ₁	\$2,134 ₁	\$2,043 ₁	\$2,347 ²	\$2,356 ₁	\$2,085 ₁	\$2,038 ₁	\$2,220 ₁			
Total Monthly Expenses - Owners	\$2,103 ¹	\$2,135 ₁	\$1,779 ₁	\$1,271 ₁		\$2,103 ¹		\$2,465 ₁	\$2,060 ₁	\$3,227 ₁	\$2,134 ₁	\$2,043 ₁	\$2,347 ²	\$2,356 ₁	\$2,085 ₁	\$2,038 ₁	\$2,220 ₁			
Unweighted Subgroup Sample Sizes	204	193	3	6	0	204	0	12	181	7	32	166	1	7	193	150	42			

MEDIAN Monthly Costs among HOME-OWNERS who are PAYING A MORTGAGE	All Lewis County					Current Housing Type		Home Ownership		housing?			Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			homelessness?	
	Participants	Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			homelessness?				
								Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned			
Mortgage each month	\$900	\$900	\$1,280	\$900		\$900		\$900	\$900	\$900	\$1,000	\$900	\$1,560	\$550	\$900	\$870	\$1,000			
Heat/Electric average monthly throughout year	\$250	\$250	\$175	\$225		\$250		\$200	\$250	\$300	\$250	\$200	\$400	\$300	\$225	\$225	\$250			
Internet Access each month	\$100	\$100	\$100	\$70		\$100		\$100	\$100	\$100	\$100	\$100	\$100	\$90	\$100	\$100	\$100			
Homeowners Insurance per year	\$1,200	\$1,200	\$1,200	\$500		\$1,200		\$900	\$1,200	\$1,500	\$1,000	\$1,200	\$2,000	\$922	\$1,200	\$1,200	\$1,200			
Property taxes annually	\$3,000	\$3,000	\$3,900	\$1,200		\$3,000		\$2,363	\$3,000	\$1,800	\$2,363	\$3,000	\$600	\$1,500	\$3,000	\$3,000	\$2,800			
Maintenance and repairs in a typical year	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$1,000	\$550		\$2,000		\$2,000	\$2,000	\$1,500	\$1,000	\$2,000	\$100	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000			
Total Monthly Expenses - Owners	\$1,886	\$1,952	\$2,172	\$1,142		\$1,886		\$1,763	\$1,843	\$2,155	\$1,837	\$1,873	\$2,347	\$2,155	\$1,873	\$1,873	\$1,886			
Unweighted Subgroup Sample Sizes	204	193	3	6	0	204	0	12	181	7	32	166	1	7	193	150	42			

MEAN Monthly Costs among HOME-OWNERS who have NO MORTGAGE	All Lewis County					Home Ownership		Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?			Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?		
	Participants	Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?			
								Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned		
Mortgage each month	\$0 ¹	\$0 ¹	\$0 ¹	\$0 ¹		\$0 ¹		\$0 ¹	\$0 ¹	\$0 ¹	\$0 ¹	\$0 ¹		\$0 ¹	\$0 ¹	\$0 ¹	\$0 ¹		
Heat/Electric average monthly throughout year	\$462 ¹	\$483 ₁	\$223 ₁	\$197 ₁		\$462 ¹		\$644 ₁	\$448 ₁	\$151 ₁	\$332 ₁	\$483 ₁		\$541 ₁	\$447 ₁	\$430 ₁	\$570 ₁		
Internet Access each month	\$95 ¹	\$94 ₁	\$204 ₁	\$87 ₁		\$95 ¹		\$117 ₁	\$91 ₁	\$103 ₁	\$104 ₁	\$92 ₁		\$81 ₁	\$96 ₁	\$96 ₁	\$95 ₁		
Homeowners Insurance per year	\$1,255 ¹	\$1,222 ₁	\$1,660 ₁	\$424 ₁		\$1,255 ¹		\$1,243 ₁	\$1,264 ₁	\$2,400 ²	\$951 ₁	\$1,296 ₁		\$881 ₁	\$1,274 ₁	\$1,200 ₁	\$1,084 ₁		
Property taxes annually	\$3,265 ¹	\$3,437 ₁	\$2,200 ²	\$1,226 ₁		\$3,265 ¹		\$3,791 ₁	\$3,205 ₁	\$2,200 ²	\$3,428 ₁	\$3,225 ₁		\$2,246 ₁	\$3,314 ₁	\$3,363 ₁	\$2,933 ₁		
Maintenance and repairs in a typical year	\$2,024 ¹	\$2,047 ₁	\$2,729 ₁	\$1,706 ₁		\$2,024 ¹		\$3,222 ₁	\$1,831 ₁	\$800 ²	\$2,387 ₁	\$1,920 ₁		\$2,010 ₁	\$1,998 ₁	\$2,015 ₁	\$1,555 ₁		
Total Monthly Expenses - Owners	\$1,011 ¹	\$1,046 ₁	\$988 ²	\$549 ₁		\$1,011 ¹		\$1,267 ₁	\$977 ₁	\$1,100 ²	\$982 ₁	\$997 ₁		\$1,170 ₁	\$987 ₁	\$999 ₁	\$1,000 ₁		
Unweighted Subgroup Sample Sizes	196	180	1	12	0	196	0	11	170	1	24	159	0	9	181	153	31		

MEDIAN Monthly Costs among HOME-OWNERS who have NO MORTGAGE	All Lewis County					Home Ownership		Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?			Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?		
	Participants	Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?			
								Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned		
Mortgage each month	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Heat/Electric average monthly throughout year	\$200	\$200	\$200	\$128		\$200		\$250	\$200	\$80	\$250	\$200		\$350	\$200	\$200	\$200		
Internet Access each month	\$85	\$83	\$250	\$83		\$85		\$100	\$80	\$80	\$100	\$80		\$80	\$89	\$80	\$80		
Homeowners Insurance per year	\$1,000	\$1,150	\$1,500	\$400		\$1,000		\$1,050	\$1,000	\$2,400	\$680	\$1,172		\$900	\$1,000	\$1,100	\$1,000		
Property taxes annually	\$3,000	\$3,000	\$2,500	\$920		\$3,000		\$3,000	\$3,000	\$2,200	\$3,000	\$3,000		\$1,300	\$3,000	\$3,000	\$3,000		
Maintenance and repairs in a typical year	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$2,500	\$1,000		\$1,500		\$2,500	\$1,500	\$800	\$1,600	\$1,200		\$1,000	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$1,000		
Total Monthly Expenses - Owners	\$817	\$878	\$988	\$414		\$817		\$1,349	\$772	\$1,100	\$918	\$772		\$767	\$808	\$842	\$767		
Unweighted Subgroup Sample Sizes	196	180	1	12	0	196	0	11	170	1	24	159	0	9	181	153	31		

Table 23.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	Gender		Age Group					Education Level			Annual HH Income					Poverty		
		Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI
In your opinion, how affordable is housing in Lewis County now?																			
Very unaffordable	22.2% ¹	17.1% _a	25.2% _b	20.1% _{a,b}	34.2% _a	25.2% _{a,b}	19.0% _{a,b}	11.9% _b	26.3% _a	21.0% _b	14.7% _b	45.1% _a	23.0% _b	20.7% _b	18.4% _b	17.3% _b	44.8% _a	22.9% _b	18.6% _b
A little unaffordable	35.2% ¹	31.8% _a	38.9% _a	42.7% _a	29.5% _a	33.7% _a	29.7% _a	33.5% _a	33.8% _a	37.7% _a	32.5% _a	22.1% _b	43.7% _b	33.7% _{a,b}	43.0% _{a,b}	30.5% _{a,b}	20.8% _a	42.6% _b	35.6% _{a,b}
Affordable	27.1% ¹	35.9% _a	20.5% _b	26.1% _a	22.8% _a	26.0% _a	31.4% _a	32.4% _a	22.8% _a	25.9% _a	39.0% _b	18.4% _b	22.6% _a	27.9% _a	25.2% _a	34.2% _a	21.1% _a	22.2% _a	29.5% _a
Very affordable	3.1% ¹	4.3% _a	2.0% _b	3.6% _a	6.7% _a	3.0% _a	1.7% _a	1.7% _a	2.6% _a	3.2% _a	4.3% _a	0.5% _b	2.7% _{a,b}	1.2% _{a,b}	0.6% _a	7.6% _b	0.5% _a	2.6% _a	3.8% _a
Not sure	12.4% ¹	11.0% _a	13.3% _a	7.6% _a	6.8% _{a,b}	12.1% _{a,b}	18.2% _b	20.5% _{b,c}	14.6% _a	12.3% _a	9.5% _b	13.9% _a	8.0% _b	16.5% _a	12.8% _a	10.3% _a	12.8% _a	9.6% _a	12.8% _a
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	713	231	410	139	118	128	168	108	198	257	207	54	128	132	125	193	50	130	440

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	Employed	Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs		
		FT and/or PT	Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C or I	Liberal
In your opinion, how affordable is housing in Lewis County now?															
Very unaffordable	22.2% ¹	22.3% ¹	19.3% _a	22.1% _a	28.1% _a	15.5% _b	25.9% _a	30.0% _a	18.3% _b	18.1% _a	17.8% _a	24.2% _b	19.1% _a	24.9% _a	18.3% _a
A little unaffordable	35.2% ¹	37.4% ¹	31.2% _a	36.0% _a	32.1% _a	35.9% _a	35.3% _a	31.4% _a	36.1% _a	32.9% _a	31.9% _a	36.7% _a	35.8% _a	33.5% _a	39.0% _a
Affordable	27.1% ¹	27.7% ¹	35.0% _a	26.1% _a	21.6% _a	28.6% _a	28.2% _a	27.6% _a	27.8% _a	38.4% _a	32.0% _a	26.4% _a	28.8% _a	26.7% _a	28.0% _a
Very affordable	3.1% ¹	3.8% ¹	3.7% _a	3.0% _a	2.3% _a	2.6% _a	3.9% _a	3.4% _a	3.0% _a	0.0% ²	5.9% _a	2.4% _b	2.2% _b	4.4% _a	3.3% _a
Not sure	12.4% ¹	8.8% ¹	10.8% _a	12.8% _a	15.9% _a	17.4% _a	6.7% _b	7.6% _a	14.7% _b	10.6% _a	12.5% _a	10.4% _a	14.1% _a	10.5% _a	11.4% _a
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	713	382	104	553	83	276	294	208	444	24	127	468	289	285	78

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	County Region				Legislative District									
		Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10	
In your opinion, how affordable is housing in Lewis County now?															
Very unaffordable	22.2% ¹	24.8% _a	22.1% _a	21.7% _a	18.3% _a	27.1% _a	25.2% _a	14.0% _b	21.3% _a	23.2% _a	31.9% _a	22.4% _b	23.8% _b	19.0% _b	
A little unaffordable	35.2% ¹	29.8% _a	35.2% _a	38.2% _a	39.6% _a	39.0% _a	41.3% _a	33.0% _a	35.7% _a	27.2% _a	43.6% _a	16.0% _b	39.1% _b	32.2% _b	
Affordable	27.1% ¹	25.4% _a	31.5% _a	24.5% _a	20.8% _a	19.2% _a	17.9% _a	33.0% _a	33.0% _a	34.7% _a	17.3% _a	46.3% _a	27.4% _a	23.5% _a	
Very affordable	3.1% ¹	3.7% _a	4.0% _a	2.5% _a	2.7% _a	0.9% _a	3.3% _a	3.0% _a	3.0% _a	1.9% _a	3.6% _a	12.6% _a	3.1% _a	3.4% _a	
Not sure	12.4% ¹	16.2% _a	7.2% _b	13.2% _{a,b}	18.6% _a	13.7% _a	12.3% _a	10.0% _a	7.0% _b	13.0% _a	3.5% _b	2.8% _b	6.6% _a	21.9% _a	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Sample Size	713	104	305	225	42	71	68	44	177	43	44	29	46	70	

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	Current Housing Type			Home Ownership			Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?	
		Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
In your opinion, how affordable is housing in Lewis County now?																	
Very unaffordable	22.2% ¹	20.9% _a	28.4% _a	33.7% _a	32.7% _a	17.6% _b	22.7% _{a,b}	45.6% _a	18.0% _b	37.2% _a	36.2% _a	17.3% _b	63.8% _a	40.9% _a	19.6% _b	25.9% _a	13.2% _b
A little unaffordable	35.2% ¹	35.5% _a	36.9% _a	30.6% _b	40.8% _a	38.5% _a	28.4% _b	38.8% _a	34.6% _a	46.7% _a	38.8% _a	33.9% _b	23.7% _b	22.1% _b	36.5% _b	35.6% _a	34.8% _a
Affordable	27.1% ¹	28.4% _a	20.8% _a	19.2% _a	16.6% _a	31.7% _b	27.3% _{a,b}	10.5% _a	30.9% _b	12.1% _b	15.5% _b	31.4% _b	8.6% _b	25.5% _b	28.2% _a	25.1% _a	34.2% _b
Very affordable	3.1% ¹	3.3% _a	1.6% _b	2.4% _a	2.0% _a	4.2% _a	2.5% _a	1.3% _a	3.7% _a	0.0% ²	0.7% _a	3.9% _a	0.0% ²	1.4% _a	3.4% _a	2.7% _a	5.2% _a
Not sure	12.4% ¹	11.8% _a	12.3% _a	14.1% _a	7.9% _a	7.9% _a	19.2% _b	3.8% _a	12.8% _b	3.9% _a	8.8% _a	13.5% _a	4.0% _a	10.1% _a	12.3% _a	10.7% _a	12.6% _a
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	713	617	54	35	111	314	276	83	567	35	100	521	8	40	639	515	136

Table 24.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

		All Lewis County	Gender		Age Group					Education Level				Annual HH Income					Poverty		
		Participants	Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI	
Would you be willing to pay a premium for energy-efficient, or sustainable, housing?	Willing to pay premium	14.5% ¹	16.6% _a	12.7% _{ab}	16.5% _a	16.1% _a	17.1% _a	13.0% _a	8.6% _a	11.0% _a	14.9% _{ab}	20.3% _b	13.5% _{ab}	18.8% _{ab}	17.4% _{ab}	10.9% _a	15.5% _a	11.9% _a	20.8% _a	14.4% _{ab}	
	Not willing to pay premium	36.9% ¹	43.6% _a	29.6% _b	34.7% _a	39.7% _a	41.6% _a	38.9% _a	31.5% _a	41.1% _a	38.2% _{ab}	27.9% _b	47.6% _a	32.4% _a	37.1% _a	34.7% _a	37.3% _a	50.6% _a	31.5% _b	37.1% _{ab}	
	Not sure/It depends	48.6% ¹	39.7% _a	57.6% _b	48.8% _a	44.2% _a	41.3% _a	48.1% _a	59.9% _a	47.9% _a	46.9% _a	51.7% _a	38.8% _a	48.8% _a	45.5% _a	54.4% _a	47.2% _a	37.5% _a	47.8% _a	48.4% _a	
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Sample Size		703	231	404	135	115	128	168	107	191	256	205	49	126	133	124	192	47	129	438	

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

		All Lewis County	Employed	Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs		
		Participants	FT and/or PT	Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C or L	Liberal
Would you be willing to pay a premium for energy-efficient, or sustainable, housing?	Willing to pay premium	14.5% ¹	16.0% ¹	16.6% _{ab}	14.2% _a	10.8% _a	13.8% _{ab}	17.1% _a	15.3% _a	14.5% _a	10.0% _a	13.5% _{ab}	14.7% _{ab}	11.3% _b	17.5% _{ab}	22.8% _b
	Not willing to pay premium	36.9% ¹	40.3% ¹	37.8% _a	37.2% _a	48.7% _a	39.7% _{ab}	31.3% _b	32.8% _a	38.9% _a	34.7% _{ab}	32.1% _{ab}	39.1% _a	45.7% _a	32.4% _b	19.4% _b
	Not sure/It depends	48.6% ¹	43.8% ¹	45.6% _a	48.5% _a	40.5% _a	46.5% _a	51.7% _a	51.9% _a	46.7% _a	55.3% _a	54.4% _a	46.1% _a	43.0% _a	50.1% _a	57.8% _a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size		703	376	102	545	83	273	288	204	440	24	121	465	289	277	77

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

		All Lewis County	County Region						Legislative District						
		Participants	Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10
Would you be willing to pay a premium for energy-efficient, or sustainable, housing?	Willing to pay premium	14.5% ¹	15.1% _a	15.5% _a	14.0% _a	15.1% _a	13.2% _a	19.2% _a	9.0% _a	18.7% _a	11.8% _a	23.7% _a	4.6% _a	13.0% _a	13.6% _a
	Not willing to pay premium	36.9% ¹	31.1% _a	41.3% _a	34.9% _a	34.7% _a	36.2% _a	24.4% _a	43.8% _a	39.8% _a	44.9% _a	30.0% _a	48.1% _a	34.7% _a	35.2% _a
	Not sure/It depends	48.6% ¹	53.8% _a	43.2% _a	51.1% _a	50.2% _a	50.6% _a	56.3% _a	47.1% _a	41.5% _a	43.3% _a	46.3% _a	47.3% _a	52.3% _a	51.2% _a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size		703	102	300	223	43	68	68	44	173	42	44	29	45	69

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

		All Lewis County	Current Housing Type				Home Ownership		Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?	
		Participants	Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
Would you be willing to pay a premium for energy-efficient, or sustainable, housing?	Willing to pay premium	14.5% ¹	13.2% _a	25.2% _a	17.7% _a	23.7% _a	14.1% _b	10.9% _b	28.2% _a	13.2% _b	40.8% _a	18.2% _b	12.7% _b	40.3% _a	23.2% _a	13.4% _a	14.9% _a	14.6% _a
	Not willing to pay premium	36.9% ¹	38.3% _a	26.3% _a	33.6% _a	33.1% _a	37.9% _a	37.8% _a	35.7% _a	37.8% _a	32.1% _a	26.9% _a	39.7% _a	34.4% _a	27.4% _a	37.2% _a	34.7% _a	45.2% _b
	Not sure/It depends	48.6% ¹	48.5% _a	48.6% _a	48.6% _a	43.2% _a	48.0% _a	51.4% _a	36.1% _a	49.0% _a	27.1% _a	54.9% _a	47.6% _a	25.3% _a	49.5% _a	49.3% _a	50.4% _a	40.1% _b
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size		703	610	53	33	108	309	275	80	561	34	99	515	7	38	633	511	133

Table 25.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	Gender		Age Group					Education Level			Annual HH Income					Poverty		
		Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's degree	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI
Very familiar, have heard of them and know what they offer	16.2% ¹	16.5% _a	17.6% _a	16.3% _a	18.2% _a	24.2% _a	14.7% _a	13.0% _a	11.2% _a	20.4% _b	18.6% _{a,b}	26.5% _b	16.0% _{a,b}	9.5% _b	19.5% _{a,b}	15.7% _{a,b}	29.0% _a	15.3% _{a,b}	15.0% _b
Familiar, have heard of them, but don't know a lot about what they offer	29.2% ¹	28.9% _a	30.6% _a	34.6% _a	32.5% _a	29.3% _a	28.1% _a	20.2% _a	35.4% _a	28.0% _a	25.0% _a	34.8% _b	26.8% _b	27.0% _b	26.7% _b	37.0% _b	36.3% _a	25.7% _a	31.5% _a
Don't know much about the programs other than some exist	33.1% ¹	33.9% _a	32.8% _a	30.5% _a	36.2% _a	29.6% _a	35.9% _a	38.6% _a	30.4% _a	34.4% _a	37.5% _a	19.7% _b	32.9% _{a,b}	45.4% _b	35.8% _{a,b}	27.1% _a	17.6% _a	33.4% _{a,b}	35.3% _b
Know nothing about these programs	21.4% ¹	20.7% _a	19.0% _a	18.6% _a	13.1% _a	16.9% _a	21.2% _a	28.2% _a	22.9% _a	17.2% _a	18.9% _a	19.1% _a	24.3% _a	18.1% _a	18.0% _a	20.2% _a	17.0% _a	25.5% _a	18.2% _a
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	704	229	407	137	116	129	165	107	193	255	206	50	126	132	124	192	47	128	438

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	Employed	Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs		
		FT and/or PT	Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C or L	Liberal
Very familiar, have heard of them and know what they offer	16.2% ¹	18.1% ¹	22.0% _a	16.2% _a	20.2% _a	17.2% _a	16.8% _a	15.6% _a	17.6% _a	13.5% _a	13.4% _a	18.0% _a	17.2% _a	18.2% _a	12.5% _a
Familiar, have heard of them, but don't know a lot about what they offer	29.2% ¹	33.3% ¹	21.7% _a	31.7% _b	28.2% _a	27.4% _a	33.3% _a	34.6% _a	27.7% _a	29.9% _a	32.2% _a	30.5% _a	27.4% _a	32.2% _a	31.8% _a
Don't know much about the programs other than some exist	33.1% ¹	32.0% ¹	36.1% _a	33.0% _a	33.0% _a	34.6% _a	32.4% _a	31.3% _a	34.9% _a	17.1% _a	34.2% _a	33.9% _a	36.1% _a	29.9% _a	36.5% _a
Know nothing about these programs	21.4% ¹	16.7% ¹	20.2% _a	19.2% _a	18.7% _a	20.7% _a	17.6% _a	18.5% _a	19.8% _a	39.4% _a	20.2% _{a,b}	17.6% _b	19.3% _a	19.7% _a	19.2% _a
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	704	378	101	548	83	273	289	204	440	23	125	464	287	280	78

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	County Region			Legislative District									
		Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10
Very familiar, have heard of them and know what they offer	16.2% ¹	12.4% _a	19.0% _a	19.1% _a	20.7% _a	20.3% _a	11.7% _a	24.1% _a	22.8% _a	12.2% _a	15.3% _a	18.1% _a	15.7% _a	12.9% _a
Familiar, have heard of them, but don't know a lot about what they offer	29.2% ¹	26.8% _a	34.9% _a	27.1% _a	7.0% _a	24.4% _{a,b}	29.3% _{a,b,d}	41.2% _{b,d,e}	24.1% _{a,b}	42.4% _{b,c,d,e}	56.9% _d	27.9% _{a,b,d}	29.8% _{a,b,d}	26.6% _{a,e}
Don't know much about the programs other than some exist	33.1% ¹	37.8% _a	29.0% _a	34.3% _a	43.3% _a	38.8% _a	31.1% _a	26.4% _a	31.1% _a	24.9% _a	19.5% _a	40.7% _a	37.4% _a	36.8% _a
Know nothing about these programs	21.4% ¹	23.0% _a	17.1% _a	19.5% _a	29.0% _a	16.5% _a	27.9% _a	8.3% _a	21.9% _a	20.4% _a	8.4% _a	13.3% _b	17.2% _b	23.7% _b
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	704	102	301	224	42	70	68	44	173	43	44	29	45	69

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	Current Housing Type			Home Ownership			Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?	
		Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
Very familiar, have heard of them and know what they offer	16.2% ¹	15.5% _a	21.6% _a	19.5% _a	17.8% _a	18.0% _a	12.9% _a	21.6% _a	16.4% _a	21.0% _a	19.7% _a	16.0% _a	30.3% _a	23.1% _a	15.8% _a	16.0% _a	18.8% _a
Familiar, have heard of them, but don't know a lot about what they offer	29.2% ¹	30.0% _a	28.4% _a	17.9% _a	30.8% _{a,b}	33.9% _a	23.1% _b	28.4% _a	29.6% _a	24.9% _a	36.8% _a	29.6% _a	37.6% _a	35.9% _a	28.8% _a	30.8% _a	23.4% _a
Don't know much about the programs other than some exist	33.1% ¹	34.0% _a	22.4% _a	33.9% _a	27.8% _a	33.7% _a	35.5% _a	29.2% _a	34.0% _a	26.1% _a	28.7% _a	33.6% _a	27.2% _{a,b}	15.2% _a	34.4% _b	33.1% _a	34.3% _a
Know nothing about these programs	21.4% ¹	20.5% _a	27.6% _a	28.6% _a	23.7% _{a,b}	14.4% _a	28.5% _b	20.8% _a	19.9% _a	28.0% _a	14.8% _a	20.8% _a	4.9% _a	25.8% _a	20.9% _a	20.1% _a	23.5% _a
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	704	610	54	34	108	309	276	79	565	33	98	520	6	38	637	511	135

Table 26.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	Gender		Age Group					Education Level			Annual HH Income					Poverty		
		Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's degree	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI
Are you, or recently have you, had difficulty finding suitable housing?	4.9% ¹	1.6% _a	6.4% _b	7.7% _a	6.8% _a	3.6% _a	2.6% _a	0.3% _a	6.8% _a	4.3% _a	2.4% _a	25.2% _a	6.4% _b	2.7% _b	1.7% _b	1.9% _b	22.5% _a	6.1% _b	2.1% _b
Yes, having difficulty now	8.1% ¹	6.5% _a	10.5% _a	13.3% _a	8.3% _a	7.7% _a	5.7% _a	0.0% ²	10.0% _a	8.7% _a	4.9% _a	10.0% _{a,b}	15.3% _a	12.4% _a	5.5% _{a,b}	2.3% _b	10.7% _{a,b}	19.7% _a	5.2% _b
Yes, recently had difficulty	80.7% ¹	86.0% _a	76.3% _b	72.1% _b	82.4% _{a,b,c}	79.1% _{a,b}	86.7% _{b,c}	93.9% _c	69.7% _a	85.6% _b	90.0% _b	53.1% _a	70.3% _{a,b}	83.4% _{b,c,d}	84.0% _{b,c,d}	91.4% _d	55.2% _a	66.5% _a	88.0% _b
No	6.4% ¹	5.9% _a	6.8% _a	6.9% _a	2.6% _a	9.6% _a	5.1% _a	5.8% _a	13.5% _a	1.4% _b	2.7% _b	11.7% _b	7.9% _{a,b}	1.6% _b	8.8% _{a,b}	4.4% _{a,b}	11.7% _a	7.6% _a	4.7% _a
Not sure	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total	698	232	412	140	118	129	166	106	196	256	207	53	127	132	125	193	49	130	439
Sample Size																			

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	Employed	Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs		
		FT and/or PT	Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C or L	Liberal
Are you, or recently have you, had difficulty finding suitable housing?	4.9% ¹	4.8% ¹	5.0% _a	4.8% _a	6.7% _a	2.7% _a	5.9% _a	4.7% _a	4.5% _a	9.5% _a	6.2% _a	3.4% _a	5.4% _a	4.3% _a	4.6% _a
Yes, having difficulty now	8.1% ¹	8.3% ¹	9.9% _a	8.1% _a	4.5% _a	6.6% _a	11.4% _a	12.1% _a	6.6% _b	15.8% _a	13.1% _a	6.8% _a	8.6% _a	8.2% _a	9.5% _a
Yes, recently had difficulty	80.7% ¹	80.4% ¹	78.3% _a	80.9% _a	79.7% _{a,b}	86.4% _a	75.9% _b	75.8% _a	83.0% _b	60.7% _a	72.9% _b	84.9% _b	81.7% _a	78.9% _a	85.8% _a
No	6.4% ¹	6.5% ¹	6.8% _a	6.2% _a	9.2% _a	4.3% _a	6.8% _a	7.5% _a	5.9% _a	14.0% _a	7.8% _a	4.9% _a	4.3% _a	8.5% _a	0.0% ²
Not sure	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total	698	382	103	553	81	273	297	210	441	25	127	464	291	283	77
Sample Size															

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	County Region			Legislative District									
		Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10
Are you, or recently have you, had difficulty finding suitable housing?	4.9% ¹	3.4% _a	4.6% _a	5.1% _a	3.3% _a	5.3% _a	9.0% _a	2.1% _a	4.0% _a	0.0% ²	9.1% _a	2.9% _a	4.9% _a	4.1% _a
Yes, having difficulty now	8.1% ¹	17.3% _a	6.6% _b	7.0% _b	8.6% _a	7.1% _a	8.7% _a	3.9% _a	9.1% _a	3.1% _a	4.7% _a	0.0% ²	19.1% _a	16.4% _a
Yes, recently had difficulty	80.7% ¹	70.9% _a	83.6% _b	82.7% _b	77.9% _{a,b}	81.7% _{a,b}	79.4% _{a,b}	90.3% _{a,b}	78.2% _{a,b}	93.6% _a	84.9% _{a,b}	92.7% _{a,b}	67.3% _b	72.7% _{a,b}
No	6.4% ¹	8.5% _a	5.3% _a	5.2% _a	10.1% _a	5.9% _a	2.8% _a	3.7% _a	8.6% _a	3.3% _a	1.2% _a	4.5% _a	8.7% _a	6.7% _a
Not sure	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total	698	104	302	226	42	72	68	44	175	42	44	29	46	70
Sample Size														

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	Current Housing Type			Home Ownership			Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?	
		Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
Are you, or recently have you, had difficulty finding suitable housing?	4.9% ¹	4.1% _a	11.1% _a	5.0% _a	13.7% _a	2.1% _b	1.7% _b	37.6% _a	0.0% ²	30.6% _a	4.6% _b	2.8% _b	67.8% _a	10.7% _b	2.9% _c	5.5% _a	1.7% _b
Yes, having difficulty now	8.1% ¹	5.6% _a	23.5% _b	24.5% _b	24.4% _a	3.3% _b	5.2% _b	62.4% _a	0.0% ²	27.2% _a	17.3% _a	4.0% _b	0.0% ²	20.6% _a	7.0% _b	9.7% _a	3.0% _b
Yes, recently had difficulty	80.7% ¹	84.3% _a	54.7% _b	66.6% _b	54.3% _a	87.5% _b	88.5% _b	0.0% ²	100.0% ²	37.5% _a	66.5% _b	90.3% _c	32.2% _a	64.8% _a	83.9% _b	78.6% _a	89.6% _b
No	6.4% ¹	6.0% _a	10.7% _a	3.9% _a	7.2% _a	7.7% _a	4.6% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	4.6% _{a,b}	11.5% _a	2.9% _b	0.0% ²	4.0% _a	6.2% _a	6.2% _a	5.7% _a
Not sure	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total	698	601	54	34	110	307	269	85	570	36	100	523	8	39	639	513	136
Sample Size																	

Table 27.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	Gender		Age Group					Education Level				Annual HH Income					Poverty		
		Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's degree	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI	
Yes, fear losing housing now.	6.3% ¹	6.3% _a	5.4% _a	8.5% _a	4.9% _a	6.0% _a	4.6% _a	2.1% _a	9.0% _a	6.2% _{a,b}	1.1% _b	24.7% _a	9.7% _{a,b}	4.9% _{b,d}	3.3% _{b,c,d}	1.7% _d	21.4% _a	11.5% _a	2.6% _b	
Yes in the past, but not now.	14.1% ¹	10.6% _a	17.3% _b	14.6% _{a,b}	18.3% _{a,b}	18.6% _a	11.9% _{a,b}	5.8% _b	15.6% _a	13.9% _a	12.4% _a	5.6% _a	23.6% _b	13.7% _{a,b}	13.9% _{a,b}	12.4% _{a,b}	5.5% _a	22.0% _b	13.1% _a	
No, never.	74.1% ¹	77.6% _a	72.0% _a	70.1% _a	71.1% _{a,b}	71.8% _a	78.5% _{a,b}	87.8% _b	67.2% _a	76.6% _a	82.4% _b	57.5% _a	61.5% _a	72.3% _{a,b}	78.0% _{b,c}	85.2% _c	61.0% _a	60.6% _a	79.8% _b	
Not sure	5.5% ¹	5.5% _a	5.3% _a	6.8% _a	5.7% _a	3.6% _a	5.0% _a	4.7% _a	8.3% _a	3.4% _b	4.0% _{a,b}	12.2% _b	5.2% _{a,b}	9.2% _a	4.8% _{b,c}	0.7% _b	12.0% _a	5.9% _a	4.5% _a	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Sample Size	699	233	410	139	117	130	167	107	197	256	207	53	129	132	125	193	50	132	439	

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	Employed	Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs		
		FT and/or PT	Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C or L	Liberal
Yes, fear losing housing now.	6.3% ¹	4.5% ¹	15.2% _a	4.3% _b	8.6% _a	2.3% _b	8.7% _a	8.1% _a	5.2% _a	10.2% _{a,b}	10.2% _a	4.6% _b	7.1% _a	5.6% _a	2.6% _a
Yes in the past, but not now.	14.1% ¹	17.0% ¹	18.0% _a	13.5% _a	11.7% _a	13.7% _a	14.9% _a	18.5% _a	12.1% _b	15.4% _a	14.1% _a	14.3% _a	9.2% _a	17.2% _b	19.2% _{a,b}
No, never.	74.1% ¹	72.7% ¹	58.1% _a	77.6% _b	74.5% _{a,b}	80.2% _a	69.4% _b	64.9% _a	68.0% _a	65.0% _a	68.0% _a	76.2% _b	80.0% _a	69.0% _b	76.0% _{a,b}
Not sure	5.5% ¹	5.8% ¹	8.7% _a	4.6% _a	5.3% _a	3.8% _a	6.9% _a	8.4% _a	4.0% _b	9.5% _a	7.7% _a	4.9% _a	3.7% _a	8.2% _a	2.2% _a
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	699	383	104	553	83	274	296	208	444	25	126	466	291	282	78

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	County Region			Legislative District									
		Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10
Yes, fear losing housing now.	6.3% ¹	13.8% _a	6.3% _b	2.1% _b	0.0% ²	1.4% _a	6.4% _a	0.0% ²	7.6% _a	0.8% _a	11.0% _a	5.7% _a	12.6% _a	11.8% _a
Yes in the past, but not now.	14.1% ¹	22.2% _a	8.0% _b	16.3% _a	8.4% _a	17.1% _a	19.6% _a	17.3% _a	8.2% _a	7.8% _a	14.1% _a	4.3% _a	14.9% _a	21.8% _a
No, never.	74.1% ¹	56.9% _a	81.3% _b	75.4% _b	79.6% _{a,b}	77.3% _{a,b}	66.9% _{a,b}	78.8% _{a,b}	76.8% _{a,b}	89.7% _a	72.5% _{a,b}	85.5% _{a,b}	69.0% _{a,b}	59.2% _b
Not sure	5.5% ¹	7.1% _a	4.4% _a	6.2% _a	12.0% _b	4.2% _a	7.0% _a	3.9% _a	7.5% _a	1.7% _a	2.4% _a	4.5% _a	3.4% _a	7.2% _a
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	699	104	303	226	43	72	67	44	176	42	44	29	46	70

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	Current Housing Type			Home Ownership			Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?	
		Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
Yes, fear losing housing now.	6.3% ¹	4.0% _a	22.4% _b	17.0% _b	19.1% _a	4.7% _b	1.1% _c	28.4% _a	2.9% _b	100.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	66.4% _a	27.2% _a	3.6% _b	7.7% _a	1.6% _b
Yes in the past, but not now.	14.1% ¹	13.0% _a	12.5% _{a,b}	26.4% _b	14.2% _a	15.4% _a	13.0% _a	24.1% _a	11.6% _b	0.0% ²	100.0% ²	0.0% ²	8.9% _a	24.3% _a	13.0% _a	15.3% _a	9.3% _a
No, never.	74.1% ¹	78.1% _a	55.0% _b	50.3% _b	55.8% _a	74.3% _b	83.5% _c	39.2% _a	83.0% _b	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	100.0% ²	24.7% _a	37.6% _a	78.6% _b	71.5% _a	85.3% _b
Not sure	5.5% ¹	4.9% _a	10.2% _a	6.3% _a	10.9% _a	5.5% _{a,b}	2.3% _b	8.4% _a	2.5% _b	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	10.9% _a	4.8% _a	5.5% _a	3.8% _a
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	699	602	55	34	111	307	270	83	569	36	101	525	7	39	641	515	136

Table 28.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

		All Lewis County	Gender		Age Group				Education Level			Annual HH Income					Poverty			
		Participants	Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI
Have you ever been homeless, defined as a lack of fixed, regular, and adequate night-time residence?	Yes, homeless now.	1.4% ¹	0.0% ²	1.2% _a	2.7% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.9% _a	0.0% ²	2.7% _a	0.1% _b	0.5% _{a,b}	8.0% _a	2.1% _{a,b}	0.0% ²	0.9% _b	0.0% ²	3.7% _a	2.0% _{a,b}	0.3% _b
	Yes, homeless in the past, but not now.	7.3% ¹	8.1% _a	6.1% _a	11.9% _a	8.7% _a	5.5% _a	4.5% _a	3.0% _a	10.6% _a	7.1% _{a,b}	3.2% _b	26.1% _a	8.4% _b	7.6% _b	5.5% _b	1.9% _b	27.8% _a	9.7% _b	4.2% _c
	No, never homeless.	90.6% ¹	91.2% _a	91.8% _a	84.9% _a	89.5% _{a,b}	93.8% _{a,b}	93.5% _{a,b}	97.0% _b	85.3% _a	92.5% _b	95.4% _b	63.1% _a	89.5% _b	92.4% _b	92.7% _b	97.2% _b	65.5% _a	88.2% _b	94.9% _c
	Not sure	0.7% ¹	0.7% _a	0.9% _a	0.6% _a	1.8% _a	0.6% _a	1.1% _a	0.0% ²	1.4% _a	0.2% _a	0.9% _a	2.8% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.9% _a	0.9% _a	3.0% _a	0.0% ²	0.6% _a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size		704	232	418	142	117	130	169	110	200	258	209	54	127	134	125	194	50	130	442

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

		All Lewis County	Employed	Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs		
		Participants	FT and/or PT	Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C	Liberal
Have you ever been homeless, defined as a lack of fixed, regular, and adequate night-time residence?	Yes, homeless now.	1.4% ¹	0.9% ¹	2.6% _a	0.8% _a	1.2% _a	0.0% ²	1.7% _a	0.7% _a	1.0% _a	2.9% _b	1.2% _a	1.0% _a	2.0% _a	0.6% _a	1.2% _a
	Yes, homeless in the past, but not now.	7.3% ¹	7.3% ¹	14.6% _a	6.1% _b	16.8% _a	3.3% _b	8.9% _a	11.6% _a	5.5% _b	23.5% _a	10.4% _{a,b}	6.2% _b	2.9% _a	13.3% _b	4.6% _{a,b}
	No, never homeless.	90.6% ¹	90.9% ¹	82.8% _a	92.1% _b	80.8% _a	96.2% _b	88.5% _a	86.9% _a	92.7% _b	73.6% _a	88.4% _{a,b}	92.0% _b	94.1% _a	85.4% _b	94.2% _{a,b}
	Not sure	0.7% ¹	0.9% ¹	0.0% ²	0.9% _a	1.2% _a	0.6% _a	0.9% _a	0.8% _a	0.7% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.8% _a	1.1% _a	0.7% _a	0.0% ²
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size		704	384	107	557	84	278	296	211	447	26	128	470	290	288	78

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

		All Lewis County	County Region			Legislative District									
		Participants	Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10
Have you ever been homeless, defined as a lack of fixed, regular, and adequate night-time residence?	Yes, homeless now.	1.4% ¹	0.0% ²	1.7% _a	1.1% _a	0.0% ²	2.1% _a	1.7% _a	0.0% ²	1.3% _a	0.0% ²	6.1% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²
	Yes, homeless in the past, but not now.	7.3% ¹	13.9% _a	5.3% _b	6.6% _{a,b}	6.7% _a	7.0% _a	0.0% ²	12.4% _a	7.1% _a	0.7% _b	8.7% _a	5.3% _a	8.8% _a	13.7% _a
	No, never homeless.	90.6% ¹	84.2% _a	92.7% _b	92.1% _{a,b}	91.7% _a	90.9% _a	98.3% _a	87.6% _a	91.0% _a	99.3% _a	85.2% _a	91.3% _a	91.2% _a	85.0% _a
	Not sure	0.7% ¹	1.9% _a	0.3% _a	0.3% _a	1.7% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.6% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	3.4% _a	0.0% ²	1.3% _a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size		704	104	308	228	44	72	68	44	180	44	44	29	45	70

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

		All Lewis County	Current Housing Type			Home Ownership		Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?		
		Participants	Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
Have you ever been homeless, defined as a lack of fixed, regular, and adequate night-time residence?	Yes, homeless now.	1.4% ¹	1.0% _a	0.0% ²	4.8% _b	4.6% _a	1.1% _b	0.0% ²	6.9% _a	0.5% _b	13.1% _a	0.8% _b	0.4% _b	100.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	1.6% _a	0.0% ²
	Yes, homeless in the past, but not now.	7.3% ¹	4.8% _b	19.1% _b	28.8% _b	21.4% _a	2.6% _b	5.6% _b	18.8% _a	5.8% _b	33.2% _a	13.0% _b	3.7% _c	0.0% ²	100.0% ²	0.0% ²	9.4% _a	0.5% _b
	No, never homeless.	90.6% ¹	93.4% _a	79.6% _b	66.4% _b	72.3% _a	95.4% _b	94.4% _b	73.4% _a	93.2% _b	53.7% _a	86.2% _b	95.3% _c	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	100.0% ²	88.8% _a	98.9% _b
	Not sure	0.7% ¹	0.8% _a	1.4% _a	0.0% ²	1.8% _a	0.9% _a	0.0% ²	0.9% _a	0.5% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.6% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.2% _a	0.6% _a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size		704	604	54	36	112	306	273	81	569	35	97	524	9	40	650	522	139

Table 29.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County	Gender		Age Group					Education Level			Annual HH Income					Poverty			
		Participants	Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's degree	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI
How concerned are you with the issue of homelessness in Lewis County?	Very concerned	13.6% ¹	7.3% _a	20.3% _{bc}	10.3% _a	17.1% _a	13.7% _{ab}	15.7% _a	12.8% _{ab}	10.5% _a	15.0% _a	15.0% _a	24.9% _a	19.3% _{ab}	11.9% _{ab}	11.8% _{ab}	9.9% _b	23.3% _a	18.4% _{ab}	10.9% _b
	Somewhat concerned	25.9% ¹	24.3% _a	28.9% _a	23.6% _{ab}	14.5% _a	27.4% _{ab}	33.0% _b	31.7% _{ab}	29.0% _a	22.5% _a	31.4% _a	26.2% _a	29.0% _a	26.5% _a	28.0% _a	23.9% _a	27.6% _a	30.4% _a	25.2% _a
	A little concerned	32.1% ¹	32.8% _a	30.3% _a	31.4% _a	35.3% _a	33.4% _a	29.3% _a	32.9% _a	31.5% _a	30.3% _a	38.2% _a	29.1% _a	28.3% _a	34.9% _a	32.8% _a	30.8% _a	29.6% _a	28.2% _a	33.2% _a
	Not at all concerned	23.7% ¹	32.8% _a	15.0% _b	30.4% _a	26.5% _a	24.0% _a	17.7% _a	16.2% _a	22.4% _{ab}	28.8% _a	12.5% _b	13.2% _a	18.8% _{ab}	19.9% _{ab}	24.3% _{ab}	33.3% _b	13.1% _a	18.6% _a	26.6% _a
	Not sure	4.7% ¹	2.8% _a	5.6% _a	4.3% _a	6.6% _a	1.5% _a	4.3% _a	6.4% _a	6.5% _a	3.4% _a	2.9% _a	6.6% _a	4.6% _a	6.8% _a	3.1% _a	2.1% _a	6.3% _a	4.4% _a	4.0% _a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Size	697	230	415	138	116	130	168	109	197	257	207	53	126	133	125	192	50	129	439

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County	Participants	Employed FT and/or PT	Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs		
				Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C or I	Liberal
How concerned are you with the issue of homelessness in Lewis County?	Very concerned	13.6% ¹	11.0% ¹	23.5% _a	11.3% _b	14.6% _a	15.4% _a	10.6% _a	12.3% _a	13.4% _a	0.0% ²	13.0% _a	14.7% _a	11.1% _a	14.6% _a	20.4% _a
	Somewhat concerned	25.9% ¹	22.8% ¹	29.7% _a	26.1% _a	35.2% _a	19.8% _b	30.7% _a	27.0% _a	26.6% _a	31.6% _{ab}	17.8% _a	30.0% _a	23.2% _a	28.7% _a	31.5% _a
	A little concerned	32.1% ¹	35.4% ¹	22.4% _a	34.0% _b	26.1% _a	36.6% _a	29.8% _a	29.8% _a	33.2% _a	30.7% _a	37.6% _a	29.0% _a	28.8% _a	35.2% _a	35.6% _a
	Not at all concerned	23.7% ¹	27.8% ¹	22.9% _a	23.8% _a	17.5% _a	24.6% _a	24.4% _a	26.5% _a	22.3% _a	22.5% _a	27.4% _a	22.9% _a	33.0% _a	16.7% _a	9.1% _b
	Not sure	4.7% ¹	3.2% ¹	1.6% _a	4.8% _a	6.7% _a	3.6% _a	4.5% _a	4.3% _a	4.5% _a	15.2% _a	4.1% _{ab}	3.4% _b	3.8% _a	4.8% _a	3.4% _a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Size	697	379	104	553	84	277	292	209	445	25	126	469	288	286	77

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

	All Lewis County	County Region			Legislative District										
		Participants	Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10
How concerned are you with the issue of homelessness in Lewis County?	Very concerned	13.6% ¹	18.0% _a	12.1% _a	13.5% _a	14.4% _{ab}	16.1% _{ab}	19.7% _{ab}	3.9% _a	10.5% _{ab}	13.0% _{ab}	18.2% _{ab}	7.1% _b	25.8% _b	12.1% _{ab}
	Somewhat concerned	25.9% ¹	30.0% _a	25.4% _a	27.6% _a	17.2% _a	22.6% _a	35.0% _a	33.2% _a	19.8% _a	35.6% _a	33.6% _a	18.6% _a	21.4% _a	34.5% _a
	A little concerned	32.1% ¹	27.2% _a	29.6% _a	34.1% _a	43.9% _a	34.3% _a	23.6% _a	37.4% _a	34.6% _a	25.3% _a	29.3% _a	22.1% _a	25.7% _a	27.0% _a
	Not at all concerned	23.7% ¹	18.5% _a	27.9% _a	22.2% _a	21.1% _{ab}	24.4% _{ab}	18.8% _{ab}	23.7% _{ab}	30.4% _{ab}	14.7% _a	15.9% _{ab}	47.7% _b	24.1% _{ab}	20.3% _{ab}
	Not sure	4.7% ¹	6.3% _a	5.0% _a	2.7% _a	3.5% _a	2.6% _a	2.9% _a	1.8% _a	4.7% _a	11.4% _a	2.9% _a	4.5% _a	3.0% _a	6.1% _a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Size	697	104	307	224	44	69	67	44	179	44	44	29	45	70

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

	All Lewis County	Current Housing Type			Home Ownership		Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?			
		Participants	Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
How concerned are you with the issue of homelessness in Lewis County?	Very concerned	13.6% ¹	12.4% _a	25.2% _b	14.7% _{ab}	21.8% _a	9.4% _b	14.9% _{ab}	35.9% _a	10.9% _b	37.5% _a	19.2% _a	10.2% _b	56.2% _a	30.6% _a	11.8% _b	19.0% _a	0.0% ²
	Somewhat concerned	25.9% ¹	25.4% _a	29.9% _a	33.8% _a	23.1% _a	27.6% _a	26.0% _a	22.4% _a	26.3% _a	34.1% _a	29.8% _a	24.7% _a	34.9% _a	28.8% _a	25.8% _a	36.2% _a	0.0% ²
	A little concerned	32.1% ¹	33.0% _a	24.8% _a	26.9% _a	33.7% _a	30.1% _a	33.8% _a	31.5% _a	31.7% _a	19.3% _a	30.1% _a	33.6% _a	4.7% _a	35.0% _a	32.3% _a	44.8% _a	0.0% ²
	Not at all concerned	23.7% ¹	25.1% _a	14.6% _b	16.8% _a	18.3% _a	27.9% _a	21.1% _a	9.1% _a	25.9% _b	6.1% _a	15.8% _{ab}	26.9% _a	0.0% ²	1.7% _a	25.8% _b	0.0% ²	100.0% ²
	Not sure	4.7% ¹	4.1% _a	5.5% _a	7.8% _a	3.2% _a	4.9% _a	4.3% _a	1.2% _a	5.3% _a	3.0% _a	5.1% _a	4.5% _a	4.2% _a	3.9% _a	4.3% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Size	697	600	52	35	107	305	272	80	565	35	97	519	8	38	646	522	139

Table 30.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County	Gender		Age Group					Education Level				Annual HH Income					Poverty		
	Participants	Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI	
Housing prices	57.1% ¹	57.7% _a	59.3% _a	66.8% _a	68.5% _{a,b}	61.0% _{a,b}	51.0% _{b,c}	36.4% _c	57.0% _a	60.9% _a	53.8% _b	57.1% _a	59.5% _a	57.8% _a	63.3% _a	55.7% _a	56.9% _a	60.1% _a	58.2% _a	
Interest rates	37.6% ¹	38.0% _a	40.0% _a	40.6% _a	52.7% _a	39.1% _{a,b}	34.4% _{a,b}	23.8% _b	32.8% _a	44.4% _b	32.8% _{a,b}	27.2% _b	35.5% _b	40.5% _b	41.1% _b	43.8% _b	28.0% _a	34.8% _a	42.7% _a	
Lack of housing for sale	18.5% ¹	15.9% _a	21.9% _a	20.0% _a	19.3% _a	22.0% _a	19.6% _a	10.8% _a	13.8% _a	18.0% _a	30.0% _b	10.0% _b	17.9% _a	21.3% _a	20.8% _a	19.9% _a	12.1% _a	19.8% _a	20.1% _a	
High rents	38.0% ¹	27.2% _a	50.4% _a	45.1% _a	40.8% _a	40.6% _a	31.9% _a	29.4% _a	40.5% _a	38.4% _a	35.2% _a	47.6% _a	42.1% _a	44.7% _a	34.0% _a	31.8% _a	48.0% _a	41.1% _a	37.1% _a	
Poor quality rentals	19.8% ¹	13.0% _a	27.8% _b	20.4% _a	20.2% _a	23.0% _a	20.4% _a	13.4% _a	18.1% _a	20.9% _a	20.5% _a	25.1% _a	25.7% _a	17.3% _b	21.1% _a	16.3% _a	25.8% _a	25.4% _a	17.9% _a	
Dilapidated homes	13.7% ¹	16.0% _a	12.6% _a	8.6% _a	16.0% _{a,b}	22.3% _b	16.0% _{a,b}	10.4% _{a,b}	9.4% _a	15.6% _b	19.2% _b	14.3% _{a,b}	6.1% _a	16.3% _{a,b}	13.9% _{a,b}	17.1% _b	11.2% _{a,b}	6.3% _a	16.3% _b	
Discrimination by landlords	5.7% ¹	3.7% _a	8.8% _b	9.1% _a	5.0% _a	4.5% _a	5.4% _a	3.1% _a	5.3% _a	7.0% _a	5.3% _a	18.7% _b	6.5% _{a,b}	4.3% _b	5.6% _{b,c}	2.3% _{b,d}	18.8% _a	6.2% _b	3.9% _b	
Accessibility for those with disabilities	7.7% ¹	4.8% _a	10.6% _b	3.4% _a	7.8% _{a,b}	11.6% _b	8.8% _{a,b}	9.8% _{a,b}	5.2% _a	9.5% _a	7.4% _a	10.6% _{a,b}	7.6% _{a,b}	13.8% _a	3.7% _b	4.3% _{b,c}	10.1% _a	7.2% _a	7.3% _a	
Landlord unwilling to rent to me	3.1% ¹	2.5% _a	3.9% _a	4.9% _a	2.4% _a	1.8% _a	3.1% _a	1.4% _a	3.9% _a	2.8% _a	2.4% _a	2.4% _a	5.4% _a	1.3% _a	4.3% _a	1.4% _a	3.8% _a	4.6% _a	2.2% _a	
Cited no challenges	18.5% ¹	20.1% _a	14.5% _a	7.4% _a	9.3% _a	16.9% _{a,b}	25.1% _{b,c}	37.5% _c	17.6% _a	17.5% _a	17.6% _a	12.8% _b	17.3% _b	17.3% _b	17.9% _a	16.4% _a	12.7% _a	15.9% _a	17.5% _a	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Sample Size	707	234	418	143	118	130	169	111	205	258	209	56	130	134	125	194	51	133	442	

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County	Employed	Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs		
	Participants	FT and/or PT	Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C or L	Liberal
Housing prices	57.1% ¹	63.8% ¹	56.0% _a	58.3% _a	58.1% _{a,b}	52.9% _a	63.0% _b	68.1% _a	53.6% _b	60.6% _a	61.0% _a	57.4% _b	56.9% _a	62.2% _a	47.5% _b
Interest rates	37.6% ¹	43.4% ¹	36.1% _a	38.3% _a	36.0% _{a,b}	32.6% _a	44.4% _b	41.5% _a	36.8% _a	30.0% _a	36.8% _a	39.3% _a	42.4% _a	34.7% _a	32.7% _a
Lack of housing for sale	18.5% ¹	20.7% ¹	16.4% _a	19.3% _a	16.6% _a	19.0% _a	19.7% _a	21.1% _a	18.3% _a	18.5% _a	19.7% _a	18.3% _a	17.1% _a	18.5% _a	27.2% _a
High rents	38.0% ¹	41.0% ¹	39.7% _a	38.3% _a	42.3% _a	34.4% _a	41.7% _a	41.8% _a	37.3% _a	67.2% _a	39.7% _a	37.4% _a	32.1% _a	45.3% _a	41.0% _{a,b}
Poor quality rentals	19.8% ¹	19.2% ¹	29.7% _a	17.7% _b	20.9% _a	18.1% _a	21.3% _a	21.4% _a	19.2% _a	40.4% _a	21.1% _{a,b}	18.9% _b	13.7% _b	24.5% _b	26.6% _b
Dilapidated homes	13.7% ¹	12.3% ¹	21.9% _a	12.6% _b	7.9% _a	17.1% _a	12.6% _a	12.4% _a	14.2% _a	9.6% _a	17.5% _a	12.9% _b	12.1% _b	14.5% _a	23.4% _b
Discrimination by landlords	5.7% ¹	5.2% ¹	11.9% _a	4.9% _b	9.5% _a	5.4% _a	5.4% _a	7.7% _a	5.3% _a	6.1% _b	6.3% _a	4.9% _b	2.9% _b	10.2% _b	3.8% _{a,b}
Accessibility for those with disabilities	7.7% ¹	4.9% ¹	19.2% _a	5.2% _b	7.7% _a	8.2% _a	6.6% _a	5.8% _a	8.2% _a	0.0% ²	7.9% _a	7.4% _a	6.5% _a	10.0% _a	3.5% _a
Landlord unwilling to rent to me	3.1% ¹	3.9% ¹	3.8% _a	3.0% _a	3.8% _a	2.8% _a	3.3% _a	4.0% _a	2.6% _a	5.2% _a	5.4% _a	2.0% _a	0.7% _a	6.0% _b	2.8% _{a,b}
Cited no challenges	18.5% ¹	11.2% ¹	17.2% _a	17.9% _a	13.9% _{a,b}	24.2% _a	12.0% _b	6.9% _a	22.3% _b	14.2% _a	13.5% _a	18.0% _b	17.9% _a	18.2% _a	15.2% _a
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	707	385	109	560	84	279	299	212	450	26	128	471	293	290	78

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

	All Lewis County	County Region										Legislative District									
	Participants	Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10							
Housing prices	57.1% ¹	63.8% _a	57.1% _a	56.8% _a	50.0% _{a,b}	65.7% _a	64.5% _a	43.3% _{a,b}	58.0% _{a,b}	66.0% _a	69.3% _a	29.4% _b	61.1% _{a,b}	61.6% _{a,b}							
Interest rates	37.6% ¹	37.7% _a	36.6% _a	40.6% _a	42.8% _a	35.0% _a	43.6% _a	42.9% _a	32.9% _a	39.3% _a	42.8% _a	36.8% _a	38.9% _a	36.6% _a							
Lack of housing for sale	18.5% ¹	16.4% _a	19.7% _a	18.9% _a	24.8% _a	12.8% _a	21.1% _a	19.8% _a	21.2% _a	15.3% _a	22.6% _a	16.3% _a	18.2% _a	15.9% _a							
High rents	38.0% ¹	38.4% _a	39.5% _a	38.7% _a	51.9% _{a,c}	44.1% _{a,b}	41.3% _{a,b}	20.5% _b	37.4% _{a,b}	40.8% _{a,b}	61.6% _a	16.9% _{b,c}	30.0% _{a,b}	42.2% _{a,b}							
Poor quality rentals	19.8% ¹	26.8% _a	17.0% _a	18.5% _a	24.7% _a	14.9% _a	27.2% _a	9.7% _a	17.8% _a	7.9% _a	24.8% _a	11.5% _a	28.0% _a	26.4% _a							
Dilapidated homes	13.7% ¹	16.0% _a	11.2% _a	15.2% _a	16.2% _a	12.6% _a	11.1% _a	21.8% _a	9.6% _a	5.3% _a	16.0% _a	10.5% _b	16.1% _a	18.4% _a							
Discrimination by landlords	5.7% ¹	4.6% _a	4.0% _a	6.8% _a	5.1% _a	10.2% _a	8.7% _a	2.1% _a	2.4% _a	7.0% _a	6.9% _a	2.9% _a	1.2% _a	5.9% _a							
Accessibility for those with disabilities	7.7% ¹	13.9% _a	5.2% _b	6.5% _{a,b}	7.9% _{a,b}	6.6% _{a,b}	5.9% _{a,b}	6.0% _{a,b}	3.2% _a	5.7% _{a,b}	10.6% _{a,b}	2.4% _{a,b}	6.0% _{a,b}	17.7% _b							
Landlord unwilling to rent to me	3.1% ¹	1.2% _a	3.6% _a	2.5% _a	0.0% ²	3.9% _a	5.1% _a	0.0% ²	4.8% _a	0.0% ²	5.9% _a	2.9% _a	1.2% _a	0.9% _a							
Cited no challenges	18.5% ¹	17.5% _a	20.0% _a	14.7% _a	8.5% _{a,b}	13.0% _{a,b}	12.1% _{a,b}	23.7% _{a,b}	20.2% _{a,b}	23.2% _{a,b}	3.5% _a	32.2% _b	21.1% _{a,b}	18.6% _{a,b}							
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%							
Sample Size	707	106	309	228	44	72	68	44	180	44	44	29	46	72							

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

	All Lewis County	Current Housing Type			Home Ownership			Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?	
	Participants	Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
	Housing prices	57.1% ¹	55.9% _a	53.5% _a	80.6% _b	61.5% _a	60.7% _a	51.1% _a	67.1% _a	56.1% _b	72.3% _a	65.7% _a	54.1% _b	63.0% _a	71.2% _a	56.4% _a	62.6% _a
Interest rates	37.6% ¹	39.5% _a	21.3% _b	38.0% _{a,b}	28.5% _a	49.2% _b	29.7% _a	34.1% _a	39.4% _a	41.3% _a	35.6% _a	37.4% _b	29.5% _a	32.3% _a	38.8% _a	37.8% _a	41.4% _a
Lack of housing for sale	18.5% ¹	18.0% _a	19.2% _a	24.7% _a	18.9% _a	21.7% _a	15.1% _a	29.8% _a	17.0% _b	16.4% _a	23.4% _a	17.5% _a	11.9% _a	18.4% _a	19.0% _a	20.6% _a	15.9% _a
High rents	38.0% ¹	37.8% _a	44.2% _a	32.5% _a	59.0% _a	36.3% _b	28.9% _b	65.2% _a	33.2% _b	52.7% _a	51.1% _a	33.3% _b	59.1% _{a,b}	55.0% _a	36.8% _b	45.9% _a	20.9% _b
Poor quality rentals	19.8% ¹	18.8% _a	37.5% _b	12.7% _a	27.9% _a	21.4% _{a,b}	14.4% _b	39.0% _a	17.0% _b	44.4% _a	28.9% _a	15.8% _b	17.6% _a	32.8% _a	19.1% _a	26.3% _a	5.0% _b
Biggest challenges you face in finding or affording housing in Lewis County?																	
Dilapidated homes	13.7% ¹	14.4% _a	5.4% _a	12.7% _a	3.6% _a	19.2% _b	13.2% _b	13.0% _a	14.6% _a	12.7% _{a,b}	24.4% _a	12.2% _b	11.9% _a	15.3% _a	13.7% _a	15.6% _a	11.1% _a
Discrimination by landlords	5.7% ¹	5.0% _a	11.1% _a	6.2% _a	9.3% _a	6.7% _{a,b}	3.0% _b	10.4% _a	5.3% _a	15.5% _a	10.0% _a	3.7% _b	0.0% ²	20.9% _a	4.7% _b	7.5% _a	2.1% _b
Accessibility for those with disabilities	7.7% ¹	6.9% _a	8.5% _a	12.9% _a	5.2% _a	10.4% _a	6.3% _a	11.7% _a	7.4% _a	17.5% _a	15.3% _a	5.4% _b	11.9% _a	10.7% _a	7.5% _a	10.1% _a	1.4% _b
Landlord unwilling to rent to me	3.1% ¹	3.0% _a	4.5% _a	0.0% ²	5.8% _a	3.6% _{a,b}	1.3% _b	9.9% _a	2.2% _b	11.5% _a	6.9% _a	1.9% _b	11.9% _a	7.6% _a	2.6% _a	4.3% _a	0.0% ²
Cited no challenges	18.5% ¹	19.5% _a	18.2% _a	5.1% _a	9.5% _a	12.8% _a	28.7% _b	6.3% _a	20.2% _b	11.2% _{a,b}	7.4% _a	21.3% _b	7.4% _a	10.4% _a	18.6% _a	14.6% _a	22.2% _b
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	707	606	55	36	114	307	272	85	567	36	99	523	9	40	648	521	139

Table 31 is a "Summary" table in Section 3, with all individual-survey-item cross-tabulations associated with these items included in the following Tables 32-35.

Table 32.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County	Gender		Age Group					Education Level			Annual HH Income					Poverty			
		Participants	Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI
How important is: more support in homeowner improvement funding?	Very important	44.2% ¹	33.6% ^a	55.5% ^b	40.4% ^a	48.9% ^a	51.3% ^a	47.1% ^a	39.2% ^a	46.0% ^{a,b}	48.3% ^a	35.7% ^b	51.1% ^a	50.4% ^a	47.2% ^a	49.1% ^a	36.3% ^a	49.6% ^a	53.2% ^a	42.1% ^a
	Somewhat important	30.4% ¹	33.4% ^a	28.3% ^b	31.9% ^a	30.1% ^a	28.1% ^a	30.1% ^a	33.2% ^a	32.5% ^{a,b}	25.1% ^a	39.9% ^b	27.8% ^b	28.5% ^b	34.8% ^a	27.4% ^a	32.7% ^a	28.5% ^a	26.2% ^a	32.6% ^a
	A little important	12.6% ¹	16.5% ^a	9.3% ^b	13.3% ^a	11.0% ^a	11.8% ^a	10.7% ^a	14.6% ^a	9.8% ^a	13.7% ^a	16.2% ^a	13.8% ^{a,b}	12.3% ^{a,b}	5.1% ^a	12.9% ^{a,b}	16.8% ^b	14.1% ^a	11.7% ^a	12.2% ^a
	A little important	6.9% ¹	12.0% ^a	1.4% ^b	7.0% ^a	6.4% ^a	4.2% ^a	8.1% ^a	6.9% ^a	5.1% ^a	8.3% ^a	6.0% ^a	0.0% ²	4.4% ^a	6.9% ^a	5.7% ^a	10.0% ^a	0.0% ²	4.8% ^a	7.8% ^a
	Not at all important	6.9% ¹	7.4% ¹	7.4% ^a	6.5% ^a	2.7% ^a	6.9% ^a	7.7% ^a	8.3% ^a	6.1% ^a	0.0% ²	5.5% ^a	7.7% ^a	10.7% ^a	3.9% ^a	0.9% ^b				
	Not sure	5.9% ¹	4.5% ¹	5.6% ^a	7.4% ^a	3.6% ^a	4.6% ^a	3.9% ^a	6.0% ^a	6.6% ^a	4.6% ^a	3.2% ^a	7.3% ^a	4.4% ^a	6.0% ^a	5.0% ^a	4.2% ^a	7.8% ^a	4.1% ^a	5.2% ^a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Size	687	231	407	139	116	127	165	106	196	255	204	53	127	133	125	189	49	131	436

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County	Employed	Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs				
			Participants	FT and/or PT	Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C or I	Liberal
How important is: more support in homeowner improvement funding?	Very important	44.2% ¹	44.0% ¹	59.8% ^a	42.0% ^b	41.5% ^a	44.4% ^a	45.3% ^a	51.1% ^a	42.2% ^b	44.7% ^a	46.0% ^a	44.6% ^a	40.2% ^a	50.6% ^b	47.9% ^{a,b}	
	Somewhat important	30.4% ¹	31.3% ¹	20.8% ^a	32.5% ^b	32.0% ^a	31.2% ^a	30.1% ^a	24.5% ^a	32.8% ^b	37.7% ^a	31.0% ^a	29.6% ^a	28.0% ^a	30.0% ^a	41.8% ^a	
	A little important	12.6% ¹	12.2% ¹	9.6% ^a	13.2% ^a	15.6% ^a	11.9% ^a	12.7% ^a	13.6% ^a	12.5% ^a	11.3% ^a	13.5% ^a	15.2% ^a	11.2% ^a	5.2% ^a		
	A little important	6.9% ¹	7.4% ¹	7.4% ^a	6.5% ^a	2.7% ^a	6.9% ^a	7.7% ^a	8.3% ^a	6.1% ^a	0.0% ²	5.5% ^a	7.7% ^a	10.7% ^a	3.9% ^a	0.9% ^b	
	Not at all important	6.9% ¹	7.4% ¹	7.4% ^a	6.5% ^a	2.7% ^a	6.9% ^a	7.7% ^a	8.3% ^a	6.1% ^a	0.0% ²	5.5% ^a	7.7% ^a	10.7% ^a	3.9% ^a	0.9% ^b	
	Not sure	5.9% ¹	5.1% ¹	2.4% ^a	5.7% ^a	8.2% ^a	5.6% ^a	4.2% ^a	2.5% ^a	6.4% ^a	12.5% ^a	6.3% ^a	4.5% ^a	5.9% ^a	4.3% ^a		
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	Sample Size	687	376	104	547	82	270	294	206	439	26	126	460	289	281	75	

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

	All Lewis County	County Region				Legislative District									
		Participants	Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10
How important is: more support in homeowner improvement funding?	Very important	44.2% ¹	52.4% ^a	39.9% ^a	47.2% ^a	43.0% ^a	48.4% ^a	54.3% ^a	41.8% ^a	39.9% ^a	26.0% ^a	50.6% ^a	40.2% ^a	52.7% ^a	51.1% ^a
	Somewhat important	30.4% ¹	26.1% ^a	32.0% ^a	31.5% ^a	42.1% ^a	28.6% ^a	32.4% ^a	26.5% ^a	28.7% ^a	38.9% ^a	37.1% ^a	29.7% ^a	27.9% ^a	24.6% ^a
	A little important	12.6% ¹	15.7% ^a	14.6% ^a	9.2% ^a	7.1% ^a	9.0% ^a	12.1% ^a	8.0% ^a	12.6% ^a	25.0% ^a	5.2% ^a	22.3% ^a	13.9% ^a	15.8% ^a
	A little important	6.9% ¹	3.2% ^a	7.7% ^a	7.5% ^a	5.6% ^{a,b}	2.4% ^a	1.2% ^a	20.8% ^b	8.7% ^{a,b}	8.4% ^{a,b}	5.4% ^{a,b}	5.3% ^{a,b}	3.1% ^{a,b}	4.7% ^{a,b}
	Not at all important	6.9% ¹	3.2% ^a	7.7% ^a	7.5% ^a	5.6% ^{a,b}	2.4% ^a	1.2% ^a	20.8% ^b	8.7% ^{a,b}	8.4% ^{a,b}	5.4% ^{a,b}	5.3% ^{a,b}	3.1% ^{a,b}	4.7% ^{a,b}
	Not sure	5.9% ¹	2.6% ^a	5.8% ^a	4.7% ^a	2.2% ^a	11.5% ^a	0.0% ²	3.0% ^a	10.0% ^a	1.7% ^a	1.7% ^a	2.4% ^a	2.3% ^a	3.8% ^a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Size	687	104	300	224	44	70	66	44	173	42	44	29	46	70

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

	All Lewis County	Current Housing Type			Home Ownership			Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?		
		Participants	Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
How important is: more support in homeowner improvement funding?	Very important	44.2% ¹	41.4% ^a	57.1% ^{a,b}	65.9% ^b	45.1% ^{a,b}	50.1% ^a	38.5% ^b	52.3% ^a	43.2% ^a	69.9% ^a	64.5% ^a	38.8% ^b	65.6% ^{a,b}	61.5% ^a	42.8% ^b	51.2% ^a	26.8% ^b
	Somewhat important	30.4% ¹	31.5% ^a	19.0% ^a	29.7% ^a	25.7% ^a	26.9% ^a	34.5% ^a	33.5% ^a	30.4% ^a	10.6% ^a	28.3% ^{a,b}	32.4% ^b	30.4% ^a	17.9% ^a	31.3% ^a	31.5% ^a	25.6% ^a
	A little important	12.6% ¹	13.3% ^a	12.7% ^a	4.4% ^a	15.9% ^a	11.9% ^a	12.3% ^a	7.6% ^a	12.9% ^a	11.6% ^a	5.5% ^a	13.5% ^a	3.9% ^a	13.4% ^a	12.3% ^a	10.7% ^a	15.3% ^a
	A little important	6.9% ¹	7.9% ^a	1.7% ^a	0.0% ²	2.5% ^a	8.5% ^a	7.6% ^a	0.0% ²	8.6% ^a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	9.3% ^a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	7.6% ^a	2.9% ^a	20.3% ^b
	Not at all important	6.9% ¹	7.9% ^a	1.7% ^a	0.0% ²	2.5% ^a	8.5% ^a	7.6% ^a	0.0% ²	8.6% ^a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	9.3% ^a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	7.6% ^a	2.9% ^a	20.3% ^b
	Not sure	5.9% ¹	5.8% ^a	9.5% ^a	0.0% ²	10.7% ^a	2.6% ^a	7.1% ^a	6.6% ^a	4.9% ^a	7.9% ^a	1.8% ^a	6.1% ^a	0.0% ²	7.2% ^a	5.9% ^a	3.6% ^a	11.9% ^a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Size	687	592	52	33	106	303	264	82	557	34	96	513	8	39	632	511	133

Table 33.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County	Gender		Age Group					Education Level				Annual HH Income					Poverty		
	Participants	Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI	
Very important	40.0% ¹	28.8% _a	52.5% _b	43.5% _a	38.9% _a	34.9% _a	43.5% _a	39.1% _a	45.5% _a	38.7% _a	36.8% _b	50.4% _{a,b}	58.9% _a	39.2% _b	35.1% _{b,c}	30.6% _{b,d}	48.9% _{a,b}	59.0% _a	34.1% _b	
Somewhat important	30.4% ¹	32.7% _a	27.2% _b	30.2% _a	30.2% _a	31.8% _a	27.3% _a	33.9% _a	29.3% _a	28.4% _a	36.0% _b	23.0% _{a,b}	19.2% _b	36.4% _b	37.0% _{b,c}	32.9% _{b,d}	21.2% _{a,b}	21.1% _a	35.0% _b	
A little important	14.5% ¹	20.2% _a	9.9% _b	14.0% _a	18.2% _a	13.9% _a	17.5% _a	10.6% _a	13.6% _a	15.0% _a	17.1% _a	10.1% _b	10.0% _b	12.7% _a	15.1% _a	19.1% _a	12.3% _{a,b}	7.9% _a	16.4% _b	
Not at all important	9.3% ¹	15.0% _a	3.7% _b	7.6% _a	9.8% _a	13.3% _a	8.5% _a	6.1% _a	7.0% _a	12.0% _a	7.2% _a	5.7% _a	6.8% _a	6.0% _a	8.3% _a	14.9% _a	6.1% _a	7.1% _a	10.3% _a	
Not sure	5.8% ¹	3.3% _a	6.6% _b	4.7% _a	2.8% _a	6.2% _a	3.2% _a	10.3% _a	4.6% _a	5.8% _a	2.9% _a	10.8% _a	5.1% _a	5.7% _a	4.5% _a	2.5% _a	11.5% _a	4.9% _a	4.2% _a	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Sample Size	691	231	411	140	116	127	167	107	198	254	206	54	128	133	124	191	50	131	437	

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County	Employed	Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs		
	Participants	FT and/or PT	Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C or I	Liberal
Very important	40.0% ¹	39.9% ¹	45.6% _a	39.9% _a	44.5% _a	38.9% _a	41.1% _a	44.6% _a	38.4% _a	64.2% _a	47.5% _{a,b}	37.5% _b	31.5% _a	46.3% _b	61.9% _b
Somewhat important	30.4% ¹	29.3% ¹	26.2% _a	30.8% _a	23.6% _a	32.6% _a	29.6% _a	26.2% _a	31.8% _a	15.5% _a	23.0% _a	32.1% _a	29.2% _a	31.1% _a	32.7% _a
A little important	14.5% ¹	16.2% ¹	9.6% _a	16.0% _a	12.6% _a	15.5% _a	14.9% _a	15.8% _a	14.6% _a	5.7% _a	17.2% _a	15.4% _a	19.5% _a	11.5% _b	5.4% _b
Not at all important	9.3% ¹	10.7% ¹	14.1% _a	8.4% _a	6.5% _a	8.4% _a	11.2% _a	11.5% _a	8.6% _a	0.0% ²	8.7% _a	10.0% _a	14.2% _a	6.3% _b	0.0% ²
Not sure	5.8% ¹	3.8% ¹	4.5% _a	5.0% _a	12.8% _a	4.6% _b	3.2% _b	1.9% _a	6.6% _b	14.6% _a	3.6% _a	5.0% _a	4.8% _a	4.8% _a	0.0% ²
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	691	379	104	551	82	271	296	208	441	26	127	462	290	283	76

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

	All Lewis County	County Region				Legislative District									
	Participants	Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10	
Very important	40.0% ¹	40.5% _a	37.3% _a	44.8% _a	41.3% _{a,b}	44.9% _{a,b}	59.0% _a	33.2% _{a,b}	40.8% _{a,b}	27.2% _a	34.0% _{a,b}	34.0% _{a,b}	50.2% _{a,b}	38.0% _{a,b}	
Somewhat important	30.4% ¹	27.0% _a	30.8% _a	29.4% _a	32.9% _a	36.2% _a	21.6% _a	26.7% _a	25.1% _a	32.6% _a	45.4% _a	31.0% _b	23.4% _b	28.1% _b	
A little important	14.5% ¹	22.4% _a	16.5% _{a,b}	10.7% _b	9.6% _a	7.3% _a	15.1% _a	11.0% _a	17.7% _a	23.6% _a	10.2% _b	21.5% _a	17.8% _a	20.0% _a	
Not at all important	9.3% ¹	7.1% _a	11.3% _a	8.9% _a	9.2% _{a,b}	3.2% _a	2.0% _a	22.2% _b	8.7% _{a,b}	15.6% _{a,b}	8.7% _{a,b}	13.6% _{a,b}	7.7% _{a,b}	9.4% _{a,b}	
Not sure	5.8% ¹	3.0% _a	4.2% _a	6.2% _a	7.0% _a	8.4% _a	2.3% _a	6.9% _a	7.8% _a	1.2% _a	1.7% _a	0.0% ²	1.0% _a	4.4% _a	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Sample Size	691	105	301	226	44	71	67	44	175	42	44	28	46	71	

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

	All Lewis County	Current Housing Type			Home Ownership			Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?	
	Participants	Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
Very important	40.0% ¹	35.0% _a	69.1% _b	67.9% _b	58.8% _a	36.3% _b	34.1% _b	61.7% _a	35.4% _b	63.0% _a	52.1% _a	34.9% _b	71.7% _{a,b}	74.1% _a	37.0% _b	48.3% _a	17.7% _b
Somewhat important	30.4% ¹	32.3% _a	16.2% _b	22.9% _{a,b}	20.9% _a	32.0% _a	32.1% _a	22.4% _a	32.6% _a	14.8% _a	30.9% _a	32.1% _a	3.9% _{a,b}	14.0% _b	32.1% _b	30.4% _a	28.8% _a
A little important	14.5% ¹	16.4% _a	0.0% ²	9.2% _b	6.0% _a	17.6% _b	16.3% _b	7.4% _a	15.8% _b	4.5% _b	11.9% _b	16.1% _b	19.9% _a	3.9% _a	15.4% _a	14.1% _a	16.4% _a
Not at all important	9.3% ¹	10.4% _a	5.9% _a	0.0% ²	5.9% _a	10.9% _a	9.7% _a	3.8% _a	10.9% _b	12.6% _a	1.7% _b	11.2% _a	4.5% _a	3.2% _a	9.5% _a	3.9% _a	27.2% _b
Not sure	5.8% ¹	5.9% _a	8.8% _a	0.0% ²	8.4% _a	3.2% _a	7.8% _a	4.8% _a	5.2% _a	5.0% _a	3.4% _a	5.7% _a	0.0% ²	4.8% _a	6.0% _a	3.3% _a	9.9% _b
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	691	594	63	34	108	305	264	83	559	35	96	516	8	40	635	515	132

Table 34.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

		All Lewis County	Gender		Age Group				Education Level				Annual HH Income					Poverty		
		Participants	Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI
How important is: more support in redevelopment of vacant properties into housing units?	Very important	37.8% ¹	27.1% _a	50.6% _b	41.0% _a	44.3% _a	39.2% _a	38.7% _a	28.8% _a	43.4% _a	35.8% _a	36.3% _a	47.6% _a	44.3% _a	36.7% _a	44.5% _a	31.1% _a	45.9% _a	46.4% _a	35.6% _a
	Somewhat important	29.9% ¹	31.9% _a	28.1% _a	25.8% _a	29.4% _a	28.9% _a	30.4% _a	41.4% _a	23.7% _a	30.8% _{a,b}	39.5% _b	27.0% _b	25.5% _b	33.9% _b	27.4% _b	33.4% _b	27.7% _b	24.3% _b	32.1% _b
	A little important	17.9% ¹	22.4% _a	13.1% _b	18.4% _a	14.4% _a	13.2% _a	20.8% _a	18.7% _a	22.9% _a	14.8% _a	15.9% _a	15.9% _a	17.4% _a	16.1% _a	19.6% _a	17.9% _a	16.3% _a	16.4% _a	18.4% _a
	Not at all important	8.1% ¹	13.3% _a	2.3% _b	7.5% _a	9.2% _a	12.0% _a	6.5% _a	4.3% _a	5.3% _a	11.5% _b	4.0% _b	0.0% ²	6.9% _{a,b}	10.0% _{a,b}	2.6% _a	12.5% _b	0.0% ²	7.1% _a	8.9% _a
	Not sure	6.4% ¹	5.2% _a	5.9% _a	7.3% _a	2.7% _a	6.7% _a	3.6% _a	6.8% _a	4.7% _a	6.1% _a	4.4% _a	9.5% _a	6.0% _a	3.3% _a	6.0% _a	5.1% _a	10.1% _a	5.7% _a	4.9% _a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size		689	232	408	139	117	127	165	107	197	255	205	53	127	133	125	191	49	131	438

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

		All Lewis County	Employed	Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs		
		Participants	FT and/or PT	Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C or I	Liberal
How important is: more support in redevelopment of vacant properties into housing units?	Very important	37.8% ¹	38.8% ¹	41.9% _a	38.4% _a	37.5% _a	34.0% _a	43.3% _a	46.1% _a	35.3% _b	57.4% _a	44.5% _a	36.3% _b	30.3% _a	46.6% _b	53.1% _b
	Somewhat important	29.9% ¹	28.2% ¹	28.4% _a	30.4% _a	26.9% _a	32.7% _a	28.4% _a	26.1% _a	31.7% _a	16.0% _a	23.1% _a	31.8% _a	30.7% _a	27.8% _a	37.2% _b
	A little important	17.9% ¹	18.2% ¹	13.0% _a	18.6% _a	19.6% _a	20.2% _a	15.2% _a	15.1% _a	18.9% _a	14.1% _a	18.0% _a	18.6% _a	20.4% _a	16.9% _{a,b}	7.4% _b
	Not at all important	8.1% ¹	9.5% ¹	12.6% _a	6.9% _b	4.3% _a	7.1% _a	9.8% _a	11.0% _a	6.7% _a	0.0% ²	8.1% _a	8.6% _a	11.9% _a	5.4% _b	0.0% ²
	Not sure	6.4% ¹	5.3% ¹	4.0% _a	5.7% _a	11.8% _a	5.9% _{a,b}	3.3% _b	1.6% _a	7.5% _b	12.5% _b	6.3% _a	4.7% _a	6.7% _a	4.4% _a	2.2% _a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size		689	377	104	549	82	270	296	207	440	26	126	462	290	281	76

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

		All Lewis County	County Region				Legislative District									
		Participants	Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10	
How important is: more support in redevelopment of vacant properties into housing units?	Very important	37.8% ¹	42.1% _a	37.2% _a	40.0% _a	41.8% _a	40.9% _a	47.1% _a	30.9% _a	36.9% _a	30.3% _a	41.8% _a	30.2% _a	50.9% _a	40.1% _a	
	Somewhat important	29.9% ¹	26.1% _a	29.8% _a	32.0% _a	30.6% _a	30.0% _a	29.9% _a	37.3% _a	25.7% _a	39.7% _a	29.6% _a	33.2% _a	28.0% _a	24.0% _b	
	A little important	17.9% ¹	22.9% _a	16.4% _a	16.2% _a	18.2% _a	13.1% _a	16.7% _a	18.0% _a	16.2% _a	15.9% _a	16.6% _a	23.7% _a	15.7% _a	23.6% _a	
	Not at all important	8.1% ¹	6.4% _a	10.1% _a	6.7% _a	4.5% _a	4.4% _a	4.9% _a	12.6% _a	9.4% _a	10.8% _a	8.1% _a	12.9% _a	5.5% _a	8.4% _a	
	Not sure	6.4% ¹	2.6% _a	6.6% _a	5.1% _a	5.0% _a	11.7% _a	1.4% _a	1.1% _a	11.9% _a	3.3% _a	3.9% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	3.8% _a	
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Sample Size		689	104	302	224	44	70	66	44	175	42	44	29	46	70	

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

		All Lewis County	Current Housing Type			Home Ownership		Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?		
		Participants	Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
How important is: more support in redevelopment of vacant properties into housing units?	Very important	37.8% ¹	35.1% _a	57.7% _b	47.2% _{a,b}	50.5% _a	35.6% _b	34.0% _b	59.1% _a	35.1% _b	53.9% _a	51.3% _a	35.1% _b	71.7% _{a,b}	65.5% _a	35.2% _b	45.0% _a	18.6% _b
	Somewhat important	29.9% ¹	30.4% _a	20.9% _a	39.5% _a	19.6% _a	32.9% _b	30.7% _{a,b}	24.5% _a	31.9% _a	23.7% _a	29.2% _a	30.1% _a	15.9% _{a,b}	11.4% _b	31.5% _b	33.1% _a	20.0% _b
	A little important	17.9% ¹	18.7% _a	12.8% _a	13.3% _a	17.7% _a	15.8% _a	20.9% _a	11.1% _a	17.4% _a	10.3% _a	12.4% _a	18.4% _a	12.4% _a	18.3% _a	17.6% _a	15.4% _a	22.0% _a
	Not at all important	8.1% ¹	9.3% _a	1.4% _a	0.0% ²	3.1% _a	11.9% _b	6.7% _{a,b}	10.0% _a	6.7% _a	7.0% _a	5.4% _a	9.4% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	9.0% _a	2.6% _a	26.7% _b
	Not sure	6.4% ¹	6.5% _a	7.2% _a	0.0% ²	9.2% _a	3.9% _a	7.7% _a	5.2% _a	5.6% _a	5.0% _a	1.8% _a	7.0% _a	0.0% ²	4.8% _a	6.7% _a	3.8% _a	12.7% _b
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size		689	594	62	33	107	303	265	83	558	35	96	514	8	40	633	513	133

Table 35.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County	Gender		Age Group					Education Level			Annual HH Income					Poverty		
	Participants	Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI
How important is: more support in ADU development?																			
Very important	22.2% ¹	15.1% _a	29.3% _b	22.0% _a	24.0% _a	22.2% _a	24.3% _a	18.0% _a	26.5% _a	21.2% _a	17.6% _b	30.4% _b	23.5% _b	19.3% _b	19.6% _b	23.1% _a	26.3% _a	23.4% _a	20.5% _a
Somewhat important	29.4% ¹	29.2% _a	29.9% _a	24.3% _a	22.7% _a	33.4% _a	34.9% _a	36.5% _a	32.9% _a	26.3% _a	33.8% _a	30.1% _{a,b}	41.3% _a	32.4% _{a,b}	27.2% _{a,b}	24.6% _b	28.8% _{a,b}	43.6% _a	27.3% _b
A little important	20.9% ¹	24.1% _a	19.3% _a	27.4% _a	21.8% _{a,b}	10.5% _b	22.4% _{a,b}	20.0% _{a,b}	21.7% _a	19.8% _a	24.4% _a	18.0% _b	17.4% _a	22.9% _a	25.5% _a	21.0% _a	22.1% _a	14.4% _a	23.6% _a
Not at all important	14.8% ¹	21.9% _a	7.2% _b	9.6% _a	19.1% _{a,b}	22.1% _b	12.5% _{a,b}	13.5% _{a,b}	8.5% _a	18.7% _b	15.2% _b	8.7% _{a,b}	8.6% _a	10.1% _a	12.1% _{a,b}	22.9% _b	9.3% _a	8.8% _a	15.8% _a
Not sure	12.7% ¹	9.8% _a	14.3% _a	16.7% _a	12.4% _{a,b}	11.8% _{a,b}	5.9% _b	12.0% _{a,b}	10.3% _a	14.0% _b	9.0% _a	12.7% _a	9.2% _a	15.3% _a	15.5% _a	8.4% _a	13.5% _a	9.7% _a	12.8% _a
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	686	231	407	140	117	126	165	104	196	254	204	53	127	131	125	190	49	130	435

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County	Employed	Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs		
	Participants	FT and/or PT	Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C or I	Liberal
How important is: more support in ADU development?															
Very important	22.2% ¹	23.3% ¹	25.1% _a	21.4% _a	23.0% _a	21.7% _a	21.8% _a	24.0% _a	20.8% _a	29.5% _a	26.6% _a	19.6% _b	16.7% _a	26.7% _b	33.6% _b
Somewhat important	29.4% ¹	25.5% ¹	32.4% _a	29.8% _a	36.4% _a	28.3% _a	29.7% _a	23.3% _a	32.4% _b	22.8% _a	30.0% _a	29.2% _a	27.8% _a	33.4% _a	30.2% _a
A little important	20.9% ¹	22.1% ¹	16.9% _a	22.3% _a	16.6% _a	23.8% _a	20.9% _a	24.6% _a	20.4% _a	14.1% _a	19.3% _a	24.0% _a	22.1% _a	18.8% _a	21.2% _a
Not at all important	14.8% ¹	16.3% ¹	17.8% _a	13.7% _a	11.6% _a	13.7% _a	15.9% _a	15.6% _a	14.1% _a	0.0% ²	10.3% _a	16.7% _a	20.7% _a	9.7% _b	5.7% _b
Not sure	12.7% ¹	12.8% ¹	7.8% _a	12.7% _a	12.4% _a	12.5% _a	11.6% _a	12.4% _a	12.3% _a	33.6% _b	13.8% _b	10.5% _b	12.7% _a	11.4% _a	9.2% _b
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	686	377	103	547	82	267	295	207	437	26	126	459	288	282	74

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

	All Lewis County	County Region			Legislative District									
	Participants	Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10
How important is: more support in ADU development?														
Very important	22.2% ¹	11.2% _a	23.8% _b	25.3% _b	21.7% _{a,b}	27.2% _{a,b}	31.8% _a	19.2% _{a,b}	23.4% _{a,b}	14.6% _{a,b}	19.7% _{a,b}	35.2% _b	24.2% _{a,b}	9.1% _b
Somewhat important	29.4% ¹	36.5% _a	29.4% _a	26.8% _a	31.3% _a	24.9% _a	18.2% _a	34.3% _a	26.3% _a	37.4% _a	30.1% _a	23.3% _b	33.8% _b	38.8% _b
A little important	20.9% ¹	31.4% _a	20.3% _{a,b}	18.3% _b	13.1% _{a,c,d,e}	20.4% _{a,c,d,e}	32.8% _{a,b}	5.6% _c	18.0% _{a,c,d,e}	20.1% _{a,c,d,e}	33.8% _{b,d}	28.9% _{a,c,d,e}	20.1% _{a,c,d,e}	28.0% _{b,e}
Not at all important	14.8% ¹	8.2% _a	16.4% _a	15.9% _a	13.9% _{a,b}	8.2% _a	10.3% _{a,b}	31.6% _b	14.6% _{a,b}	21.0% _{a,b}	12.3% _{a,b}	12.6% _{a,b}	14.3% _{a,b}	9.9% _{a,b}
Not sure	12.7% ¹	12.7% _a	10.1% _a	13.7% _a	20.1% _a	19.3% _a	6.9% _a	9.3% _a	17.8% _a	6.9% _a	4.1% _a	0.0% ²	7.6% _a	14.2% _a
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	686	103	301	223	44	69	66	44	174	42	44	29	46	69

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

	All Lewis County	Current Housing Type			Home Ownership			Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?	
	Participants	Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
How important is: more support in ADU development?																	
Very important	22.2% ¹	20.9% _a	32.6% _a	25.0% _a	28.8% _a	22.0% _a	18.9% _a	29.3% _a	20.8% _a	27.1% _{a,b}	31.9% _a	20.2% _b	52.7% _a	30.1% _a	21.2% _a	26.2% _a	12.4% _b
Somewhat important	29.4% ¹	27.7% _a	36.7% _{a,b}	46.3% _b	23.7% _{a,b}	26.0% _a	35.5% _b	35.5% _a	28.9% _a	32.2% _a	32.4% _a	29.5% _b	22.9% _a	37.4% _a	28.3% _b	33.3% _a	15.0% _b
A little important	20.9% ¹	23.0% _a	5.7% _b	10.9% _{a,b}	17.5% _a	24.8% _a	18.1% _a	15.2% _a	21.5% _a	21.7% _a	20.9% _a	20.4% _b	19.9% _{a,b}	6.5% _b	22.2% _b	21.9% _a	20.3% _a
Not at all important	14.8% ¹	16.5% _a	7.7% _{a,b}	2.1% _b	8.0% _a	17.5% _b	16.1% _{a,b}	3.5% _b	17.5% _b	4.9% _{a,b}	7.2% _b	17.7% _b	4.5% _{a,b}	3.2% _a	16.0% _b	9.0% _a	34.8% _b
Not sure	12.7% ¹	11.9% _a	17.4% _a	15.8% _a	22.0% _a	9.8% _b	11.5% _b	16.6% _a	11.2% _a	14.1% _a	7.6% _a	12.1% _a	0.0% ²	22.9% _a	12.2% _b	9.6% _a	17.6% _b
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	686	592	52	32	106	304	262	82	556	34	96	512	8	40	630	510	133

Table 36.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County	Gender		Age Group					Education Level			Annual HH Income					Poverty		
	Participants	Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI
ADUs	16.7% ¹	13.3% _a	21.7% _{ab}	11.6% _a	16.1% _{a,b}	25.7% _{ab}	18.5% _{a,b}	15.7% _{a,b}	11.7% _a	18.0% _{a,b}	23.5% _{ab}	15.7% _a	15.4% _a	14.8% _a	18.2% _a	20.2% _a	13.5% _a	14.7% _a	17.9% _a
Low income housing	43.1% ¹	35.0% _a	51.7% _{ab}	48.7% _a	38.1% _a	36.5% _a	43.1% _a	44.6% _a	49.4% _a	41.8% _a	37.8% _a	77.4% _a	56.3% _{ab,c}	39.5% _{ab,c}	38.1% _c	32.6% _{c,d}	81.3% _a	54.5% _{ab}	36.9% _c
Market rate housing	24.2% ¹	27.8% _a	22.0% _a	25.7% _a	23.8% _a	31.4% _a	21.6% _a	15.2% _a	20.3% _a	21.5% _a	39.4% _{ab}	7.8% _a	13.9% _{a,b}	27.4% _{ab,c}	33.2% _c	33.1% _{c,d}	8.1% _a	16.0% _a	31.1% _b
Apartment style housing facilities	25.8% ¹	21.1% _a	31.7% _{ab}	25.6% _a	32.2% _a	27.9% _a	21.5% _a	23.3% _a	26.5% _a	25.7% _a	25.4% _a	24.3% _a	29.4% _a	25.1% _a	29.0% _a	24.6% _a	22.9% _a	29.5% _a	26.4% _a
Single-family homes	46.9% ¹	51.3% _a	45.8% _a	51.1% _a	55.9% _a	55.5% _a	42.8% _{a,b}	29.0% _{ab}	45.4% _a	48.1% _a	50.8% _a	32.2% _a	40.4% _{a,b}	52.8% _{a,b}	53.0% _{a,b}	55.2% _{ab}	33.4% _a	38.8% _a	54.7% _{ab}
Duplex homes	15.8% ¹	14.7% _a	17.0% _a	25.8% _a	19.4% _{a,b,c}	12.8% _{a,b}	6.3% _{ab}	5.7% _{ab,c}	14.2% _a	19.0% _a	11.6% _a	15.0% _a	14.8% _a	17.7% _a	11.9% _a	17.2% _a	11.9% _a	15.5% _a	16.0% _a
Town homes	15.2% ¹	13.5% _a	17.0% _a	26.8% _a	15.9% _{a,b}	8.5% _{ab}	11.0% _{ab,c}	3.7% _{ab,d}	10.9% _a	18.1% _a	16.4% _a	15.5% _a	10.6% _a	20.7% _a	10.2% _a	18.7% _a	15.4% _a	11.0% _a	17.1% _a
Halfway house	8.1% ¹	6.3% _a	9.6% _a	10.0% _a	10.4% _a	7.6% _a	6.0% _a	5.7% _a	9.7% _a	6.2% _a	10.2% _a	4.8% _a	10.6% _a	10.9% _a	6.5% _a	7.1% _a	3.5% _a	12.8% _a	7.6% _a
Homeless shelter	24.8% ¹	16.8% _a	33.7% _{ab}	23.7% _a	24.1% _a	27.7% _a	21.7% _a	28.4% _a	25.7% _a	26.5% _a	21.6% _a	27.9% _a	33.2% _a	21.2% _a	24.5% _a	21.6% _a	29.8% _{a,b}	32.6% _a	22.4% _{ab}
Permanent supported Housing with services on site	16.8% ¹	12.3% _a	22.2% _{ab}	11.2% _a	14.4% _a	21.9% _a	20.7% _a	16.9% _a	12.8% _a	19.6% _a	17.8% _a	13.1% _{ab}	19.2% _a	23.9% _a	14.8% _a	12.0% _a	12.8% _a	19.2% _a	16.7% _a
Senior Apartments	39.0% ¹	36.0% _a	43.2% _a	26.5% _a	43.3% _{ab}	42.3% _{ab}	48.6% _{ab}	46.7% _{ab}	31.6% _a	47.0% _a	36.5% _{a,b}	32.7% _a	37.8% _a	37.2% _a	41.1% _a	42.6% _a	34.0% _a	37.0% _a	40.9% _a
Senior Communities such as Brookside	36.9% ¹	35.6% _a	39.5% _a	20.7% _a	43.7% _{ab}	39.8% _{ab}	48.9% _{ab}	45.6% _{ab}	28.5% _a	40.8% _a	44.0% _{ab}	16.5% _a	29.0% _{a,c}	34.8% _{a,b}	48.8% _{ab}	41.1% _{ab,c}	15.2% _a	27.3% _a	41.9% _{ab}
Senior Assisted Living	45.3% ¹	42.8% _a	49.5% _a	25.5% _a	53.5% _{ab}	54.2% _{ab}	51.5% _{ab}	60.7% _{ab}	33.8% _a	53.0% _{ab}	49.3% _{ab}	18.2% _a	39.9% _{ab}	44.8% _{ab}	56.1% _{ab}	50.6% _{ab}	18.4% _a	39.0% _{ab}	51.0% _c
Not sure	5.1% ¹	4.6% _a	4.8% _a	7.2% _a	2.8% _a	2.5% _a	3.0% _a	7.1% _a	6.2% _a	2.9% _a	4.5% _a	6.4% _a	6.3% _a	4.7% _a	2.7% _a	3.6% _a	3.6% _a	6.6% _a	3.3% _a
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	685	234	418	143	118	130	169	111	205	258	209	56	130	134	125	194	51	133	442

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County	Employed	Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs		
	Participants	FT and/or PT	Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not Identify as C or L	Liberal
ADUs	16.7% ¹	14.3% ¹	22.7% _{ab}	15.5% _a	11.4% _a	17.8% _a	16.8% _a	17.6% _a	16.5% _a	10.5% _a	22.8% _a	15.6% _a	14.8% _a	18.5% _a	19.9% _a
Low income housing	43.1% ¹	41.9% ¹	35.9% _a	44.8% _a	59.3% _a	37.8% _{ab}	45.2% _{a,b}	42.4% _a	44.0% _a	63.1% _a	43.7% _a	42.9% _a	33.2% _a	52.0% _{ab}	53.1% _{ab}
Market rate housing	24.2% ¹	27.0% ¹	15.0% _a	26.7% _{ab}	17.3% _a	23.5% _a	27.8% _a	26.9% _a	24.1% _a	19.5% _a	31.7% _a	21.8% _a	18.2% _a	30.0% _{ab}	35.1% _{ab}
Apartment style housing facilities	25.8% ¹	27.9% ¹	23.6% _a	26.3% _a	26.3% _{a,b}	21.4% _a	30.4% _{ab}	27.3% _a	25.7% _a	41.4% _a	22.5% _a	26.6% _a	23.7% _a	27.8% _a	29.0% _a
Single-family homes	46.9% ¹	55.0% ¹	42.1% _a	49.2% _a	44.3% _a	44.7% _a	51.5% _a	50.2% _a	46.9% _a	43.8% _a	43.8% _a	48.5% _a	46.5% _a	47.6% _a	52.9% _a
Duplex homes	15.8% ¹	19.1% ¹	15.9% _a	15.8% _a	12.5% _{a,b}	10.4% _a	21.6% _a	23.6% _a	12.3% _{ab}	13.3% _{a,b}	21.5% _a	13.1% _{ab}	13.1% _a	20.2% _a	10.7% _a
Town homes	15.2% ¹	19.0% ¹	13.3% _a	15.5% _a	21.0% _a	11.5% _a	17.1% _a	17.7% _a	14.3% _a	12.1% _a	20.3% _a	13.1% _a	12.5% _a	18.0% _a	16.6% _a
Halfway house	8.1% ¹	8.0% ¹	9.5% _a	7.9% _a	6.3% _a	6.6% _a	10.4% _a	12.3% _a	6.5% _{ab}	7.4% _a	9.6% _a	7.2% _a	5.7% _a	9.4% _a	11.3% _a
Homeless shelter	24.8% ¹	24.0% ¹	25.1% _a	25.2% _a	30.6% _a	25.1% _a	24.0% _a	24.3% _a	25.6% _a	29.9% _a	23.2% _a	24.7% _a	16.8% _a	30.4% _{ab}	40.2% _{ab}
Permanent supported Housing with services on site	16.8% ¹	15.0% ¹	15.6% _a	17.0% _a	19.9% _a	14.6% _a	18.2% _a	14.6% _a	18.0% _a	4.3% _a	17.8% _a	16.8% _a	13.4% _a	18.9% _{a,b}	26.1% _{ab}
Senior Apartments	39.0% ¹	34.5% ¹	42.1% _a	38.6% _a	41.1% _a	43.1% _a	35.7% _a	37.9% _a	40.6% _a	19.4% _a	36.3% _a	42.6% _a	39.4% _a	38.3% _a	46.1% _a
Senior Communities such as Brookside	36.9% ¹	32.1% ¹	33.2% _a	37.3% _a	29.9% _a	42.5% _a	33.6% _a	33.1% _a	39.1% _a	15.5% _a	33.8% _{a,b}	39.4% _{ab}	36.4% _a	34.8% _a	50.7% _a
Senior Assisted Living	45.3% ¹	41.2% ¹	46.9% _a	45.0% _a	40.1% _a	48.6% _a	44.5% _a	42.9% _a	47.3% _a	23.2% _a	39.9% _{a,b}	50.1% _{ab}	46.3% _a	44.2% _a	50.9% _a
Not sure	5.1% ¹	3.9% ¹	6.0% _a	4.6% _a	4.9% _a	2.5% _a	5.9% _a	4.8% _a	4.4% _a	11.4% _a	4.9% _a	4.0% _a	3.9% _a	6.6% _a	0.5% _a
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	685	385	109	560	84	279	299	212	450	26	128	471	293	290	78

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

	All Lewis County	County Region										Legislative District					
	Participants	Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10			
	ADUs	16.7% ¹	12.5% _a	18.8% _{a,b}	17.5% _a	17.6% _{a,b}	18.8% _{a,b}	8.5% _{a,b}	24.7% _{a,b}	16.0% _{a,b}	19.7% _{a,b}	12.9% _{a,b}	32.3% _a	23.5% _{a,b}	8.2% _b		
Low income housing	43.1% ¹	47.5% _a	39.8% _a	45.5% _a	32.5% _a	47.3% _a	58.3% _a	39.8% _a	41.5% _a	33.0% _a	55.4% _a	31.2% _a	40.5% _a	46.4% _a			
Market rate housing	24.2% ¹	23.8% _a	24.0% _a	23.6% _a	24.4% _a	29.2% _a	23.8% _a	15.9% _a	24.9% _a	23.4% _a	24.5% _a	26.6% _a	20.3% _a	23.7% _a			
Apartment style housing facilities	25.8% ¹	27.4% _a	24.0% _a	28.5% _a	27.0% _a	29.7% _a	27.7% _a	28.8% _a	28.9% _a	19.3% _a	24.8% _a	18.9% _a	21.7% _a	27.6% _a			
Single-family homes	46.9% ¹	50.8% _a	45.8% _a	45.8% _a	44.0% _a	48.8% _a	52.8% _a	36.6% _a	44.4% _a	41.7% _a	52.2% _a	52.3% _a	46.2% _a	50.8% _a			
Duplex homes	15.8% ¹	13.4% _a	13.5% _a	19.6% _a	11.1% _a	25.6% _a	21.7% _a	16.4% _a	12.6% _a	7.9% _a	14.1% _a	16.6% _a	12.9% _a	16.8% _a			
Town homes	15.2% ¹	11.4% _a	15.7% _a	16.2% _a	13.1% _a	21.8% _a	19.4% _a	8.5% _a	12.0% _a	9.4% _a	26.6% _a	13.9% _a	14.6% _a	13.8% _a			
Halfway house	8.1% ¹	8.5% _a	6.9% _a	8.0% _a	6.8% _a	8.6% _a	9.6% _a	6.3% _a	4.7% _a	6.9% _a	13.1% _a	5.6% _a	3.8% _a	11.3% _a			
Homeless shelter	24.8% ¹	26.3% _a	23.2% _a	25.9% _a	23.3% _a	28.6% _a	31.2% _a	19.1% _a	21.0% _a	25.3% _a	28.8% _a	22.1% _a	20.7% _a	28.3% _a			
Permanent supported Housing with services on site	16.8% ¹	18.6% _a	16.0% _a	17.6% _a	14.4% _a	21.0% _a	18.4% _a	15.0% _a	13.0% _a	16.4% _a	17.1% _a	24.7% _a	17.9% _a	18.3% _a			
Senior Apartments	39.0% ¹	48.7% _a	32.4% _a	43.2% _a	46.4% _{a,b}	38.6% _{a,b}	36.9% _{a,b}	52.9% _a	25.7% _b	35.1% _{a,b}	41.5% _{a,b}	39.6% _{a,b}	50.4% _{a,b}	44.1% _{a,b}			
Senior Communities such as Brookside	36.9% ¹	40.0% _a	33.3% _a	39.2% _a	34.7% _a	34.1% _a	34.0% _a	53.7% _a	34.2% _a	41.0% _a	26.1% _a	28.3% _a	42.8% _a	37.1% _a			
Senior Assisted Living	45.3% ¹	47.4% _a	42.0% _a	49.6% _a	30.7% _a	44.6% _a	41.1% _a	77.4% _b	37.1% _a	53.8% _{a,b}	31.4% _a	43.2% _a	62.0% _{a,b}	43.1% _a			
Not sure	5.1% ¹	2.9% _a	6.4% _a	3.2% _a	6.7% _a	3.2% _a	2.2% _a	1.8% _a	8.4% _a	9.6% _a	0.0% ²	3.4% _a	4.7% _a	2.8% _a			
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			
Sample Size	685	106	309	228	44	72	68	44	180	44	44	29	46	72			

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

	All Lewis County	Current Housing Type			Home Ownership			Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?	
	Participants	Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
	ADUs	16.7% ¹	16.7% _a	19.1% _a	10.4% _a	12.5% _a	19.0% _a	17.2% _a	21.2% _a	16.2% _a	32.3% _a	18.5% _{a,b}	15.4% _b	23.1% _a	21.7% _a	16.5% _a	19.5% _a
Low income housing	43.1% ¹	40.6% _a	48.1% _{a,b}	66.3% _b	55.9% _a	41.2% _b	37.7% _b	61.0% _a	38.8% _b	62.9% _a	56.1% _a	37.2% _b	54.6% _{a,b}	59.3% _a	41.4% _b	50.6% _a	24.6% _b
Market rate housing	24.2% ¹	24.5% _a	29.0% _a	14.0% _b	23.3% _a	27.4% _a	22.2% _a	26.5% _a	23.6% _a	23.0% _a	22.0% _a	24.5% _b	12.9% _b	13.7% _b	25.2% _a	25.5% _a	20.8% _a
Apartment style housing facilities	25.8% ¹	25.1% _a	36.0% _a	21.1% _a	26.1% _a	28.7% _a	22.2% _a	41.0% _a	23.9% _b	32.6% _a	31.2% _a	24.1% _a	11.5% _b	21.9% _a	26.3% _a	29.9% _a	15.9% _b
Single-family homes	46.9% ¹	49.3% _a	33.0% _a	36.3% _a	42.6% _a	52.0% _a	43.5% _a	48.1% _a	47.5% _a	45.4% _{a,b}	60.1% _a	45.7% _b	24.4% _a	46.2% _a	48.1% _a	47.1% _a	51.9% _a
Duplex homes	15.8% ¹	15.4% _a	21.6% _a	13.7% _a	27.6% _a	16.2% _b	9.4% _b	19.7% _a	15.7% _a	21.7% _a	19.4% _a	14.2% _b	19.0% _a	23.7% _a	14.8% _b	15.3% _a	18.1% _a
Town homes	15.2% ¹	15.9% _a	13.5% _a	4.3% _a	25.6% _a	15.3% _b	9.5% _b	15.1% _a	15.9% _a	14.2% _a	13.3% _a	15.3% _a	0.0% ²	23.9% _a	14.9% _a	16.0% _a	14.0% _a
Halfway house	8.1% ¹	8.3% _a	4.1% _a	6.7% _a	5.6% _a	10.9% _a	6.6% _a	9.0% _a	8.1% _a	10.4% _{a,b}	18.9% _a	6.1% _b	0.0% ²	19.0% _a	7.0% _b	9.7% _a	2.7% _b
Homeless shelter	24.8% ¹	24.2% _a	31.3% _a	22.9% _a	28.8% _a	27.1% _a	21.0% _a	25.7% _a	24.0% _a	31.8% _{a,b}	39.6% _a	21.8% _a	38.9% _a	35.3% _a	23.4% _a	31.4% _a	5.6% _b
Permanent supported Housing with services on site	16.8% ¹	17.7% _a	11.4% _a	11.1% _a	11.9% _a	17.3% _a	19.8% _a	19.2% _a	17.8% _a	17.9% _a	21.3% _a	16.2% _a	0.0% ²	18.5% _a	16.7% _a	20.2% _a	8.5% _b
Senior Apartments	39.0% ¹	40.0% _a	22.9% _b	44.6% _{a,b}	21.9% _a	47.5% _b	39.7% _b	32.0% _a	41.3% _a	27.2% _a	45.9% _a	39.6% _a	15.9% _{a,b}	17.9% _a	41.4% _b	42.4% _a	31.9% _b
Senior Communities such as Brookside	36.9% ¹	39.7% _a	15.0% _b	25.8% _{a,b}	16.6% _a	44.0% _b	41.2% _b	22.0% _a	40.7% _b	20.4% _a	40.4% _{a,b}	39.3% _b	4.5% _{a,b}	20.0% _a	39.3% _b	41.4% _a	28.8% _b
Senior Assisted Living	45.3% ¹	46.1% _a	28.6% _b	57.7% _a	21.7% _a	54.7% _b	49.2% _b	32.8% _a	49.4% _b	25.5% _a	52.6% _b	46.8% _b	12.9% _a	30.1% _a	47.4% _a	48.1% _a	39.6% _a
Not sure	5.1% ¹	4.7% _a	12.5% _b	0.0% ²	7.6% _a	3.1% _a	5.4% _a	5.2% _a	4.4% _a	4.8% _a	3.9% _a	5.1% _a	13.5% _a	1.6% _a	4.9% _a	2.7% _a	7.7% _a
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	685	585	54	36	111	296	264	84	547	35	97	506	8	40	627	507	134

Table 37.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

		All Lewis County	Gender		Age Group					Education Level			Annual HH Income					Poverty		
		Participants	Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI
How important do you feel that affordable housing is to the overall health and well-being of the community?	Very important	61.2% ¹	55.5% _a	67.2% _b	55.5% _a	54.3% _{a,b}	59.8% _{a,b}	70.3% _b	70.9% _{a,b}	58.7% _a	59.9% _a	70.8% _b	52.3% _a	75.0% _b	64.2% _{a,b}	61.2% _{a,b}	56.8% _a	50.3% _a	73.0% _b	61.0% _a
	Somewhat important	25.2% ¹	27.5% _a	23.6% _b	26.5% _a	25.5% _a	26.5% _a	22.7% _a	22.2% _a	25.2% _a	28.0% _a	19.6% _b	34.7% _b	17.6% _b	26.2% _{a,b}	27.6% _{a,b}	23.4% _a	34.1% _a	21.0% _a	24.9% _a
	A little important	9.3% ¹	11.5% _a	6.6% _b	14.8% _a	10.1% _{a,b}	7.8% _{a,b}	4.0% _b	7.0% _{a,b}	10.4% _a	10.0% _a	5.7% _b	9.8% _a	5.5% _a	5.4% _a	8.0% _a	14.3% _a	12.1% _a	3.5% _a	9.9% _a
	Not at all important	2.3% ¹	3.6% _a	0.6% _b	1.4% _a	5.4% _a	2.6% _a	1.8% _a	0.0% ²	3.2% _a	1.0% _a	1.6% _a	0.0% ²	1.1% _a	2.0% _a	2.6% _a	2.4% _a	0.0% ²	1.1% _a	2.2% _a
	Not sure	2.0% ¹	1.8% _a	2.1% _a	1.8% _a	4.6% _a	3.3% _a	1.2% _a	0.0% ²	2.4% _a	1.2% _a	2.3% _a	3.3% _a	0.8% _a	2.1% _a	0.6% _a	3.1% _a	3.5% _a	1.4% _a	2.0% _a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Size	661	229	402	134	114	128	165	108	195	252	204	50	127	132	123	190	47	130	434

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

		All Lewis County	Employed	Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs		
		Participants	FT and/or PT	Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C or I	Liberal
How important do you feel that affordable housing is to the overall health and well-being of the community?	Very important	61.2% ¹	57.5% ¹	62.4% _a	61.0% _a	59.5% _{a,b}	67.2% _a	56.6% _b	54.6% _a	64.2% _b	68.9% _a	60.1% _a	62.6% _b	55.5% _a	63.5% _a	87.1% _b
	Somewhat important	25.2% ¹	25.1% ¹	25.6% _a	25.4% _a	31.0% _a	22.3% _a	26.7% _a	24.9% _a	25.6% _a	17.5% _a	23.1% _a	26.9% _a	28.3% _a	25.0% _{a,b}	11.9% _b
	A little important	9.3% ¹	12.0% ¹	8.4% _a	9.5% _a	7.0% _{a,b}	6.4% _a	12.6% _a	15.7% _a	6.7% _b	8.3% _a	12.3% _a	7.0% _a	12.0% _a	7.5% _{a,b}	1.0% _b
	Not at all important	2.3% ¹	3.4% ¹	0.6% _b	2.2% _a	0.0% ²	2.3% _a	2.2% _a	2.4% _a	1.7% _a	0.0% ²	1.1% _a	2.4% _a	2.9% _a	1.3% _a	0.0% ²
	Not sure	2.0% ¹	2.0% ¹	3.1% _a	1.9% _a	2.5% _a	1.7% _a	1.9% _a	2.3% _a	1.8% _a	5.2% _a	3.4% _a	1.1% _a	1.3% _a	2.7% _a	0.0% ²
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Size	661	376	101	546	82	274	285	203	440	24	124	458	285	277	75

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

		All Lewis County	County Region				Legislative District									
		Participants	Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10	
How important do you feel that affordable housing is to the overall health and well-being of the community?	Very important	61.2% ¹	57.6% _a	62.1% _a	64.3% _a	71.1% _a	67.3% _a	72.4% _a	47.2% _a	62.8% _a	59.0% _a	70.6% _a	58.5% _a	64.4% _a	51.4% _a	
	Somewhat important	25.2% ¹	26.2% _a	25.3% _a	23.6% _a	19.7% _{a,b}	14.9% _a	19.3% _{a,b}	41.5% _b	25.1% _{a,b}	25.0% _{a,b}	24.9% _{a,b}	26.5% _{a,b}	24.6% _{a,b}	27.3% _{a,b}	
	A little important	9.3% ¹	12.4% _a	7.7% _a	9.4% _a	5.6% _a	12.0% _a	7.2% _a	11.3% _a	4.9% _a	16.0% _a	3.2% _a	9.4% _a	5.0% _a	17.0% _a	
	Not at all important	2.3% ¹	2.5% _a	2.7% _a	1.4% _a	0.0% ²	4.7% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	3.9% _a	0.0% ²	1.2% _a	5.5% _a	0.0% ²	3.5% _a	
	Not sure	2.0% ¹	1.4% _a	2.2% _a	1.3% _a	3.6% _a	1.1% _a	1.1% _a	0.0% ²	3.2% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	6.1% _a	0.8% _a	
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	Sample Size	661	104	295	225	44	71	68	42	169	43	44	28	44	71	

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

		All Lewis County	Current Housing Type			Home Ownership		Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?		
		Participants	Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
How important do you feel that affordable housing is to the overall health and well-being of the community?	Very important	61.2% ¹	60.0% _a	72.8% _a	64.6% _a	64.7% _{a,b}	55.5% _a	66.0% _b	60.0% _a	61.9% _a	73.8% _a	68.0% _a	58.2% _a	87.5% _a	68.3% _a	61.1% _a	70.8% _a	38.0% _b
	Somewhat important	25.2% ¹	25.6% _a	14.0% _a	30.9% _a	20.8% _a	28.2% _a	24.5% _a	32.5% _a	25.2% _a	16.5% _a	22.5% _a	27.2% _a	12.5% _a	22.4% _a	24.9% _a	21.4% _a	33.9% _b
	A little important	9.3% ¹	10.2% _a	4.5% _a	2.2% _a	6.7% _{a,b}	12.6% _a	5.9% _b	6.3% _a	8.8% _a	5.7% _a	6.4% _a	10.0% _a	0.0% ²	6.8% _a	9.6% _a	6.0% _a	16.9% _b
	Not at all important	2.3% ¹	2.5% _a	2.2% _a	0.0% ²	4.2% _a	1.3% _a	2.7% _a	0.0% ²	2.6% _a	4.0% _a	2.0% _a	2.4% _a	0.0% ²	2.6% _a	2.6% _a	0.5% _a	7.8% _b
	Not sure	2.0% ¹	1.6% _a	6.5% _a	2.3% _a	3.6% _a	2.4% _a	0.9% _a	1.3% _a	1.6% _a	0.0% ²	1.1% _a	2.1% _a	0.0% ²	2.4% _a	1.9% _a	1.3% _a	3.4% _a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Size	661	568	49	35	101	290	257	79	532	33	96	491	6	37	611	493	129

Table 38.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

All Lewis County	Participants	Gender		Age Group					Education Level			Annual HH Income					Poverty		
		Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's degree	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI
		62.0% ¹	56.2% _a	70.9% _{ab}	63.1% _a	54.3% _a	63.3% _a	63.3% _a	65.7% _a	56.5% _a	65.4% _a	65.1% _a	57.3% _{ab}	71.2% _{ab}	65.5% _{ab}	65.1% _a	56.0% _a	55.8% _a	69.9% _a
16.7% ¹	23.2% _a	8.6% _b	18.1% _a	15.7% _a	13.0% _a	21.8% _a	11.1% _a	20.0% _a	15.7% _a	12.9% _a	27.4% _a	13.3% _a	17.0% _a	11.3% _a	20.7% _a	28.8% _a	15.4% _a	16.5% _a	
21.3% ¹	20.6% _a	20.5% _a	18.8% _a	30.1% _a	23.7% _a	14.9% _a	23.2% _a	23.4% _a	18.9% _a	22.0% _a	15.4% _a	15.6% _a	17.4% _a	23.6% _a	23.4% _a	15.3% _a	14.7% _a	21.7% _a	
100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	666	230	406	136	116	128	164	110	194	255	208	50	127	132	124	194	47	131	439

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

All Lewis County	Participants	Employed FT and/or PT	Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs		
			Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C or L	Liberal
			62.0% ¹	61.9% ¹	60.9% _a	62.9% _a	62.6% _a	65.1% _a	59.6% _a	59.8% _a	64.1% _a	76.1% _a	66.0% _a	60.2% _a	53.1% _a
16.7% ¹	16.0% ¹	19.3% _a	15.9% _a	21.0% _a	16.3% _a	16.0% _a	16.2% _a	16.4% _a	0.0% ²	10.5% _a	19.3% _{ab}	23.5% _a	11.9% _{ab}	4.0% _b	
21.3% ¹	22.1% ¹	19.8% _a	21.2% _a	16.4% _a	18.5% _a	24.4% _a	24.1% _a	19.4% _a	23.9% _a	23.5% _a	20.6% _a	23.4% _a	20.5% _a	12.2% _a	
100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Sample Size	666	378	103	549	83	274	290	205	443	24	125	462	288	279	77

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

All Lewis County	Participants	County Region			Legislative District									
		Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10
		62.0% ¹	61.0% _a	60.4% _a	64.7% _a	70.7% _{ab}	65.3% _{ab}	78.9% _a	45.4% _b	60.1% _{ab}	57.2% _{ab}	69.0% _{ab}	70.2% _{ab}	61.8% _{ab}
16.7% ¹	10.8% _a	20.1% _a	15.1% _a	5.6% _a	24.6% _a	5.3% _a	20.5% _a	15.3% _a	21.9% _a	22.7% _a	24.3% _{ab}	11.6% _a	14.5% _a	
21.3% ¹	28.2% _a	19.5% _a	20.2% _a	23.7% _{ac,d}	10.1% _{ab}	15.8% _{ac,d}	34.1% _c	24.6% _{ac,d}	20.9% _{ac,d}	8.3% _{bd,de}	5.5% _{ac,d}	26.6% _{ac,d}	32.2% _{ce}	
100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Sample Size	666	103	300	225	44	70	68	43	174	43	44	28	43	71

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

All Lewis County	Participants	Current Housing Type			Home Ownership			Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?	
		Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
		62.0% ¹	61.1% _a	73.0% _{ab}	59.8% _a	70.2% _a	59.7% _a	59.8% _a	65.7% _a	61.5% _a	70.5% _{ab}	75.2% _a	58.7% _{ab}	63.7% _{ab}	69.0% _{ab}	61.6% _a	70.7% _a
16.7% ¹	17.5% _a	7.3% _a	15.4% _a	13.8% _a	15.9% _a	19.5% _a	14.9% _a	18.3% _a	20.8% _a	4.4% _b	20.0% _a	36.3% _a	18.2% _a	16.6% _a	10.8% _a	36.6% _b	
21.3% ¹	21.4% _a	19.7% _a	24.8% _a	16.0% _a	24.4% _a	20.7% _a	19.4% _a	20.2% _a	8.8% _a	20.4% _a	21.3% _a	0.0% ²	12.8% _a	21.8% _a	18.6% _a	24.5% _a	
100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Sample Size	666	574	50	34	102	292	259	79	539	34	97	494	6	37	616	497	132

Table 39 is a "Summary" table in Section 3, with all individual-survey-item cross-tabulations associated with these items included in the following Tables 40-42.

Table 40.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

		All Lewis County	Gender		Age Group					Education Level			Annual HH Income					Poverty		
		Participants	Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's degree	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI
Do you support/oppose additional housing options that are accessible and attainable for residents across a range of incomes? - in Central Lewis County	Strongly support	37.2% ¹	28.0% _a	45.0% _b	39.5% _a	34.9% _a	41.9% _a	38.0% _a	27.9% _a	42.6% _a	35.6% _a	32.1% _a	52.6% _a	46.1% _{a,b}	30.0% _b	35.7% _{a,b}	32.6% _{a,b}	53.8% _a	48.0% _a	31.7% _b
	Somewhat support	30.7% ¹	32.9% _a	29.0% _a	30.2% _a	30.5% _a	27.6% _a	29.3% _a	40.3% _a	27.0% _a	32.6% _a	33.9% _a	19.4% _a	27.7% _a	35.7% _a	35.4% _a	31.8% _a	19.1% _a	25.0% _{a,b}	35.2% _b
	Neither support nor oppose	26.0% ¹	30.2% _a	23.1% _b	24.6% _a	27.3% _a	24.9% _a	27.5% _a	27.9% _a	22.2% _a	28.0% _a	27.9% _a	23.2% _b	19.0% _b	29.3% _a	25.0% _a	28.3% _a	22.7% _a	20.1% _a	27.3% _a
	Somewhat oppose	3.3% ¹	5.1% _a	1.1% _b	2.9% _a	6.4% _a	1.2% _a	2.9% _a	4.0% _a	4.9% _a	2.1% _a	2.6% _a	1.7% _a	2.9% _a	2.4% _a	3.1% _a	4.8% _a	1.8% _a	2.7% _a	3.7% _a
	Strongly oppose	2.8% ¹	3.8% _a	1.8% _a	2.8% _a	0.8% _a	4.4% _a	2.3% _a	0.0% ²	3.3% _a	1.7% _a	3.4% _a	3.2% _a	4.4% _a	2.7% _a	0.8% _a	2.6% _a	2.6% _a	4.2% _a	2.2% _a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Size	636	220	388	136	112	124	155	99	186	244	197	52	121	124	118	186	48	124	417

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

		All Lewis County	Employed	Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs		
		Participants	FT and/or PT	Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C or L	Liberal
Do you support/oppose additional housing options that are accessible and attainable for residents across a range of incomes? - in Central Lewis County	Strongly support	37.2% ¹	38.8% ¹	38.1% _a	37.3% _a	52.6% _a	32.6% _b	37.1% _b	32.5% _a	39.5% _a	53.8% _a	44.9% _a	34.8% _a	29.6% _a	42.0% _b	51.2% _b
	Somewhat support	30.7% ¹	29.3% ¹	35.7% _a	29.9% _a	21.7% _a	36.5% _b	28.5% _{a,b}	30.3% _a	30.8% _a	6.3% _a	29.2% _b	31.4% _b	27.2% _a	34.3% _a	32.6% _a
	Neither support nor oppose	26.0% ¹	25.5% ¹	23.2% _a	26.3% _a	22.0% _a	26.4% _a	26.5% _a	27.3% _a	25.6% _a	28.3% _a	22.8% _b	27.5% _b	33.9% _a	20.0% _b	16.1% _b
	Somewhat oppose	3.3% ¹	3.3% ¹	1.5% _a	3.5% _a	2.3% _a	2.2% _a	4.5% _a	6.0% _a	2.0% _b	3.7% _a	2.4% _a	3.5% _a	5.2% _a	1.8% _b	0.0% ²
	Strongly oppose	2.8% ¹	3.2% ¹	1.6% _a	2.9% _a	1.5% _a	2.2% _a	3.4% _a	3.9% _a	2.2% _a	7.8% _a	0.7% _b	2.8% _{a,b}	4.1% _a	1.9% _a	0.0% ²
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Size	636	367	100	522	80	255	283	196	421	26	118	440	274	267	76

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

		All Lewis County	County Region				Legislative District									
		Participants	Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10	
Do you support/oppose additional housing options that are accessible and attainable for residents across a range of incomes? - in Central Lewis County	Strongly support	37.2% ¹	35.9% _a	40.6% _a	34.3% _a	37.2% _a	34.7% _a	39.3% _a	26.4% _a	41.0% _a	29.7% _a	53.3% _a	41.1% _a	42.9% _a	29.8% _a	
	Somewhat support	30.7% ¹	30.7% _a	29.5% _a	31.1% _a	18.3% _a	36.2% _a	35.0% _a	29.9% _a	29.0% _a	29.8% _a	27.2% _a	29.5% _a	33.8% _a	30.6% _a	
	Neither support nor oppose	26.0% ¹	25.5% _a	23.3% _a	29.8% _a	36.4% _a	29.1% _a	21.4% _a	34.7% _a	24.7% _a	29.3% _a	19.4% _a	19.7% _a	17.3% _a	28.4% _a	
	Somewhat oppose	3.3% ¹	3.5% _a	3.4% _a	3.2% _a	5.8% _a	0.0% ²	3.2% _a	5.3% _a	0.0% ²	9.1% _a	0.0% ²	4.9% _a	5.9% _a	5.0% _a	
	Strongly oppose	2.8% ¹	4.4% _a	3.3% _a	1.6% _a	2.3% _a	0.0% ²	1.1% _a	3.7% _a	5.3% _a	2.0% _a	0.0% ²	4.8% _a	0.0% ²	6.3% _a	
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	Sample Size	636	97	292	213	41	68	64	40	168	40	44	28	43	66	

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

		All Lewis County	Current Housing Type			Home Ownership		Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?		
		Participants	Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
Do you support/oppose additional housing options that are accessible and attainable for residents across a range of incomes? - in Central Lewis County	Strongly support	37.2% ¹	33.7% _a	68.8% _b	41.7% _b	48.3% _a	32.5% _b	34.9% _b	53.0% _a	34.3% _b	56.5% _a	48.1% _a	33.8% _b	72.1% _{a,b}	60.3% _a	35.0% _b	43.9% _a	19.6% _b
	Somewhat support	30.7% ¹	32.1% _a	8.8% _b	39.1% _a	18.6% _a	38.3% _b	28.7% _{a,b}	17.7% _a	33.1% _b	17.2% _a	33.8% _a	31.4% _b	23.1% _a	15.7% _b	32.0% _a	30.7% _a	30.0% _a
	Neither support nor oppose	26.0% ¹	28.4% _a	11.0% _b	15.9% _{a,b}	26.8% _a	21.9% _a	31.3% _a	23.2% _a	27.2% _a	14.8% _{a,b}	12.8% _a	29.4% _b	4.8% _a	21.5% _a	26.9% _a	21.7% _a	37.3% _b
	Somewhat oppose	3.3% ¹	3.6% _a	0.0% ²	3.4% _a	0.7% _a	5.7% _a	2.0% _a	1.6% _a	3.1% _a	0.0% ²	4.6% _a	3.3% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	3.6% _a	1.8% _a	8.3% _b
	Strongly oppose	2.8% ¹	2.2% _a	11.4% _b	0.0% ²	5.5% _a	1.5% _a	3.1% _a	4.5% _a	2.3% _a	11.5% _a	0.8% _b	2.2% _b	0.0% ²	2.5% _a	2.5% _a	1.9% _a	4.7% _a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Size	636	547	49	31	102	275	246	80	512	33	94	472	6	39	584	480	122

Table 41.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

		All Lewis County	Gender		Age Group				Education Level			Annual HH Income					Poverty			
		Participants	Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI
Do you support/oppose additional housing options that are accessible and attainable for residents across a range of incomes? - in Southern Lewis County	Strongly support	36.2% ¹	26.9% _a	43.3% _b	41.4% _a	36.3% _{a,b}	37.0% _{a,b}	35.3% _{a,b}	22.8% _b	41.0% _a	33.5% _a	33.0% _b	46.0% _a	43.7% _b	33.0% _b	40.4% _b	27.8% _a	45.4% _{a,b}	44.8% _a	32.4% _b
	Somewhat support	28.8% ¹	30.7% _a	27.8% _a	26.3% _a	29.4% _a	25.8% _a	30.0% _a	39.7% _a	29.4% _a	27.8% _a	32.1% _a	22.9% _a	24.5% _a	33.7% _a	28.4% _a	31.7% _a	23.1% _a	22.0% _a	32.4% _a
	Neither support nor oppose	28.8% ¹	32.6% _a	26.4% _a	27.2% _a	27.7% _a	29.9% _a	29.8% _a	32.2% _a	24.1% _a	33.3% _a	27.3% _a	28.2% _a	24.2% _a	28.2% _a	25.5% _a	33.3% _a	28.4% _a	26.1% _a	28.9% _a
	Somewhat oppose	3.0% ¹	4.7% _a	1.1% _b	0.4% _a	5.1% _a	2.3% _a	2.7% _a	5.3% _a	3.1% _a	2.5% _a	3.5% _a	1.6% _a	5.7% _a	2.0% _a	2.7% _a	2.4% _a	1.8% _a	5.5% _a	2.4% _a
	Strongly oppose	3.3% ¹	5.1% _a	1.4% _b	4.7% _a	1.4% _a	5.1% _a	2.3% _a	0.0% ²	2.4% _a	3.0% _a	4.2% _a	1.3% _a	1.8% _a	3.1% _a	3.1% _a	4.8% _a	1.4% _a	1.7% _a	3.9% _a
Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size		636	220	388	138	113	121	156	96	184	246	196	52	119	127	119	185	48	122	421

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

		All Lewis County	Employed	Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs		
		Participants	FT and/or PT	Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C or L	Liberal
Do you support/oppose additional housing options that are accessible and attainable for residents across a range of incomes? - in Southern Lewis County	Strongly support	36.2% ¹	37.6% ¹	35.3% _a	36.5% _a	32.1% _a	34.8% _a	38.3% _a	40.8% _a	33.3% _b	37.3% _a	39.9% _a	35.1% _b	30.8% _a	39.3% _a	46.3% _a
	Somewhat support	28.8% ¹	27.4% ¹	34.1% _a	27.8% _a	29.8% _a	31.6% _a	26.7% _a	21.1% _a	33.1% _a	22.9% _a	29.6% _a	28.7% _a	27.6% _a	29.0% _a	33.2% _a
	Neither support nor oppose	28.8% ¹	28.8% ¹	25.9% _a	29.3% _a	32.5% _a	28.8% _a	27.6% _a	29.0% _a	28.8% _a	30.8% _a	25.3% _a	30.1% _b	33.4% _b	26.4% _b	20.5% _b
	Somewhat oppose	3.0% ¹	2.2% ¹	3.0% _a	2.9% _a	4.2% _a	2.1% _a	3.3% _a	3.4% _a	2.8% _a	3.9% _a	2.1% _a	2.6% _a	3.4% _a	3.2% _a	0.0% ²
	Strongly oppose	3.3% ¹	4.0% ¹	1.7% _a	3.5% _a	1.5% _a	2.7% _a	4.1% _a	5.8% _a	2.1% _b	5.1% _a	3.0% _a	3.5% _a	4.9% _a	2.1% _a	0.0% ²
Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size		636	372	97	526	78	253	288	202	415	24	119	438	272	268	76

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

		All Lewis County	County Region				Legislative District									
		Participants	Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10	
Do you support/oppose additional housing options that are accessible and attainable for residents across a range of incomes? - in Southern Lewis County	Strongly support	36.2% ¹	52.9% _a	33.1% _b	32.7% _b	32.3% _a	37.3% _a	32.7% _a	27.0% _a	36.3% _a	28.1% _a	40.9% _a	24.2% _b	53.7% _a	46.6% _b	
	Somewhat support	28.8% ¹	21.5% _a	31.5% _a	26.7% _a	23.0% _a	28.3% _a	28.9% _a	24.8% _a	27.3% _a	25.5% _a	38.0% _a	40.8% _a	26.5% _a	21.6% _a	
	Neither support nor oppose	28.8% ¹	17.5% _a	28.9% _{a,b}	35.4% _b	36.0% _{a,b}	31.1% _{a,b}	31.6% _{a,b}	44.5% _a	31.5% _{a,b}	30.4% _{a,b}	21.1% _{a,b}	26.0% _{a,b}	13.9% _b	23.0% _{a,b}	
	Somewhat oppose	3.0% ¹	4.3% _a	2.7% _a	2.7% _a	6.3% _a	0.8% _a	5.3% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	8.7% _a	0.0% ²	2.2% _a	6.0% _a	5.3% _a	
	Strongly oppose	3.3% ¹	3.8% _a	3.8% _a	2.5% _a	2.4% _a	2.5% _a	1.5% _a	3.7% _a	4.9% _a	7.4% _a	0.0% ²	6.8% _a	0.0% ²	3.6% _a	
Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Sample Size		636	103	284	213	38	68	66	41	165	39	42	28	42	71	

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

		All Lewis County	Current Housing Type			Home Ownership			Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?	
		Participants	Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
Do you support/oppose additional housing options that are accessible and attainable for residents across a range of incomes? - in Southern Lewis County	Strongly support	36.2% ¹	33.3% _a	54.8% _b	52.7% _b	44.9% _a	33.7% _{a,b}	30.8% _b	53.7% _a	33.0% _b	53.8% _a	50.9% _a	31.9% _b	96.1% _a	58.5% _a	33.1% _b	41.4% _a	19.9% _b
	Somewhat support	28.8% ¹	30.3% _a	15.7% _a	21.0% _a	19.2% _a	31.3% _b	32.8% _b	12.5% _a	31.1% _b	14.0% _b	25.2% _b	31.8% _b	0.0% ²	14.2% _b	30.6% _b	30.7% _a	25.6% _a
	Neither support nor oppose	28.8% ¹	29.9% _a	22.1% _a	26.3% _a	28.7% _a	28.1% _a	31.0% _a	31.1% _a	29.0% _a	18.7% _a	22.7% _a	29.7% _a	3.9% _a	24.9% _a	29.9% _a	24.8% _a	38.5% _b
	Somewhat oppose	3.0% ¹	3.1% _a	4.9% _a	0.0% ²	2.6% _a	4.0% _a	2.2% _a	2.7% _a	3.1% _a	9.5% _a	1.3% _a	2.8% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	3.0% _a	1.1% _a	7.9% _b
	Strongly oppose	3.3% ¹	3.5% _a	2.5% _a	0.0% ²	4.7% _a	2.9% _a	3.2% _a	0.0% ²	3.7% _a	4.0% _a	0.0% ²	3.7% _a	0.0% ²	2.4% _a	3.5% _a	2.0% _a	8.1% _b
Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size		636	550	48	30	103	277	242	83	510	33	94	473	8	39	581	477	122

Table 42.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

		All Lewis County	Gender		Age Group				Education Level				Annual HH Income					Poverty		
		Participants	Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI
Do you support/oppose additional housing options that are accessible and attainable for residents across a range of incomes? - in Northern Lewis County	Strongly support	34.9% ¹	25.9% _a	42.5% _{ab}	35.3% _a	36.2% _a	36.9% _a	31.9% _a	34.8% _a	40.0% _a	32.3% _a	31.4% _{ab}	35.2% _{ab,b}	42.4% _{ab,b}	30.9% _{ab,b}	44.0% _a	27.3% _{ab}	35.1% _{ab}	43.5% _a	32.4% _a
	Somewhat support	33.7% ¹	35.6% _a	32.8% _{ab}	33.6% _a	34.4% _a	32.6% _a	33.6% _a	36.7% _a	30.4% _a	36.1% _a	35.3% _{ab}	33.4% _{ab,b}	31.9% _{ab,b}	38.1% _{ab}	30.9% _{ab}	34.9% _{ab}	33.7% _a	30.1% _a	35.5% _a
	Neither support nor oppose	25.7% ¹	30.0% _a	22.4% _{ab}	25.8% _a	23.6% _a	24.4% _a	30.0% _a	23.3% _a	22.8% _a	28.0% _a	26.1% _{ab}	30.1% _a	18.9% _{ab}	25.2% _{ab}	19.3% _{ab}	31.3% _{ab}	29.8% _{ab}	20.0% _a	25.8% _a
	Somewhat oppose	3.0% ¹	3.8% _a	1.6% _a	2.5% _a	5.8% _a	1.4% _a	2.1% _a	5.2% _a	3.3% _a	2.9% _a	2.7% _a	0.0% ²	3.0% _a	3.8% _a	2.6% _a	3.7% _a	0.0% ²	2.9% _a	3.6% _a
	Strongly oppose	2.8% ¹	4.6% _a	0.7% _{ab}	2.8% _a	0.0% ²	4.7% _a	2.3% _a	0.0% ²	3.6% _{ab,b}	0.8% _a	4.5% _{ab}	1.3% _a	3.8% _a	2.0% _a	3.2% _a	2.9% _a	1.4% _a	3.6% _a	2.8% _a
Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size		634	221	387	137	113	120	158	97	183	244	199	52	121	126	117	187	49	124	419

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

		All Lewis County	Employed	Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs		
		Participants	FT and/or PT	Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C or L	Liberal
Do you support/oppose additional housing options that are accessible and attainable for residents across a range of incomes? - in Northern Lewis County	Strongly support	34.9% ¹	35.0% ¹	32.0% _a	35.7% _a	34.5% _a	35.4% _a	34.3% _a	33.3% _a	35.5% _a	40.8% _a	36.2% _a	34.5% _a	30.7% _a	37.7% _a	43.6% _a
	Somewhat support	33.7% ¹	33.5% ¹	36.4% _a	33.5% _a	36.1% _a	33.4% _a	33.7% _a	33.2% _a	35.3% _a	20.6% _{ab}	34.1% _{ab}	34.5% _{ab}	31.9% _{ab}	33.8% _{ab}	40.3% _{ab}
	Neither support nor oppose	25.7% ¹	25.3% ¹	29.0% _{ab}	24.9% _{ab}	25.7% _{ab}	25.8% _{ab}	25.5% _{ab}	27.8% _{ab}	24.4% _{ab}	29.7% _{ab}	27.1% _{ab}	25.0% _{ab}	30.1% _{ab}	23.8% _{ab,b}	13.4% _{ab}
	Somewhat oppose	3.0% ¹	3.1% ¹	0.9% _a	3.1% _a	2.3% _a	3.1% _a	3.2% _a	3.9% _a	2.3% _a	3.9% _a	2.7% _a	3.0% _a	3.1% _a	3.1% _a	2.7% _a
	Strongly oppose	2.8% ¹	3.0% ¹	1.7% _a	2.8% _a	1.5% _a	2.3% _a	3.3% _a	3.1% _a	2.5% _a	5.1% _a	0.0% ²	3.0% _a	4.2% _a	1.6% _a	0.0% ²
Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size		634	365	95	527	79	254	285	198	419	24	120	439	276	264	76

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

		All Lewis County	County Region				Legislative District									
		Participants	Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10	
Do you support/oppose additional housing options that are accessible and attainable for residents across a range of incomes? - in Northern Lewis County	Strongly support	34.9% ¹	28.4% _a	29.7% _{ab}	44.3% _{bc}	52.1% _{cd}	47.9% _{bc}	48.8% _{bc}	28.3% _a	32.1% _a	28.9% _a	33.4% _{ab}	19.7% _a	30.6% _{ab}	26.2% _{ab}	
	Somewhat support	33.7% ¹	34.3% _a	37.2% _{ab}	28.9% _a	26.4% _a	24.9% _a	33.3% _a	31.2% _a	34.3% _a	28.4% _a	45.2% _{ab}	53.6% _{bc}	38.5% _{ab}	29.6% _{ab}	
	Neither support nor oppose	25.7% ¹	30.3% _a	26.3% _a	23.2% _a	17.3% _a	22.0% _a	16.4% _a	36.7% _a	28.1% _a	28.1% _a	21.4% _a	19.7% _a	24.6% _a	35.3% _a	
	Somewhat oppose	3.0% ¹	3.2% _a	4.0% _a	1.5% _a	4.3% _{ab}	2.2% _{ab}	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	0.5% _a	12.6% _{ab}	0.0% ²	4.8% _{ab}	6.3% _{ab}	3.6% _{ab}	
	Strongly oppose	2.8% ¹	3.7% _a	2.8% _a	2.2% _a	0.0% ²	3.0% _a	1.5% _a	3.7% _a	5.0% _a	2.0% _a	0.0% ²	2.2% _a	0.0% ²	5.3% _a	
Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Sample Size		634	97	283	221	44	71	66	40	163	40	42	28	41	66	

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

		All Lewis County	Current Housing Type			Home Ownership			Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?	
		Participants	Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
Do you support/oppose additional housing options that are accessible and attainable for residents across a range of incomes? - in Northern Lewis County	Strongly support	34.9% ¹	34.0% _a	37.5% _{ab}	41.7% _{bc}	39.5% _{ab}	32.2% _a	34.3% _a	44.4% _a	33.5% _b	29.7% _{ab,b}	48.8% _a	32.5% _b	81.2% _a	46.1% _{ab}	33.6% _{ab}	40.7% _a	17.1% _{ab}
	Somewhat support	33.7% ¹	33.0% _a	38.6% _{ab}	36.5% _{ab}	29.9% _a	37.1% _a	32.7% _a	31.4% _a	34.2% _a	31.4% _a	36.3% _{ab}	34.1% _{ab}	14.0% _{ab}	30.7% _{ab}	34.4% _{ab}	34.4% _a	35.2% _a
	Neither support nor oppose	25.7% ¹	27.2% _a	16.2% _a	19.7% _a	23.0% _a	25.0% _a	28.1% _a	20.4% _a	26.4% _a	28.8% _{ab}	13.9% _a	27.4% _{ab}	4.8% _a	20.7% _{ab}	26.3% _{ab}	22.3% _a	32.7% _{ab}
	Somewhat oppose	3.0% ¹	3.3% _a	0.0% ²	2.1% _a	2.1% _a	4.4% _a	1.8% _a	1.0% _a	3.3% _a	0.0% ²	0.9% _a	3.6% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	3.3% _a	0.9% _a	10.0% _{ab}
	Strongly oppose	2.8% ¹	2.4% _a	7.7% _{ab}	0.0% ²	5.5% _a	1.3% _a	3.1% _{ab}	2.8% _a	2.6% _a	10.1% _{ab}	0.0% ²	2.5% _a	0.0% ²	2.5% _a	2.5% _a	1.7% _a	5.0% _a
Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size		634	547	46	32	99	280	243	80	512	32	94	472	6	38	583	479	120

Table 43.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

		All Lewis County	Gender		Age Group				Education Level			Annual HH Income					Poverty			
		Participants	Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI
Human Service agencies in Lewis County have identified the need for Supportive Housing. If this initiative were taken to address the identified need, would you be	Strongly support	33.6% ¹	23.3% _a	43.1% _b	33.3% _a	31.2% _a	36.0% _a	31.1% _a	35.7% _a	39.1% _a	23.3% _a	34.0% _b	38.5% _b	36.8% _b	34.3% _b	29.9% _a	37.3% _a	37.5% _a	33.3% _a	
	Somewhat support	35.5% ¹	37.4% _a	34.2% _a	33.6% _a	38.4% _a	30.1% _a	36.9% _a	44.5% _a	31.2% _a	38.1% _a	37.9% _a	30.5% _a	41.8% _a	36.2% _a	38.0% _a	33.6% _a	30.8% _a	39.6% _a	35.5% _a
	Neither support nor oppose	23.2% ¹	28.7% _a	18.8% _b	25.8% _a	28.6% _a	24.7% _{a,b}	24.1% _{a,b}	9.9% _b	24.2% _a	24.5% _a	20.4% _a	29.3% _a	17.7% _a	18.5% _a	20.9% _a	28.8% _a	30.2% _a	18.5% _a	23.3% _a
	Somewhat oppose	4.5% ¹	5.3% _a	3.1% _a	4.3% _a	0.4% _a	4.8% _a	4.0% _a	8.8% _a	3.5% _a	4.4% _a	4.9% _a	1.7% _a	1.7% _a	4.8% _a	4.5% _a	3.7% _a	1.8% _a	2.4% _a	4.2% _a
	Strongly oppose	3.1% ¹	5.3% _a	0.8% _b	3.0% _a	1.5% _a	4.4% _a	3.9% _a	1.1% _a	2.0% _a	2.8% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	2.0% _a	4.5% _a	4.0% _a	0.0% ²	1.9% _a	1.9% _a	3.7% _a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Size	624	218	388	128	111	122	156	98	169	247	200	50	114	124	117	186	47	116	419

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

		All Lewis County	Employed	Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs		
		Participants	FT and/or PT	Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Identify as C or L	Liberal
Human Service agencies in Lewis County have identified the need for Supportive Housing. If this initiative were taken to address the identified need, would you be	Strongly support	33.6% ¹	33.5% ¹	41.6% _a	32.0% _a	35.0% _a	33.2% _a	33.5% _a	30.9% _a	34.7% _a	51.8% _a	32.6% _a	34.0% _b	26.1% _a	38.0% _b	47.7% _b
	Somewhat support	35.5% ¹	34.3% ¹	38.0% _a	35.7% _a	32.3% _a	37.2% _a	34.8% _a	35.9% _a	35.7% _a	25.6% _{a,b}	49.1% _a	32.7% _b	34.1% _a	34.9% _a	46.4% _a
	Neither support nor oppose	23.2% ¹	25.0% ¹	11.6% _a	25.4% _b	32.3% _a	20.4% _a	23.9% _a	26.0% _a	22.3% _a	18.7% _{a,b}	13.5% _a	25.6% _b	32.0% _b	19.7% _b	2.1% _c
	Somewhat oppose	4.5% ¹	3.6% ¹	5.4% _a	3.9% _a	0.4% _a	6.2% _a	3.9% _a	3.4% _a	4.5% _a	3.9% _a	4.1% _a	3.7% _a	3.9% _a	4.5% _a	3.7% _a
	Strongly oppose	3.1% ¹	3.6% ¹	3.4% _a	2.9% _a	0.0% ²	3.0% _a	3.9% _a	3.8% _a	2.7% _a	0.0% ²	0.7% _a	4.1% _a	3.9% _a	2.9% _a	0.0% ²
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Size	624	360	91	519	76	255	277	193	413	24	116	435	273	256	75

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

		All Lewis County	County Region				Legislative District									
		Participants	Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10	
Human Service agencies in Lewis County have identified the need for Supportive Housing. If this initiative were taken to address the identified need, would you be	Strongly support	33.6% ¹	26.3% _a	34.7% _a	36.0% _a	39.1% _{a,b}	33.0% _{a,b}	38.1% _{a,b}	35.4% _{a,b}	33.4% _{a,b}	31.4% _{a,b}	53.9% _a	28.6% _{a,b}	26.7% _{a,b}	21.6% _b	
	Somewhat support	35.5% ¹	46.4% _a	35.1% _{a,b}	30.9% _b	33.1% _{a,b}	34.2% _{a,b}	36.3% _{a,b}	20.8% _a	33.9% _{a,b}	30.3% _{a,b}	22.8% _{a,b}	52.2% _{a,b}	48.1% _{a,b}	48.4% _a	
	Neither support nor oppose	23.2% ¹	21.0% _a	22.3% _a	25.6% _a	21.3% _a	27.6% _a	22.7% _a	29.0% _a	25.1% _a	27.6% _a	17.4% _a	12.1% _a	17.2% _a	24.4% _a	
	Somewhat oppose	4.5% ¹	2.5% _a	4.5% _a	4.8% _a	6.5% _a	2.6% _a	3.0% _a	7.8% _a	3.5% _a	10.7% _a	2.1% _a	4.6% _a	5.4% _a	0.0% ²	
	Strongly oppose	3.1% ¹	3.7% _a	3.3% _a	2.7% _a	0.0% ²	2.6% _a	0.0% ²	7.0% _a	4.1% _a	0.0% ²	3.8% _a	2.5% _a	2.5% _a	5.5% _a	
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	Sample Size	624	93	285	214	42	67	62	43	163	40	42	27	44	62	

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

		All Lewis County	Current Housing Type			Home Ownership			Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?	
		Participants	Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
Human Service agencies in Lewis County have identified the need for Supportive Housing. If this initiative were taken to address the identified need, would you be	Strongly support	33.6% ¹	31.6% _a	48.9% _a	46.0% _a	45.3% _a	29.5% _b	31.3% _b	46.4% _a	31.7% _b	51.1% _{a,b}	45.7% _a	30.2% _b	60.0% _{a,b}	66.0% _a	31.0% _b	39.8% _a	18.1% _b
	Somewhat support	35.5% ¹	36.2% _a	31.2% _a	36.4% _a	26.3% _a	38.3% _a	38.4% _a	30.3% _a	37.0% _a	22.7% _a	30.8% _a	37.3% _a	29.8% _a	24.9% _a	36.5% _a	36.8% _a	32.9% _a
	Neither support nor oppose	23.2% ¹	24.1% _a	15.8% _a	14.6% _a	19.5% _a	25.0% _a	22.5% _a	23.3% _a	22.5% _a	15.7% _a	22.0% _a	23.4% _a	10.2% _a	9.1% _a	24.1% _a	19.0% _a	31.6% _b
	Somewhat oppose	4.5% ¹	4.5% _a	4.2% _a	3.1% _b	6.1% _a	4.0% _a	4.6% _a	0.0% ²	4.9% _a	2.1% _a	0.0% ²	5.7% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	4.9% _a	3.1% _a	8.2% _b
	Strongly oppose	3.1% ¹	3.6% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	2.9% _a	3.2% _a	3.3% _a	0.0% ²	3.8% _a	8.3% _a	1.4% _a	3.3% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	3.4% _a	1.4% _a	9.1% _b
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Size	624	545	47	26	96	280	237	70	515	29	88	472	4	35	580	475	120

Table 44 is a "Summary" table in Section 3, with all individual-survey-item cross-tabulations associated with these items included in the following Tables 45-52.

Table 45.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County	Gender		Age Group					Education Level			Annual HH Income					Poverty			
		Participants	Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI
Do you believe that supportive housing should be located in - Lowville?	Strongly support	48.2% ¹	42.1% _a	52.6% _b	55.5% _a	41.0% _a	47.1% _a	45.6% _a	42.1% _a	53.0% _a	44.1% _a	47.2% _a	51.1% _a	56.8% _a	49.0% _a	41.5% _a	45.5% _a	52.7% _{a,b}	59.6% _a	44.4% _b
	Somewhat support	23.9% ¹	20.6% _a	27.4% _a	24.2% _a	21.9% _a	29.6% _a	22.2% _a	23.0% _a	22.2% _a	25.0% _a	26.0% _a	17.5% _a	22.7% _a	24.4% _a	32.5% _a	21.3% _a	15.6% _a	21.1% _a	26.0% _a
	Neither support nor oppose	21.2% ¹	25.5% _a	18.3% _b	16.3% _a	26.2% _a	19.4% _a	22.7% _a	28.1% _a	17.9% _a	24.3% _a	20.6% _a	20.2% _b	15.1% _b	21.4% _a	18.8% _a	25.7% _a	22.2% _a	14.2% _a	22.6% _a
	Somewhat oppose	2.5% ¹	4.8% _a	0.2% _b	0.0% ²	6.7% _a	0.0% ²	3.9% _a	5.7% _a	4.4% _a	1.2% _a	2.4% _a	6.4% _a	1.6% _a	3.5% _a	3.6% _a	0.6% _a	5.3% _a	1.6% _a	2.5% _a
	Strongly oppose	4.2% ¹	7.0% _a	1.5% _b	4.1% _a	4.1% _a	3.9% _a	5.5% _a	1.1% _a	2.5% _a	5.5% _a	3.7% _a	4.9% _a	3.7% _a	1.7% _a	3.8% _a	6.9% _a	4.3% _a	3.6% _a	4.5% _a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Size	635	214	394	135	109	122	160	99	183	244	199	51	121	123	120	181	48	124	413

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County	Participants	Employed FT and/or PT	Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs		
				Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C or L	Liberal
Do you believe that supportive housing should be located in - Lowville?	Strongly support	48.2% ¹	49.3% ¹	55.0% _a	47.0% _a	47.5% _a	47.3% _a	49.1% _a	47.3% _a	48.2% _a	66.8% _{a,b}	58.9% _a	43.2% _b	39.4% _a	54.5% _b	58.4% _b
	Somewhat support	23.9% ¹	25.1% ¹	17.9% _a	25.1% _a	21.8% _a	25.3% _a	23.0% _a	23.9% _a	23.9% _a	11.0% _a	25.4% _a	24.3% _a	22.5% _a	23.7% _a	30.1% _a
	Neither support nor oppose	21.2% ¹	18.9% ¹	20.3% _a	21.3% _a	18.6% _a	22.2% _a	21.8% _a	21.6% _a	21.4% _a	22.2% _a	13.7% _b	23.7% _b	29.3% _b	15.7% _b	11.5% _b
	Somewhat oppose	2.5% ¹	2.0% ¹	0.8% _a	2.9% _a	8.6% _a	2.3% _b	0.7% _b	0.9% _a	3.1% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	3.5% _a	3.0% _a	2.7% _a	0.0% ²
	Strongly oppose	4.2% ¹	4.6% ¹	6.0% _a	3.6% _a	3.5% _a	2.9% _a	5.4% _a	5.6% _a	3.4% _a	0.0% ²	2.0% _a	5.4% _a	5.8% _a	3.4% _a	0.0% ²
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Size	635	366	98	623	79	263	274	198	416	25	121	438	268	270	77

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

	All Lewis County	County Region													
		Participants	Southern			Central			Northern						
			District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10			
Do you believe that supportive housing should be located in - Lowville?	Strongly support	48.2% ¹	42.3% _a	50.5% _a	48.0% _a	35.7% _{a,c,d,e}	59.4% _{a,b}	59.8% _{a,c}	26.8% _d	54.4% _{b,c,d,e}	31.6% _{a,d,e}	55.3% _{a,d,e}	42.0% _{a,d,e}	55.8% _{a,d,e}	42.2% _{a,d,e}
	Somewhat support	23.9% ¹	31.5% _a	21.1% _a	22.8% _a	27.6% _a	14.5% _a	29.5% _a	23.1% _a	20.5% _a	25.8% _a	12.0% _a	39.5% _a	25.0% _a	29.1% _a
	Neither support nor oppose	21.2% ¹	22.8% _a	19.1% _a	23.8% _a	32.0% _a	24.4% _a	10.6% _a	32.5% _a	16.7% _a	30.9% _a	20.6% _a	18.6% _a	12.5% _a	24.0% _a
	Somewhat oppose	2.5% ¹	2.1% _a	3.3% _a	1.8% _a	2.6% _a	1.8% _a	0.0% ²	3.2% _a	2.1% _a	4.1% _a	5.2% _a	0.0% ²	3.8% _a	3.0% _a
	Strongly oppose	4.2% ¹	1.2% _a	5.9% _a	3.7% _a	2.2% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	14.3% _a	6.3% _a	7.6% _a	6.9% _a	0.0% ²	2.8% _a	1.7% _a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Size	635	92	297	212	38	70	66	38	174	40	44	28	40	63

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

	All Lewis County	Current Housing Type			Home Ownership		Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?			
		Participants	Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
Do you believe that supportive housing should be located in - Lowville?	Strongly support	48.2% ¹	46.5% _a	62.3% _a	52.6% _a	63.3% _a	44.9% _b	42.8% _b	65.8% _a	45.1% _b	55.5% _{a,b}	59.4% _a	44.7% _b	55.1% _{a,b}	75.1% _a	45.7% _b	52.3% _a	37.6% _b
	Somewhat support	23.9% ¹	22.9% _a	25.1% _a	36.6% _a	17.2% _a	26.7% _a	24.8% _a	19.4% _a	25.0% _a	18.3% _a	19.8% _a	25.6% _a	21.9% _a	11.9% _a	24.9% _a	24.6% _a	20.6% _a
	Neither support nor oppose	21.2% ¹	23.3% _a	8.1% _a	7.6% _a	16.7% _a	20.5% _a	24.8% _a	14.8% _a	21.9% _a	18.7% _a	17.5% _a	21.9% _a	17.8% _a	11.3% _a	22.2% _a	19.4% _a	24.5% _a
	Somewhat oppose	2.5% ¹	2.5% _a	1.8% _a	3.3% _a	0.7% _a	2.3% _a	3.8% _a	0.0% ²	3.1% _a	4.9% _a	2.0% _a	2.7% _a	0.0% ²	1.7% _a	2.6% _a	1.4% _a	5.8% _b
	Strongly oppose	4.2% ¹	4.7% _a	2.7% _a	0.0% ²	2.2% _a	5.6% _a	3.8% _a	0.0% ²	4.9% _a	2.7% _a	1.4% _a	5.1% _a	5.2% _a	0.0% ²	4.6% _a	2.2% _a	11.5% _b
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Size	635	544	48	33	102	274	247	72	516	30	90	475	7	36	587	480	122

Table 46.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	Gender		Age Group					Education Level				Annual HH Income					Poverty		
		Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI	
Do you believe that supportive housing should be located in - Croghan?																				
Strongly support	31.9% ¹	25.8% _a	36.6% _{ab}	44.4% _a	27.3% _{ab}	25.1% _b	27.4% _{bc}	22.4% _{cd}	44.6% _a	24.3% _{bc}	28.8% _{bc}	40.1% _a	38.4% _a	31.6% _a	27.8% _a	28.2% _a	39.5% _a	39.7% _a	28.6% _a	
Somewhat support	28.9% ¹	26.0% _a	33.1% _{ab}	25.5% _{ab}	26.2% _a	35.4% _a	29.0% _a	33.0% _a	23.5% _a	31.2% _{ab}	33.7% _{ab}	33.7% _{ab}	28.9% _{ab}	31.4% _{ab}	34.8% _{ab}	22.7% _{ab}	33.6% _a	28.6% _a	29.0% _a	
Neither support nor oppose	30.6% ¹	34.0% _a	27.6% _a	22.6% _a	37.7% _a	31.5% _a	35.3% _a	33.2% _a	24.8% _a	35.4% _a	28.5% _{ab}	23.1% _a	28.4% _a	30.3% _a	24.3% _a	36.8% _a	25.3% _a	27.7% _a	31.2% _a	
Somewhat oppose	3.4% ¹	5.8% _a	0.9% _b	3.1% _{ab}	2.6% _{ab}	0.8% _a	2.5% _{ab}	10.2% _b	4.1% _a	3.5% _a	2.0% _a	1.5% _a	1.6% _a	3.9% _a	6.3% _a	3.1% _a	0.0% ²	1.5% _a	4.5% _a	
Strongly oppose	5.3% ¹	8.5% _a	1.8% _b	4.4% _a	6.2% _a	7.2% _a	5.7% _a	1.2% _a	3.0% _a	5.6% _a	7.0% _a	1.6% _a	2.7% _a	2.7% _a	6.8% _a	9.2% _a	1.6% _a	2.5% _a	6.6% _a	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Sample Size	593	205	369	126	104	117	148	88	164	241	180	46	117	116	116	168	44	121	391	

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	Employed		Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs		
		FT and/or PT		Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C or L	Liberal
Do you believe that supportive housing should be located in - Croghan?																
Strongly support	31.9% ¹	34.2% ¹	34.1% _a	31.7% _a	23.6% _a	29.3% _a	36.9% _a	36.5% _a	30.0% _a	56.8% _a	34.3% _{ab}	29.0% _b	25.5% _a	37.1% _c	38.4% _{ab}	
Somewhat support	28.9% ¹	28.6% ¹	27.6% _a	29.2% _a	39.6% _a	29.4% _{ab}	25.4% _b	24.6% _a	31.1% _a	16.3% _a	36.0% _a	28.8% _a	25.5% _a	29.8% _a	39.8% _a	
Neither support nor oppose	30.6% ¹	28.4% ¹	23.0% _a	31.9% _a	33.2% _a	32.4% _a	28.5% _a	29.1% _a	31.1% _a	26.9% _a	24.8% _a	31.8% _a	37.4% _a	26.0% _b	21.8% _{ab}	
Somewhat oppose	3.4% ¹	3.2% ¹	7.5% _a	2.6% _b	2.5% _a	3.4% _a	3.4% _a	4.3% _a	2.8% _a	0.0% ²	0.5% _a	4.5% _b	3.8% _a	3.9% _a	0.0% ²	
Strongly oppose	5.3% ¹	5.6% ¹	7.8% _a	4.5% _a	1.1% _a	5.5% _a	5.8% _a	5.4% _a	5.0% _a	0.0% ²	4.3% _a	6.0% _a	7.9% _a	3.2% _b	0.0% ²	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Sample Size	593	346	91	491	75	247	258	188	389	22	113	414	252	256	68	

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	County Region										Legislative District				
		Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10		
Do you believe that supportive housing should be located in - Croghan?																
Strongly support	31.9% ¹	28.7% _a	31.1% _a	34.0% _a	22.2% _a	44.4% _a	34.8% _a	27.0% _a	37.6% _a	18.0% _a	41.7% _{ab}	18.1% _a	21.6% _a	28.9% _a		
Somewhat support	28.9% ¹	32.3% _a	29.2% _a	27.4% _a	33.3% _{ab}	12.6% _b	38.8% _{ab}	30.9% _{ab}	29.4% _{ab}	26.6% _{ab}	25.4% _{ab}	43.0% _{bc}	31.4% _{ab}	30.3% _{ab}		
Neither support nor oppose	30.6% ¹	35.9% _a	31.4% _a	27.4% _a	36.5% _a	34.0% _a	18.8% _a	21.9% _a	26.9% _a	43.4% _a	25.5% _a	38.9% _a	34.5% _a	36.7% _a		
Somewhat oppose	3.4% ¹	3.0% _a	2.6% _a	4.3% _a	2.7% _a	2.2% _a	6.1% _a	6.4% _a	1.1% _a	3.8% _a	3.7% _a	0.0% ²	4.4% _a	4.2% _a		
Strongly oppose	5.3% ¹	0.0% ²	5.7% _a	6.9% _a	5.3% _a	6.9% _a	1.5% _a	13.8% _a	5.0% _a	8.1% _a	3.7% _a	0.0% ²	8.2% _a	0.0% ²		
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
Sample Size	593	87	272	206	35	69	63	39	158	36	43	27	37	58		

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	Current Housing Type			Home Ownership			Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?	
		Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
Do you believe that supportive housing should be located in - Croghan?																	
Strongly support	31.9% ¹	30.2% _a	45.0% _a	34.3% _a	51.7% _a	30.1% _b	22.9% _b	48.7% _a	28.5% _b	44.2% _a	37.0% _a	29.2% _b	60.3% _{ab}	59.7% _a	29.2% _b	36.7% _a	19.2% _b
Somewhat support	28.9% ¹	26.5% _a	41.3% _{ab}	51.9% _{ab}	20.6% _a	28.7% _{ab}	33.4% _b	29.6% _a	29.4% _a	24.3% _a	28.3% _a	29.8% _a	0.0% ²	21.6% _a	29.8% _a	31.4% _a	22.6% _b
Neither support nor oppose	30.6% ¹	33.4% _a	11.5% _b	13.8% _b	22.5% _a	31.3% _a	34.4% _a	18.0% _a	32.2% _b	24.1% _a	30.6% _a	30.8% _a	39.7% _a	16.9% _a	31.6% _a	27.4% _a	35.9% _a
Somewhat oppose	3.4% ¹	3.8% _a	2.2% _a	0.0% ²	2.2% _a	2.9% _a	4.6% _a	0.8% _a	3.8% _a	4.8% _a	4.1% _a	3.4% _a	0.0% ²	1.9% _a	3.5% _a	1.8% _a	8.0% _b
Strongly oppose	5.3% ¹	6.2% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	3.0% _a	7.1% _a	4.6% _a	2.9% _a	6.1% _a	2.7% _a	0.0% ²	6.7% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	5.8% _a	2.7% _a	14.4% _b
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	593	513	41	29	91	258	232	71	483	29	85	445	7	33	551	453	115

Table 47.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	Gender		Age Group					Education Level				Annual HH Income					Poverty		
		Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI	
Do you believe that supportive housing should be located in - Lyons Falls?																				
Strongly support	31.6% ¹	25.3% _a	35.6% _{ab}	47.1% _a	28.8% _{a,b}	24.2% _b	25.5% _{bc}	16.3% _{cd}	37.2% _a	23.5% _a	27.2% _{bc}	39.2% _b	41.0% _b	34.3% _{bc}	25.1% _b	26.9% _a	44.2% _a	28.1% _{a,b}	28.1% _b	
Somewhat support	27.4% ¹	28.1% _{ab}	28.5% _{ab}	20.3% _a	33.3% _{a,b}	26.5% _{ab}	28.3% _{ab,c}	42.1% _b	32.4% _a	23.2% _{ab}	30.6% _{ab}	20.7% _{ab}	28.6% _{ab}	25.5% _{ab}	33.3% _{ab}	25.9% _a	20.5% _a	26.0% _a	28.2% _a	
Neither support nor oppose	30.6% ¹	31.3% _{ab}	31.3% _{ab}	21.0% _a	33.0% _{a,b}	39.6% _b	35.0% _{bc}	30.6% _{ab}	20.9% _a	35.5% _{ab}	34.7% _{ab}	25.3% _a	25.6% _a	30.0% _{ab}	31.0% _a	33.7% _{ab}	28.1% _a	25.2% _a	31.9% _a	
Somewhat oppose	4.3% ¹	5.5% _a	2.5% _a	6.3% _{a,b}	1.0% _{a,b}	0.7% _a	3.9% _{ab}	9.1% _b	4.2% _a	5.1% _a	2.9% _a	6.0% _a	0.8% _a	6.1% _a	7.4% _a	3.3% _a	4.8% _a	0.7% _a	5.3% _a	
Strongly oppose	6.1% ¹	9.8% _a	2.0% _b	5.4% _a	3.9% _a	9.0% _a	7.3% _a	2.0% _a	5.3% _a	6.7% _a	4.6% _a	8.8% _a	4.1% _a	4.1% _a	3.2% _a	10.3% _a	9.4% _a	3.9% _a	6.4% _a	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Sample Size	599	210	367	128	108	116	147	90	166	239	188	46	116	117	117	174	43	119	399	

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	Employed		Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs			
		FT and/or PT		Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C or L	Liberal	
Do you believe that supportive housing should be located in - Lyons Falls?																	
Strongly support	31.6% ¹	35.4% ¹	28.6% _a	32.6% _a	30.4% _{a,b}	26.8% _a	37.3% _b	41.1% _a	27.7% _b	63.8% _a	37.9% _{a,b}	27.1% _b	28.0% _a	33.6% _a	39.1% _a		
Somewhat support	27.4% ¹	26.5% ¹	40.6% _a	25.1% _b	28.0% _a	29.4% _a	25.1% _a	23.7% _a	29.4% _a	6.7% _a	27.2% _{ab}	29.5% _{ab}	20.6% _a	33.3% _{ab}	37.2% _{ab}		
Neither support nor oppose	30.6% ¹	30.0% ¹	15.9% _a	33.4% _{ab}	33.1% _a	32.3% _a	28.5% _a	25.5% _a	32.8% _a	29.4% _a	27.5% _{ab}	31.6% _{ab}	37.7% _{ab}	25.5% _{ab}	20.8% _{ab}		
Somewhat oppose	4.3% ¹	3.5% ¹	6.0% _a	3.7% _a	2.8% _a	4.7% _a	3.9% _a	4.1% _a	4.0% _a	0.0% ²	0.9% _a	5.2% _{ab}	4.5% _a	4.0% _a	2.9% _a		
Strongly oppose	6.1% ¹	5.6% ¹	8.8% _a	5.3% _a	5.7% _a	6.7% _a	5.1% _a	5.7% _a	6.0% _a	0.0% ²	6.6% _a	6.6% _a	9.2% _a	3.6% _{ab}	0.0% ²		
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
Sample Size	599	353	92	495	76	249	260	187	395	22	113	417	260	253	70		

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	County Region										Legislative District			
		Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10	
Do you believe that supportive housing should be located in - Lyons Falls?															
Strongly support	31.6% ¹	41.4% _a	31.1% _{a,b}	27.8% _b	26.7% _{a,c,d}	41.9% _{a,b}	25.7% _{a,c,d}	12.0% _c	35.3% _{a,c,d}	19.8% _{a,c,d}	34.8% _{a,c,d}	23.4% _{a,c,d}	34.7% _{a,c,d}	45.0% _{b,d}	
Somewhat support	27.4% ¹	28.4% _a	28.6% _a	24.9% _a	18.0% _a	17.2% _a	38.8% _a	24.4% _a	28.5% _a	23.6% _a	31.4% _a	35.0% _a	34.3% _a	23.4% _a	
Neither support nor oppose	30.6% ¹	24.2% _a	29.1% _a	36.7% _a	50.2% _a	35.0% _{a,b}	21.7% _{a,b}	46.4% _{a,b}	27.4% _{a,b}	45.2% _{a,b}	18.7% _{ab}	34.4% _{a,b}	15.6% _b	27.5% _{a,b}	
Somewhat oppose	4.3% ¹	3.1% _a	3.0% _a	5.4% _a	2.8% _a	3.4% _a	8.5% _a	6.5% _a	1.7% _a	3.6% _a	4.8% _a	7.2% _{ab}	5.8% _a	0.0% ²	
Strongly oppose	6.1% ¹	2.9% _a	8.2% _a	5.2% _a	2.3% _a	2.5% _a	5.3% _a	10.6% _a	7.1% _a	7.7% _a	10.2% _a	0.0% ²	9.5% _a	4.0% _a	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Sample Size	599	92	275	200	33	67	62	38	157	38	43	27	40	62	

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	Current Housing Type			Home Ownership			Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?	
		Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
Do you believe that supportive housing should be located in - Lyons Falls?																	
Strongly support	31.6% ¹	29.4% _a	52.6% _{bc}	38.2% _{a,b}	54.1% _a	26.7% _b	24.5% _b	61.1% _a	26.8% _b	50.5% _a	39.7% _{a,b}	27.9% _b	76.1% _a	60.3% _a	28.5% _b	36.0% _a	19.0% _b
Somewhat support	27.4% ¹	27.4% _a	23.7% _a	34.5% _a	16.2% _a	27.7% _b	33.6% _b	12.0% _a	28.2% _a	17.7% _a	33.1% _a	27.1% _b	8.5% _a	13.1% _a	28.8% _a	30.9% _a	16.6% _b
Neither support nor oppose	30.6% ¹	32.1% _a	15.6% _a	23.7% _a	21.5% _a	33.8% _b	32.0% _{a,b}	22.7% _a	32.7% _a	20.0% _a	26.2% _a	32.7% _a	15.4% _a	24.7% _a	31.4% _a	28.2% _a	35.0% _a
Somewhat oppose	4.3% ¹	4.2% _a	4.3% _a	3.5% _a	4.1% _a	4.4% _a	4.0% _a	1.4% _a	5.1% _a	5.4% _a	1.0% _a	5.2% _a	0.0% ²	1.9% _a	4.6% _a	1.9% _a	12.1% _{ab}
Strongly oppose	6.1% ¹	6.8% _a	3.8% _a	0.0% ²	4.1% _a	7.5% _a	5.8% _a	2.7% _a	7.2% _a	6.4% _a	0.0% ²	7.1% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	6.7% _a	2.9% _a	17.3% _{ab}
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	599	522	40	29	94	263	231	71	489	32	87	448	8	33	553	457	115

Table 48.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	Gender		Age Group					Education Level			Annual HH Income					Poverty		
		Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI
Do you believe that supportive housing should be located in - Port Leyden?																			
Strongly support	31.1% ¹	24.6% _a	35.5% _b	46.1% _a	33.6% _{a,b}	24.6% _b	22.9% _{b,c}	17.2% _{b,d}	38.2% _a	23.1% _a	25.5% _b	36.5% _a	37.3% _a	37.5% _a	25.4% _a	26.4% _a	35.7% _a	40.3% _a	29.1% _a
Somewhat support	26.6% ¹	27.6% _a	27.4% _a	20.8% _a	34.0% _a	24.3% _a	27.9% _a	35.3% _a	31.3% _a	21.1% _b	32.2% _{a,b}	24.3% _a	28.6% _a	23.5% _a	28.8% _a	26.0% _a	23.8% _a	26.8% _a	26.3% _a
Neither support nor oppose	31.2% ¹	31.3% _a	31.7% _a	20.9% _a	27.5% _{a,b}	38.5% _b	38.1% _{b,c}	36.3% _{a,b}	23.0% _a	35.3% _b	34.4% _{a,b}	30.3% _a	27.2% _a	29.5% _a	33.2% _a	31.9% _a	32.8% _a	26.4% _a	31.5% _a
Somewhat oppose	5.7% ¹	8.4% _a	3.1% _b	7.8% _a	1.0% _a	3.8% _a	5.6% _a	9.1% _a	3.7% _a	8.3% _a	3.3% _a	6.0% _a	2.7% _a	5.5% _a	9.4% _a	6.3% _a	4.7% _a	2.5% _a	7.1% _a
Strongly oppose	5.4% ¹	8.1% _a	2.3% _b	4.4% _a	3.8% _a	8.8% _a	5.6% _a	2.0% _a	3.7% _a	6.3% _a	4.6% _a	2.8% _a	4.3% _a	4.0% _a	3.2% _a	9.4% _a	3.0% _a	4.0% _a	6.1% _a
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	601	208	372	125	111	120	145	91	166	239	190	46	112	123	116	175	44	116	405

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	Employed		Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs			
		FT and/or PT		Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C or L	Liberal	
Do you believe that supportive housing should be located in - Port Leyden?																	
Strongly support	31.1% ¹	34.6% ¹	27.3% _a	32.3% _a	27.3% _a	24.5% _a	39.9% _b	42.3% _a	26.9% _b	64.9% _a	36.9% _b	27.5% _b	27.3% _a	34.2% _a	36.8% _a		
Somewhat support	26.6% ¹	26.3% ¹	35.2% _a	24.9% _b	32.7% _a	27.1% _a	23.9% _a	23.2% _a	28.1% _a	4.7% _a	24.7% _{a,b}	28.8% _b	21.4% _a	30.3% _{a,b}	37.1% _b		
Neither support nor oppose	31.2% ¹	28.7% ¹	21.8% _a	32.9% _b	35.6% _a	34.3% _a	26.6% _a	23.6% _a	34.1% _b	30.4% _a	26.8% _a	31.7% _a	36.7% _a	26.5% _b	26.1% _{a,b}		
Somewhat oppose	5.7% ¹	5.3% ¹	6.0% _a	5.8% _a	2.8% _a	7.7% _a	4.5% _a	5.2% _a	5.9% _a	0.0% ²	6.1% _a	6.1% _a	6.5% _a	6.1% _a	0.0% ²		
Strongly oppose	5.4% ¹	5.1% ¹	9.6% _a	4.2% _b	1.5% _a	6.3% _a	5.1% _a	5.7% _a	5.0% _a	0.0% ²	5.5% _a	5.8% _a	8.1% _a	3.0% _b	0.0% ²		
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
Sample Size	601	351	94	496	76	248	265	190	395	23	114	419	263	251	70		

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	County Region										Legislative District			
		Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10	
Do you believe that supportive housing should be located in - Port Leyden?															
Strongly support	31.1% ¹	42.5% _a	32.4% _{a,b}	25.7% _b	27.5% _{a,c,d,e,f}	37.9% _{a,b}	24.0% _{a,c,d,e,f}	9.3% _c	39.3% _{b,d}	21.9% _{a,c,d,e,f}	36.6% _{b,e}	21.7% _{a,c,d,e,f}	31.6% _{a,c,d,e,f}	45.3% _{b,f}	
Somewhat support	26.6% ¹	26.7% _a	26.0% _a	25.8% _a	16.7% _a	19.7% _a	38.1% _a	26.3% _a	23.6% _a	21.5% _a	34.8% _a	25.6% _a	30.0% _a	25.1% _a	
Neither support nor oppose	31.2% ¹	23.7% _a	29.2% _a	36.8% _a	50.9% _a	36.3% _a	20.7% _a	46.1% _a	28.3% _a	34.1% _a	20.1% _a	48.2% _a	19.9% _a	23.0% _a	
Somewhat oppose	5.7% ¹	4.2% _a	6.1% _a	6.4% _a	2.7% _a	3.5% _a	11.9% _a	6.9% _a	3.6% _a	14.8% _a	4.8% _a	4.6% _a	7.0% _a	2.6% _a	
Strongly oppose	5.4% ¹	2.8% _a	6.3% _a	5.3% _a	2.3% _a	2.6% _a	5.3% _a	11.4% _a	5.2% _a	7.7% _a	3.7% _a	0.0% ²	11.5% _a	4.0% _a	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Sample Size	601	94	277	200	35	66	63	36	158	38	43	28	40	64	

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	Current Housing Type			Home Ownership			Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?	
		Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
Do you believe that supportive housing should be located in - Port Leyden?																	
Strongly support	31.1% ¹	29.1% _a	40.1% _a	44.9% _a	50.5% _a	26.1% _b	25.7% _b	61.1% _a	26.0% _b	47.7% _a	38.0% _{a,b}	27.7% _b	50.5% _{a,b}	58.4% _a	28.8% _b	35.4% _a	20.2% _b
Somewhat support	26.6% ¹	26.9% _a	25.7% _a	26.7% _a	16.7% _a	29.8% _b	28.8% _b	8.9% _a	28.3% _b	18.2% _a	36.4% _a	25.4% _b	9.8% _a	17.7% _a	27.4% _a	30.8% _a	13.3% _b
Neither support nor oppose	31.2% ¹	32.2% _a	24.6% _a	25.0% _a	25.4% _a	30.5% _a	35.5% _a	23.0% _a	33.0% _a	21.9% _a	24.0% _a	33.7% _a	39.7% _a	21.9% _a	31.8% _a	27.9% _a	37.4% _b
Somewhat oppose	5.7% ¹	5.8% _a	5.9% _a	3.4% _b	3.3% _a	6.9% _a	5.4% _a	4.3% _a	6.4% _a	5.6% _a	1.6% _a	7.0% _a	0.0% ²	2.0% _a	6.1% _a	3.7% _a	12.6% _b
Strongly oppose	5.4% ¹	6.0% _a	3.8% _a	0.0% ²	4.1% _a	6.7% _a	4.7% _a	2.7% _a	6.3% _a	6.6% _a	0.0% ²	6.2% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	5.9% _a	2.2% _a	16.5% _b
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	601	520	41	31	93	262	235	73	488	31	89	447	7	33	558	458	115

Table 49.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	Gender		Age Group					Education Level			Annual HH Income					Poverty		
		Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI
Do you believe that supportive housing should be located in - Copenhagen?																			
Strongly support	27.1% ¹	22.6% _a	30.1% _{bc}	38.3% _a	24.4% _{a,b}	24.5% _{a,b}	20.2% _b	18.4% _{bc}	37.1% _a	22.8% _a	22.3% _b	30.0% _b	34.2% _a	22.8% _a	22.8% _a	28.6% _a	28.9% _a	35.4% _a	24.9% _a
Somewhat support	28.9% ¹	26.5% _a	32.5% _a	29.0% _a	27.7% _a	26.9% _a	28.3% _a	35.4% _a	26.9% _a	28.8% _a	32.6% _a	34.4% _a	29.3% _a	30.3% _a	35.6% _a	22.5% _a	35.5% _a	28.4% _a	28.6% _a
Neither support nor oppose	35.8% ¹	37.9% _a	34.1% _a	25.4% _a	40.9% _{a,b}	41.1% _b	42.6% _{bc}	35.5% _{a,b}	29.7% _a	39.4% _a	36.8% _a	28.5% _a	32.4% _a	39.8% _a	32.1% _a	37.0% _a	29.9% _a	32.4% _a	36.7% _a
Somewhat oppose	3.7% ¹	5.4% _a	1.9% _b	2.8% _a	3.7% _a	3.2% _a	2.4% _a	9.8% _a	4.3% _a	3.4% _a	3.6% _a	4.1% _a	1.3% _a	3.9% _a	6.1% _a	3.8% _a	2.7% _a	1.2% _a	4.5% _a
Strongly oppose	4.6% ¹	7.5% _a	1.3% _b	4.5% _a	3.3% _a	4.2% _a	6.5% _a	1.3% _a	2.1% _a	5.7% _a	4.7% _a	2.9% _a	2.8% _a	3.1% _a	3.4% _a	8.1% _a	3.0% _a	2.6% _a	5.3% _a
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	586	204	364	123	105	118	145	86	159	237	185	45	113	114	116	170	43	116	392

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	Employed	Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs		
		FT and/or PT	Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C or L	Liberal
Do you believe that supportive housing should be located in - Copenhagen?															
Strongly support	27.1% ¹	29.9% ¹	28.9% _a	27.3% _a	26.5% _a	23.7% _a	31.4% _a	32.4% _a	25.5% _a	47.0% _a	32.6% _{a,b}	22.7% _b	22.0% _a	31.7% _b	30.7% _{a,b}
Somewhat support	28.9% ¹	29.2% ¹	33.3% _a	28.1% _b	30.2% _a	28.1% _a	28.9% _a	27.8% _a	29.3% _a	21.7% _a	32.8% _a	29.4% _a	25.1% _a	30.5% _a	38.8% _a
Neither support nor oppose	35.8% ¹	33.5% ¹	24.9% _a	37.4% _b	40.1% _b	39.3% _a	31.5% _a	29.6% _a	38.0% _a	31.3% _a	28.8% _a	38.3% _a	42.2% _a	31.2% _b	29.0% _{a,b}
Somewhat oppose	3.7% ¹	3.5% ¹	4.7% _a	3.6% _a	2.2% _a	4.2% _a	3.2% _a	4.6% _a	3.2% _a	0.0% ²	1.6% _a	4.5% _a	4.7% _a	3.0% _a	1.5% _a
Strongly oppose	4.6% ¹	4.0% ¹	8.2% _a	3.6% _a	1.1% _a	4.8% _a	5.0% _a	5.8% _a	3.9% _a	0.0% ²	4.3% _a	5.0% _a	6.0% _a	3.6% _a	0.0% ²
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	586	345	86	489	77	242	255	182	389	22	112	409	252	249	69

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	County Region												
		Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10
Do you believe that supportive housing should be located in - Copenhagen?														
Strongly support	27.1% ¹	28.8% _a	29.9% _a	23.3% _a	15.9% _{a,b}	31.5% _{a,b}	30.2% _{a,b}	8.3% _a	37.8% _b	16.6% _{a,b}	28.7% _{a,b}	35.7% _{a,b}	19.8% _{a,b}	28.7% _{a,b}
Somewhat support	28.9% ¹	29.9% _a	26.7% _a	31.3% _a	36.9% _a	23.5% _a	45.1% _a	21.4% _a	24.6% _a	19.3% _a	35.2% _a	30.2% _a	36.7% _a	25.3% _a
Neither support nor oppose	35.8% ¹	39.6% _a	34.3% _a	35.8% _a	42.1% _{a,b}	37.8% _{a,b}	17.8% _a	50.3% _b	30.6% _{a,b}	48.7% _{bc}	28.8% _{a,b}	34.1% _{a,b}	32.1% _{a,b}	43.7% _{a,b}
Somewhat oppose	3.7% ¹	0.0% ²	2.9% _a	6.0% _a	2.8% _a	5.7% _a	4.2% _a	10.8% _a	1.9% _a	3.7% _a	0.0% ²	3.9% _a	0.0% ²	
Strongly oppose	4.6% ¹	1.7% _a	6.3% _a	3.7% _a	2.3% _a	1.5% _a	2.7% _a	9.1% _a	5.1% _a	11.6% _a	3.7% _a	0.0% ²	7.4% _a	2.4% _a
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	586	89	272	197	33	66	63	35	156	36	43	28	39	59

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	Current Housing Type			Home Ownership		Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?		
		Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
Do you believe that supportive housing should be located in - Copenhagen?																	
Strongly support	27.1% ¹	25.6% _a	34.4% _a	37.1% _a	42.3% _a	29.0% _b	15.7% _c	39.8% _a	24.0% _b	38.5% _a	35.2% _a	23.8% _b	77.2% _a	57.4% _a	24.3% _b	29.8% _a	19.5% _b
Somewhat support	28.9% ¹	26.8% _a	47.4% _b	36.8% _{a,b}	25.8% _a	26.7% _a	32.9% _a	29.4% _a	29.2% _a	26.9% _a	28.5% _a	29.2% _b	5.8% _a	14.7% _a	30.1% _b	32.3% _a	19.2% _b
Neither support nor oppose	35.8% ¹	38.6% _a	11.9% _b	22.6% _{a,b}	26.8% _a	33.9% _{a,b}	43.5% _b	29.1% _a	36.7% _a	25.1% _a	32.1% _a	37.2% _a	17.0% _a	25.9% _a	36.7% _a	33.9% _a	38.7% _a
Somewhat oppose	3.7% ¹	3.7% _a	4.4% _a	3.5% _a	3.1% _a	4.4% _a	3.3% _a	0.8% _a	4.5% _a	0.0% ²	4.1% _a	4.2% _a	0.0% ²	2.0% _a	3.9% _a	1.5% _a	10.5% _b
Strongly oppose	4.6% ¹	5.2% _a	1.9% _b	0.0% ²	2.0% _a	6.0% _a	4.6% _a	1.0% _a	5.6% _a	9.4% _a	0.0% ²	5.5% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	5.0% _a	2.4% _a	12.2% _b
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	586	510	39	30	90	257	228	71	477	30	86	439	6	32	546	451	112

Table 50.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	Gender		Age Group					Education Level				Annual HH Income					Poverty		
		Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI	
Do you believe that supportive housing should be located in - Castorland?																				
Strongly support	26.7% ¹	20.9% _a	30.6% _{ab}	38.2% _a	26.2% _{ab}	20.1% _b	20.8% _{bc}	18.5% _{cd}	38.6% _a	21.2% _a	20.9% _{bc}	33.7% _{bc}	34.5% _{bc}	22.6% _{bc}	24.4% _a	31.5% _{ab}	36.1% _a	23.4% _b		
Somewhat support	27.8% ¹	26.6% _a	30.2% _{ab}	26.7% _a	27.9% _a	25.5% _a	29.4% _a	34.8% _a	28.8% _a	27.6% _a	28.3% _a	29.6% _a	30.3% _a	28.7% _a	32.7% _a	22.1% _a	29.2% _a	30.0% _a		
Neither support nor oppose	36.5% ¹	38.0% _a	36.2% _a	26.8% _a	39.4% _{ab}	45.0% _b	40.3% _{ab}	37.3% _{ab}	25.8% _a	41.5% _{ab}	41.3% _{ab}	31.2% _a	31.3% _a	40.0% _a	33.9% _a	38.9% _a	35.0% _a	30.3% _a		
Somewhat oppose	3.7% ¹	5.5% _a	1.8% _b	1.6% _a	4.2% _a	5.2% _a	2.8% _a	8.2% _a	5.1% _a	2.6% _a	4.1% _a	4.0% _a	1.1% _a	2.6% _a	7.1% _a	4.3% _a	2.7% _a	1.1% _a		
Strongly oppose	5.3% ¹	9.0% _a	1.2% _b	6.7% _a	2.3% _a	4.2% _a	6.7% _a	1.2% _b	1.7% _a	7.0% _{ab}	5.5% _{ab}	1.5% _a	2.8% _a	3.7% _a	10.2% _a	1.6% _a	2.6% _a	6.5% _a		
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
Sample Size	590	204	366	126	106	118	143	87	162	240	182	47	113	117	115	170	44	117	393	

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	Employed		Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs			
		FT and/or PT		Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C or L	Liberal	
Do you believe that supportive housing should be located in - Castorland?																	
Strongly support	26.7% ¹	28.6% ¹	31.3% _a	26.0% _a	28.4% _a	22.4% _a	30.4% _a	33.4% _a	23.5% _b	47.0% _a	37.3% _a	22.1% _b	21.1% _a	31.2% _{bc}	32.9% _{ab}		
Somewhat support	27.8% ¹	27.2% ¹	30.8% _a	27.5% _a	27.6% _a	28.3% _a	27.8% _a	26.1% _a	29.3% _a	26.0% _a	26.4% _a	27.7% _a	24.8% _a	28.9% _a	36.7% _{ab}		
Neither support nor oppose	36.5% ¹	35.6% ¹	22.3% _a	39.0% _b	40.7% _a	39.5% _a	32.6% _a	30.5% _a	39.1% _b	26.9% _a	28.9% _a	39.9% _a	42.2% _b	33.0% _a	27.7% _a		
Somewhat oppose	3.7% ¹	3.6% ¹	4.1% _a	3.7% _a	2.2% _a	5.4% _a	2.3% _a	2.3% _a	4.2% _a	0.0% ²	2.4% _a	4.5% _a	4.9% _a	3.2% _a	1.2% _a		
Strongly oppose	5.3% ¹	5.0% ¹	11.5% _a	3.7% _b	1.1% _a	4.4% _a	6.8% _a	7.7% _a	3.9% _a	0.0% ²	4.9% _a	5.8% _a	7.1% _a	3.7% _a	1.5% _a		
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
Sample Size	590	347	90	489	76	242	259	186	388	22	114	409	253	253	68		

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	County Region										Legislative District									
		Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10							
Do you believe that supportive housing should be located in - Castorland?																					
Strongly support	26.7% ¹	25.7% _a	26.9% _a	28.0% _a	16.4% _{ab}	38.4% _a	36.9% _{ab}	11.9% _b	35.9% _{ab}	17.2% _{ab}	27.3% _{ab}	17.1% _{ab}	14.0% _{ab}	28.7% _{ab}							
Somewhat support	27.8% ¹	32.3% _a	26.2% _a	26.6% _a	24.5% _a	15.8% _a	35.8% _a	32.0% _a	26.1% _a	16.1% _a	33.4% _a	35.1% _a	30.5% _a	28.8% _a							
Neither support nor oppose	36.5% ¹	39.0% _a	36.0% _a	36.3% _a	54.2% _a	37.3% _{ab}	20.0% _b	41.1% _{ab}	30.0% _{ab}	50.6% _{ab}	32.0% _{ab}	42.3% _{ab}	39.9% _{ab}	38.3% _{ab}							
Somewhat oppose	3.7% ¹	1.3% _a	4.2% _a	4.3% _a	2.7% _a	7.0% _a	5.7% _a	0.0% ²	1.7% _a	3.9% _a	3.7% _a	5.5% _a	7.8% _a	1.8% _a							
Strongly oppose	5.3% ¹	1.7% _a	6.8% _a	4.9% _a	2.3% _a	1.5% _a	15.0% _a	3.9% _a	6.2% _a	12.2% _a	3.7% _a	0.0% ²	7.7% _a	2.4% _a							
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%							
Sample Size	590	88	271	202	34	66	64	38	157	34	43	28	38	59							

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	Current Housing Type			Home Ownership			Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?	
		Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
Do you believe that supportive housing should be located in - Castorland?																	
Strongly support	26.7% ¹	25.0% _a	37.1% _a	32.5% _a	45.2% _a	24.2% _b	18.3% _b	41.2% _a	23.3% _b	33.4% _a	34.7% _a	22.8% _b	48.6% _{ab}	59.1% _a	24.0% _b	29.8% _a	16.8% _b
Somewhat support	27.8% ¹	26.4% _a	47.8% _b	29.6% _{ab}	24.7% _a	29.2% _a	28.1% _a	26.5% _a	28.0% _a	28.2% _a	32.2% _a	28.1% _b	32.9% _{ab}	7.1% _b	29.1% _b	31.1% _a	19.0% _b
Neither support nor oppose	36.5% ¹	38.6% _a	12.9% _b	34.4% _{ab}	26.4% _a	35.8% _{ab}	43.5% _b	31.0% _a	38.0% _a	29.1% _a	30.2% _a	38.2% _a	13.3% _a	31.7% _a	37.3% _a	34.4% _a	40.8% _a
Somewhat oppose	3.7% ¹	3.9% _a	2.2% _b	3.5% _b	2.3% _a	2.9% _a	5.5% _a	1.3% _a	4.1% _a	1.9% _a	1.9% _a	4.5% _a	0.0% ²	2.0% _b	3.9% _a	2.1% _a	8.7% _b
Strongly oppose	5.3% ¹	6.1% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	1.3% _a	7.8% _b	4.5% _{ab}	0.0% ²	6.5% _a	7.4% _a	1.0% _b	6.4% _a	5.2% _a	0.0% ²	5.7% _a	2.6% _a	14.6% _b
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	590	511	41	29	93	258	228	72	480	30	87	441	7	32	547	449	114

Table 51.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	Gender		Age Group					Education Level			Annual HH Income					Poverty			
		Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI	
Do you believe that supportive housing should be located in - Turin?																				
Strongly support	26.7% ¹	21.7% _a	29.8% _b	41.4% _a	25.9% _{a,b}	20.6% _b	18.1% _{b,c}	15.8% _{b,d}	35.5% _a	23.5% _a	21.6% _b	37.3% _a	27.9% _a	24.0% _a	22.9% _a	24.0% _a	22.9% _a	36.6% _a	31.7% _a	24.5% _a
Somewhat support	27.3% ¹	25.8% _a	29.9% _a	21.7% _a	31.3% _a	28.1% _a	29.3% _a	33.8% _a	29.9% _a	24.3% _a	30.5% _a	27.8% _a	29.9% _a	27.2% _a	29.8% _a	23.7% _a	27.5% _a	27.9% _a	26.7% _a	
Neither support nor oppose	35.4% ¹	37.2% _a	35.1% _a	26.3% _a	37.3% _{a,b}	38.5% _{a,b}	42.5% _b	38.9% _{a,b}	26.4% _a	40.1% _b	38.5% _{a,b}	25.8% _a	35.8% _a	33.5% _a	35.9% _a	37.8% _a	28.1% _a	34.5% _a	36.2% _a	
Somewhat oppose	5.0% ¹	7.4% _a	2.8% _b	5.3% _a	3.1% _a	3.9% _a	4.7% _a	9.8% _a	3.4% _a	6.5% _a	4.4% _a	6.1% _a	2.0% _a	4.4% _a	6.3% _a	7.3% _a	4.8% _a	1.8% _a	6.3% _a	
Strongly oppose	5.6% ¹	7.9% _a	2.4% _b	5.3% _a	2.5% _a	8.9% _a	5.4% _a	2.1% _a	4.8% _a	5.7% _a	5.1% _a	2.9% _a	4.4% _a	5.4% _a	4.0% _a	8.3% _a	3.0% _a	4.1% _a	6.3% _a	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Sample Size	586	203	364	125	105	118	143	86	158	238	185	45	109	117	115	171	43	113	394	

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	Employed	Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs		
		FT and/or PT	Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C or L	Liberal
Do you believe that supportive housing should be located in - Turin?															
Strongly support	26.7% ¹	29.5% ¹	21.7% _a	28.3% _a	28.8% _{a,b}	21.0% _a	32.5% _b	35.3% _a	23.4% _b	43.6% _{a,b}	34.9% _a	23.3% _b	23.1% _a	30.5% _c	26.6% _a
Somewhat support	27.3% ¹	26.5% ¹	42.6% _a	24.2% _b	31.6% _a	25.6% _a	26.9% _a	26.6% _a	27.6% _a	17.2% _a	25.5% _a	28.6% _a	22.3% _a	28.7% _{a,b}	43.7% _b
Neither support nor oppose	35.4% ¹	34.1% ¹	18.6% _a	38.7% _b	36.2% _a	40.7% _a	30.4% _a	26.3% _a	39.5% _b	39.2% _a	27.9% _a	37.4% _a	41.2% _b	32.5% _a	25.3% _a
Somewhat oppose	5.0% ¹	4.5% ¹	8.1% _a	4.5% _a	1.8% _a	6.4% _a	4.6% _a	6.4% _a	4.4% _a	0.0% ²	8.0% _a	4.5% _a	5.7% _a	5.1% _a	1.5% _a
Strongly oppose	5.6% ¹	5.4% ¹	9.0% _a	4.2% _b	1.5% _a	6.3% _a	5.5% _a	5.3% _a	5.0% _a	0.0% ²	3.7% _a	6.1% _a	7.8% _a	3.2% _a	2.9% _a
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Sample Size	586	346	90	485	74	241	259	184	386	22	113	408	251	250	69

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	County Region										Legislative District			
		Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10	
Do you believe that supportive housing should be located in - Turin?															
Strongly support	26.7% ¹	32.6% _a	29.3% _a	22.2% _a	23.2% _{a,c,d}	37.2% _{a,b,d}	17.9% _{a,c,e}	6.2% _c	28.1% _{a,c,d}	15.8% _{a,c,e}	48.9% _a	22.1% _{a,c,d}	26.8% _{a,c,d}	35.1% _{b,d,e}	
Somewhat support	27.3% ¹	36.9% _a	24.0% _b	25.4% _{a,b}	18.3% _a	19.6% _a	34.6% _a	27.8% _a	26.8% _a	14.6% _b	25.0% _a	27.9% _a	34.2% _a	35.0% _a	
Neither support nor oppose	35.4% ¹	24.0% _a	35.0% _{a,b}	41.8% _b	53.5% _a	36.5% _{a,b}	35.5% _{a,b}	48.1% _{a,b}	37.0% _{a,b}	50.4% _{a,b}	18.7% _b	39.9% _{a,b}	23.5% _{a,b}	23.0% _{a,b}	
Somewhat oppose	5.0% ¹	4.8% _a	5.4% _a	5.0% _a	2.7% _a	3.5% _a	6.7% _a	6.8% _a	2.5% _a	11.2% _a	3.7% _a	10.1% _a	5.4% _a	4.5% _a	
Strongly oppose	5.6% ¹	1.7% _a	6.3% _a	5.5% _a	2.3% _a	3.2% _a	5.3% _a	11.1% _a	5.6% _a	8.1% _a	3.7% _a	0.0% ²	10.1% _a	2.4% _a	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Sample Size	586	88	271	199	34	66	62	37	155	36	43	28	38	59	

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	Current Housing Type			Home Ownership			Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?	
		Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
Do you believe that supportive housing should be located in - Turin?																	
Strongly support	26.7% ¹	25.0% _a	33.5% _a	38.5% _a	45.6% _a	22.5% _b	20.2% _b	46.7% _a	23.4% _b	47.4% _a	27.9% _{a,b}	24.5% _b	67.2% _a	54.4% _a	23.9% _b	29.1% _a	20.1% _b
Somewhat support	27.3% ¹	26.6% _a	34.9% _a	32.1% _a	19.0% _a	29.6% _a	29.7% _a	16.4% _a	27.4% _a	21.6% _a	35.4% _a	26.0% _a	9.8% _a	19.9% _a	28.2% _a	32.4% _a	11.8% _b
Neither support nor oppose	35.4% ¹	37.2% _a	21.6% _a	25.9% _a	26.4% _a	34.8% _{a,b}	41.6% _b	29.6% _a	37.1% _a	18.5% _a	34.6% _a	37.2% _a	17.8% _a	23.7% _a	36.5% _a	32.5% _a	41.9% _b
Somewhat oppose	5.0% ¹	5.0% _a	6.1% _a	3.5% _b	3.3% _a	6.5% _a	4.0% _a	4.4% _a	5.6% _a	5.7% _a	2.1% _a	6.0% _a	0.0% ²	2.0% _a	5.4% _a	3.3% _a	11.0% _b
Strongly oppose	5.6% ¹	6.2% _a	3.9% _a	0.0% ²	5.7% _a	6.8% _a	4.6% _a	2.8% _a	6.5% _a	6.8% _a	0.0% ²	6.3% _a	5.2% _a	6.0% _a	2.8% _a	15.3% _b	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	586	510	39	29	91	255	229	70	479	30	86	439	7	32	545	450	112

Table 52.XTAB

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	Gender			Age Group					Education Level			Annual HH Income					Poverty		
		Male	Female	Under 40	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	HSG or less	Some college, no bachelor's	Bachelor's degree or higher	Up to \$25,000	\$25,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$75,000	\$75,001-\$100,000	Over \$100,000	<30% AMI	30%-60% AMI	>60% AMI	
Do you believe that supportive housing should be located in - Constableville?																				
Strongly support	23.6% ¹	18.9% _a	27.6% _{bc}	36.5% _a	24.4% _{a,b}	17.6% _{bc}	17.2% _{bc,d}	9.5% _{cd}	30.1% _a	22.7% _{bc,d}	16.5% _{bc}	25.7% _a	27.3% _a	22.9% _{bc}	23.4% _a	21.7% _a	24.4% _a	30.6% _a	21.9% _a	
Somewhat support	26.7% ¹	26.6% _a	26.5% _a	24.3% _a	29.8% _a	23.1% _a	28.4% _a	34.9% _a	36.2% _a	20.6% _b	26.9% _{bc,b}	36.0% _a	31.0% _a	30.2% _a	23.8% _a	22.0% _a	36.0% _a	29.5% _a	25.2% _a	
Neither support nor oppose	39.4% ¹	39.3% _a	40.8% _a	28.3% _a	40.3% _{a,b}	48.5% _b	44.3% _{bc,c}	43.5% _{bc,b}	26.0% _a	44.4% _b	47.9% _b	29.3% _a	35.3% _a	39.3% _a	41.4% _a	40.8% _a	31.8% _a	34.0% _a	40.8% _a	
Somewhat oppose	4.3% ¹	5.8% _a	2.8% _a	3.9% _a	3.1% _a	3.0% _a	3.5% _a	10.8% _a	4.7% _a	4.4% _a	3.7% _a	6.1% _a	3.4% _a	3.3% _a	7.1% _a	3.8% _a	4.8% _a	3.2% _a	4.7% _a	
Strongly oppose	6.0% ¹	9.4% _a	2.3% _b	6.9% _a	2.5% _a	7.8% _a	6.7% _a	1.3% _a	3.1% _a	7.9% _a	5.1% _a	2.9% _a	2.9% _a	4.3% _a	4.3% _a	11.7% _a	3.0% _a	2.7% _a	7.4% _a	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Sample Size	581	203	359	127	104	116	141	84	157	237	182	45	109	116	116	167	43	113	390	

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Socio-demographic Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	Employed		Disabilities		Household Size			Children in Household		Longevity Living in Lewis			Political Beliefs		
		FT and/or PT		Yes	No	1 person	2 people	3+ people	Yes	No	<5 years	5-20 years	>20 years	Conservative	Do not identify as C or L	Liberal
Do you believe that supportive housing should be located in - Constableville?																
Strongly support	23.6% ¹	26.9% ¹	19.0% _a	25.0% _a	18.9% _{a,b}	18.4% _a	30.8% _b	33.9% _a	19.8% _b	43.6% _a	32.5% _a	19.4% _b	18.2% _a	26.9% _{bc}	24.8% _{a,b}	
Somewhat support	26.7% ¹	25.0% ¹	39.6% _a	24.1% _b	38.0% _a	26.6% _{a,b}	23.4% _b	23.7% _a	27.4% _a	17.2% _a	21.8% _a	28.7% _a	23.6% _a	26.9% _{bc,b}	42.2% _b	
Neither support nor oppose	39.4% ¹	38.4% ¹	25.4% _a	41.9% _b	39.8% _a	42.0% _a	36.6% _a	31.9% _a	42.8% _b	39.2% _a	35.3% _a	40.4% _a	44.8% _a	36.1% _a	30.3% _a	
Somewhat oppose	4.3% ¹	3.9% ¹	5.2% _a	4.2% _a	1.8% _a	6.8% _a	2.5% _a	2.6% _a	5.0% _a	0.0% ²	6.7% _a	4.1% _a	5.0% _a	3.8% _a	2.7% _a	
Strongly oppose	6.0% ¹	5.8% ¹	10.9% _a	4.8% _b	1.5% _a	6.3% _a	6.7% _a	7.9% _a	4.9% _a	0.0% ²	3.7% _a	7.3% _a	8.4% _a	4.4% _a	0.0% ²	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Sample Size	581	343	89	482	75	238	256	184	381	22	114	402	251	247	67	

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Geographic Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	County Region										Legislative District				
		Southern	Central	Northern	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10		
Do you believe that supportive housing should be located in - Constableville?																
Strongly support	23.6% ¹	32.1% _a	24.2% _a	20.6% _a	21.4% _{a,b}	27.6% _{a,b}	22.2% _{a,b}	7.6% _a	34.2% _b	13.5% _{bc}	23.2% _{bc}	16.5% _{bc}	24.4% _{bc}	31.5% _{bc,b}		
Somewhat support	26.7% ¹	34.1% _a	27.2% _{a,b}	20.3% _b	16.3% _{a,b}	21.3% _{a,b}	28.4% _{a,b}	12.0% _a	23.7% _{bc,b}	15.8% _{bc,b}	43.2% _b	31.1% _{bc,b}	32.0% _{bc,b}	33.1% _{bc,b}		
Neither support nor oppose	39.4% ¹	29.5% _a	37.3% _{a,b}	46.9% _b	57.3% _{a,c,d,e,f}	43.2% _{a,c,d,e,f}	31.2% _{a,b}	63.5% _c	33.7% _{bc,d}	54.5% _{a,c,d,e,f}	26.3% _{bc}	42.6% _{a,c,d,e,f}	29.5% _{a,c,d,e,f}	31.2% _{bc,f}		
Somewhat oppose	4.3% ¹	1.2% _a	4.1% _a	6.2% _a	2.7% _a	4.6% _a	15.4% _a	0.0% ²	2.3% _a	3.9% _a	3.7% _a	5.3% _a	4.9% _a	1.8% _a		
Strongly oppose	6.0% ¹	3.1% _a	7.2% _a	6.0% _a	2.3% _a	3.2% _a	2.8% _a	16.8% _a	6.1% _a	12.2% _a	3.7% _a	4.4% _a	9.2% _a	2.4% _a		
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
Sample Size	581	91	267	195	34	66	61	34	153	34	43	29	40	59		

County-wide Cross-tabulations - By Housing-related Factors

	All Lewis County Participants	Current Housing Type			Home Ownership			Recent difficulty finding suitable housing?		Ever feared losing your housing?			Ever been homeless?			How concerned with issue of homelessness?	
		Single-family	Apartment	Mobile home	Rent	Own, paying mortgage	Own, mortgage paid off	Yes	No	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Yes, now	Yes, in past	Never	Concerned	Not concerned
Do you believe that supportive housing should be located in - Constableville?																	
Strongly support	23.6% ¹	21.8% _a	40.0% _{bc}	28.9% _{a,b}	40.5% _a	21.5% _b	15.5% _b	41.4% _a	20.4% _b	38.2% _a	30.0% _{bc}	20.3% _b	22.1% _{bc}	52.7% _a	21.5% _b	26.9% _a	15.0% _b
Somewhat support	26.7% ¹	25.4% _a	29.2% _a	41.7% _a	25.6% _a	27.1% _a	27.6% _a	16.8% _a	27.0% _a	23.6% _a	29.7% _a	26.7% _a	60.1% _a	15.5% _b	27.0% _{bc}	29.9% _a	17.4% _b
Neither support nor oppose	39.4% ¹	41.9% _a	21.0% _b	25.9% _{a,b}	26.5% _a	38.5% _{a,b}	48.2% _b	38.5% _a	40.2% _a	23.8% _a	36.5% _a	41.1% _a	17.8% _a	29.8% _a	40.3% _a	38.4% _a	39.1% _a
Somewhat oppose	4.3% ¹	4.1% _a	6.0% _a	3.5% _b	2.0% _a	5.7% _a	3.6% _a	2.3% _a	5.0% _a	5.0% _a	3.8% _a	4.4% _a	0.0% ²	2.0% _a	4.6% _a	2.1% _a	11.3% _b
Strongly oppose	6.0% ¹	6.8% _a	3.8% _a	0.0% ²	5.5% _a	7.3% _a	5.1% _a	1.0% _a	7.4% _b	9.4% _b	0.0% ²	7.5% _a	0.0% ²	0.0% ²	6.6% _a	2.7% _a	17.2% _b
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sample Size	581	504	40	29	92	254	224	70	473	30	86	433	7	32	539	445	113

Appendix II

The Survey Instrument



Lewis County – Housing Needs Assessment Survey

We are conducting a survey of adult residents of Lewis County on Behalf of the County Legislature. They are interested in your opinions about the quality of life and future direction of your county, with a focus on housing needs. The Lewis County Legislators and Departments use these data in their planning and decision-making. The survey is paid for by Lewis County. Results will be available to the public for free in the fall of 2025, at www.lewiscountyny.gov. Do you have a few minutes to do a survey for us?

Thank you very much for helping us out. If you have any questions, please contact Ms. Casandra Buell, Deputy County Executive, Lewis County, at casandrabuell@lewiscounty.ny.gov
Have a great day.

Request, Authorization, and Approval to Complete this Survey at the Lewis County Fair:

From: Matt O'Connor <mattoconnor@lewiscounty.ny.gov>

Sent: Monday, June 16, 2025 9:39 AM

To: Joel LaLone <jlalone@sunyjefferson.edu>; Carla Hellinger <carlahellinger@lewiscounty.ny.gov>; Rachel Lisk <fairmanager@lewiscountyfair.org>

Cc: Timothy Hunt <timhunt@lewiscounty.ny.gov>; Casandra Buell <casandrabuell@lewiscounty.ny.gov>

Subject: RE: Surveying at the Lewis County Fair in 2025, again

I don't see any issue with it.

Matt O'Connor
Buildings and Grounds Supervisor
O: 315-376-5321
M: 315-489-2552
F: 315-377-3137
mattoconnor@lewiscounty.ny.gov

7660 North State St. | Lowville, NY 13367



From: Joel LaLone <jlalone@sunyjefferson.edu>

Sent: Monday, June 16, 2025 8:54 AM

To: Matt O'Connor <mattoconnor@lewiscounty.ny.gov>; Carla Hellinger <carlahellinger@lewiscounty.ny.gov>; Rachel Lisk <fairmanager@lewiscountyfair.org>

Cc: Timothy Hunt <timhunt@lewiscounty.ny.gov>; Casandra Buell <casandrabuell@lewiscounty.ny.gov>

Subject: Surveying at the Lewis County Fair in 2025, again

Good morning Matt, Carla, and Rachel,

I hope all is well with you.

I'm contacting you again this year to seek approval for our students to complete surveys of adult Lewis County residents at the Lewis County Fair.

This year we will be surveying on behalf of the Lewis County Government regarding a local Housing Needs Assessment study that we will be completing for the County.

Additionally, we will be following up on last year's surveying on behalf of Seaway Valley Prevention Services that went very well. This year's survey is very brief.

We'd have a table in the hockey rink building again, and have students out with clipboards. We will be purchasing hundreds of \$10 gift certificates for food at the Fair, to give to participants as rewards for completing a survey.

Please let me know if this can all happen again this year.

We'd anticipate being there on Tuesday 7/15, and any further evenings that are necessary to reach the desired sample size. Hopefully, only 2-3 nights, from 4:00-7:00.

Please let me know if you have any questions, thanks,

-Joel LaLone



Lewis County – Housing Needs Assessment Survey

CURRENT HOUSING SITUATION

Our first questions are about the current characteristics of housing among residents of Lewis County. County leadership is interested in learning from you; they need your input. Please know that this survey is anonymous, we do not know the identities of you or any participants.

Q1: Which of the following best describes your current housing type? You live in a.....
 Single-family home Apartment Mobile home Other: _____

HOUSING PREFERENCES - what interests you the most in housing?

Q2: Which of the following best describes the type of housing that you prefer to live in at this time? Single-family home Apartment Mobile home
 Other: _____

Q3: Do you prefer to live inside a village, or more rural outside a village?
 Inside village (urban) Outside village (rural) Don't know/Not sure/No preference

Q4: Ideally, what # of bedrooms would you prefer in a home for you at this time? _____

Q5: Ideally, what # of bathrooms would you prefer in a home for you at this time? _____

Q6: How important are each of the following characteristics of housing to you?

	Very important	Some-what	A little	Not at all	Not sure
yard space?					
proximity to schools?					
access to public transportation?					
broadband/highspeed internet access?					
water/sewer access?					
proximity to healthcare?					
proximity to emergency services (ambulance/fire dept)?					
proximity to family?					

PLEASE NOTE: Before our next two survey questions, we'd like to explain that an ADU, which stands for Accessory Dwelling Unit, is a small, independent home on the same property as a single-family home. ADUs can be attached to the main home, built in the backyard, or converted from an existing space in the home. These ADUs can provide additional housing for family members or rent out for extra income. By some people, ADUs are also at times referred to as: Granny flats, In-law units, Backyard cottages, Secondary units, Accessory apartments, or Garden suites.

Q7: How interested are you in possibly:

	Very interested	Some-what	A little	Not at all	Not sure
living in or becoming a tenant at an ADU in Lewis C.?					
creating an ADU at your home in Lewis County to rent out and make additional income?					

HOUSING AFFORDABILITY IN LEWIS COUNTY

Q8: For your current housing costs, do you pay rent to a landlord, or do you pay mortgage and utilities for a home that you own?

- Pay rent (skip to Q9RENT)
 Pay mortgage and utilities, etc. for home that I own (skip to Q9OWN)
 Pay utilities, etc. for home that I own (mortgage is all paid off) (skip to Q9OWN)

Among RENTERS ONLY:

Q9RENT: Please enter the \$ amount that you pay: (then skip to Q10)

Rent per <u>month</u> :	
Heat/Electric per <u>month</u> (please enter \$0 if this is included in your rent):	
Internet Access per <u>month</u> (please enter \$0 if this is included in your rent, such as Free WiFi at your unit):	

Among HOME-OWNERS ONLY:

Q9OWN: Please enter the \$ amount that for your household pays for: (then skip to Q10)

Mortgage each <u>month</u> :	
Heat/Electric <u>average monthly</u> throughout year:	
Internet Access each <u>month</u> :	
Homeowners Insurance per <u>year</u> :	
Property taxes <u>annually</u> (school and county combined):	
Maintenance and repairs to your property and home in a typical <u>year</u> :	

OPINIONS ABOUT HOUSING AFFORDABILITY:

Q10: In your opinion, how affordable is housing in Lewis County now? You believe it is:
 Very Unaffordable A Little Unaffordable Affordable Very Affordable Not sure

Q11: Would you be willing to pay a premium for energy-efficient or sustainable housing?
 Willing to pay premium Not willing to pay premium Not sure/It depends

Q12: Currently in Lewis County there are programs in place to help residents with housing affordability such as programs such as Section 8 and subsidized housing. How familiar are you with these programs?

- Very familiar, have heard of them and know what they offer
 Familiar, have heard of them, but don't know a lot about what they offer
 Don't know much about the programs other than some exist
 Know nothing about these programs

HOUSING NEEDS AND CHALLENGES IN LEWIS:

Q13: Are you, or recently have you, had difficulty finding suitable housing?

- Yes, having difficulty now Yes, recently had difficulty NO difficulty Not sure

Q14: Have you ever feared losing your housing?

- Yes, fear losing now Yes, in the past but not now NO, never Not sure

More Questions on reverse side. Thanks.

"Homelessness" is often defined as a lack of fixed, regular, and adequate night-time residence.

Q15: Have you ever been homeless?

Yes, homeless now. Yes, homeless in the past, but not now. No, never homeless Not sure

Q16: How concerned are you with the issue of homelessness in Lewis County?

Very concerned Somewhat concerned A little concerned Not at all concerned Not sure

Q17: What are the biggest challenges you face in finding or affording housing in Lewis County?

House prices High rents Discrimination by landlords
 Interest rates Poor quality rentals Accessibility for those with disabilities
 Lack of housing for sale Dilapidated homes Landlord unwilling to rent to me

We'd like to learn which of Lewis County's housing policies or programs you feel are most important to focus on for improvements.

Q18: Do you think it is very important, somewhat important, a little important, or not at all important that _____ is provided?

	Very important	Some-what	A little	Not at all	Not sure
More support in affordable housing projects					
More support in ADU development					
More support in redevelopment of vacant properties into housing units					
More support in homeowner improvement funding					

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT:

Q19: Next, we'd like to learn what types of housing are most important to be developed in our county. What areas do feel are most important to develop more: (choose all)

ADUs (need a definition, here) Duplex homes Senior Apartments
 Low income housing Town homes Senior Communities such as Brookside
 Market rate housing Halfway house Senior Assisted Living
 Apartment style housing facilities Homeless shelter
 Single-family homes Permanent supported Housing with services on site

Q20: How important do you feel that affordable housing is to the overall health and well-being of the community?

Very important Somewhat important A little important Not at all important Not sure

Q21: What role should the county have in promoting affordable housing?

The county should play a leading role and provide expertise and support.
 The county should stay out of it and let private developers solve the housing issues. Not sure

Q22: In the 2022 Lewis County Housing Needs Assessment, it was identified that a wide range of housing is in high need. In your opinion, which areas in Lewis County have the greatest need for additional housing options that are accessible and attainable for residents across a range of incomes?

	Strongly support	Somewhat support	Neither S/O	Somewhat oppose	Strongly oppose
Southern Lewis County (Towns of Lewis, Leyden, Osceola, West Turin, Lyonsdale)					
Central Lewis County (Montague, Pinckney, Harrisburg, Martinsburg, Turin, Greig, Watson, and Lowville)					
Northern Lewis County (Towns of Denmark, Croghan, Diana, and New Bremen)					

Q23: Human Service agencies in Lewis County have identified the need for Supportive Housing. If this initiative were taken to address the identified need, would you be able to support this effort? Note: Supportive Housing is a permanent apartment for people who need tailored support services to live independently.

Strongly support Somewhat support Neither support/oppose Somewhat oppose Strongly oppose

Q24: If pursued in the future, in which of the following Villages do you believe supportive housing should be located in Lewis County? Note: supportive housing is temporary housing for those individuals in the County who are in need of housing.

	Strongly support	Somewhat support	Neither S/O	Somewhat oppose	Strongly oppose
Castorland					
Constableville					
Copenhagen					
Croghan					
Lowville					
Lyons Falls					
Port Leyden					
Turin					

DEMOGRAPHICS

Finally, to better understand the many factors that may be related to adult opinions about housing in the County, we have a few demographic questions for you.

AGE: What is your age? _____

EDUCATION: What is the highest level of school you completed or the highest degree you received?

Did not complete high school Associate Degree
 High school graduate (including GED) Bachelor's Degree
 Some college, no degree Graduate Degree

POLITICAL BELIEFS: How would classify your political beliefs?

Very conservative Conservative Middle of the Road Liberal Very Liberal Not sure

EMPLOYMENT: Which of the following describes your current employment situation?

Retired Employed full time Disabled
 Not currently employed (but not retired) Employed part time Not sure
 Other: _____

DISABILITY: Do you identify as having a disability? Yes No

HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION: Is there anyone under age 18 living in your household? Yes No

HOUSEHOLD SIZE: How many people currently live in your household? _____

MILITARY AFFILIATION: Which of the following describes your affiliation with the military?

I am active duty military.
 My spouse/partner is active duty military.
 I am a veteran.
 My spouse/partner is a veteran.
 No active duty or veteran status among myself or my spouse/partner.

HOUSEHOLD INCOME: What is your annual household income from all sources?

Less than \$10,000 \$25,000 - \$50,000 \$75,000 - \$100,000 \$125,000 or more
 \$10,000 - \$25,000 \$50,000 - \$75,000 \$100,000 - \$125,000

GENDER: What is your gender? Male Female Non-binary Other: _____

TOWN: In what town or village do you reside? _____

LONGEVITY: How many years have you resided in Lewis County? _____

Thank you very much for your help, please do not forget to get your gift certificate!